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PREFACE

The history of mankind, as a history of the human spirit, may be thought of as consisting of two elements: an escape from this world to another; and a return to it. Chronologically speaking, these two movements, the rise and fall, represent the whole of human history; and the two take place micro-cosmically many times in peoples and nations. But they may be thought of as taking place simultaneously or rather, beyond time, and then they form an ontological description of human nature.

There seems to me no necessity, however, to make a Spenglerian attempt to show from historical examples how there has been a movement towards ideas, ideals, abstractions; and a corresponding revulsion from them. In our own individual lives, and in the larger movements of the human spirit these two contradictory tendencies are more or less visible always, everywhere. There is a quite noticeable flow towards religion in the early world, and in the early life of almost every person,—and a later ebb from it, using the word “religion” here in the sense of a means of escape from this life.

The Japanese, by an accident of geography, and because of something in their national character, took part in the developments of this “return to nature,” which in the Far East began (to give them a local habitation and a name)
with Enô, the 6th Chinese Patriarch of Zen, 637–713 A.D. The Chinese, again because of their geography perhaps, have always had a strong tendency in poetry and philosophy towards the vast and vague, the general and sententious. It was left, therefore, to the Japanese to undertake this “return to things” in haiku, but it must be clearly understood that what we return to is never the same as what we once left, for we have ourselves changed in the meantime. So we go back to the old savage animism, and superstition, and common life of man and spirits and trees and stones,—and yet there is a difference. Things have taken on something of the tenuous nature of the abstractions they turned into. Again, spring and autumn, for example, non-existant, arbitrary distinctions, have attained a body and palpability they never before had. We also, we are the things,—and yet we are ourselves, in a perpetual limbo of heaven and hell.

It was necessary for us to prostrate ourselves before the Buddha, to spend nine long years wall-gazing, to be born in the Western Paradise. But now, no more. Now we have to come back from Nirvana to this world, the only one. We have to live, not with Christ in glory, but with Jesus and his mother and father and brothers and sisters. We return to the friends of our childhood, the rain on the window-pane; the long silent roads of night; the waves of the shore that never cease to fall; the moon, so near and yet so far; all the sensations of texture, timbre, weight and shape, those precious treasures and inexhaustible riches of every-day life.

Haiku may well seem at first sight a poor substitute for the glowing visions of Heaven and Paradise seen of pale-lipped ascetics. As Arnold says:
Long fed on boundless hopes, O race of man,
How angrily thou spurn'st all simpler fare!

Haiku have a simplicity that is deceptive both with regard to their depth of content and to their origins, and it is the aim of this and succeeding volumes to show that haiku require our purest and most profound spiritual appreciation, for they represent a whole world, the Eastern World, of religious and poetic experience. Haiku is the final flower of all Eastern culture; it is also a way of living.

Haiku are to be understood from the Zen point of view. What this is may be gathered more of less directly from this volume, and perfectly because indirectly from the verses themselves.

We should mention that the word "Zen" is used in two different ways and the reader must decide for himself which is intended. Usually, throughout these volumes, it means that state of mind in which we are not separated from other things, are indeed identical with them, and yet retain our own individuality and personal peculiarities. Occasionally, as in the diagram on page 3, and in Part 2 of the first Section, it means a body of experience and practice begun by Daruma, (who came to China 520 A.D.) as the practical application to living of Mahayana doctrines, and continued to the present day in Zen temples and Zen books of instruction. "Haiku" also is used in two different senses:—in the plural, meaning the poems themselves; in the singular, signifying the poetical attitude of mind of the haiku poets, their way of life, their "religion."

In Archer's Faiths Men Live By, there is a description of the Polynesian mana, which has some approximation to Zen its relation to poetry:
Mana is everywhere, intangible and all-pervasive as the ether. All things have it; rather, each separate thing is manaized, for mana is not a spiritual entity in a physical body; it is a dynamism which permeates, tones and colours the whole object. It is, for example, of the arrow, of the poison on the arrow-head; and that which kills is not the poison, the arrow, but mana. Mana, however, is not a universal something, a portion of which imbues each object.—for the primitive has not risen high enough to generalize a prime and universal reality. He acts in response to immediate, concrete situations and things—to objects mana-saturated, whether stream, stone, mountain, cloud, plant or animal. Furthermore, mana itself has no moral quality; rather, it may be good or bad, favourable or dangerous, according to the time or place; it may do good or evil, according to the agent’s will. It is seen in operation when a man, attempting the strange and “impossible,” succeeds.

Here we feel something of the intangibility, indefinability, non-thing-ness, non-abstractness, non-morality and non-rationality of Zen.

Yet we may say outright that haiku is haiku, with its own unwritten laws and standards, its aims and achievements. It has little or nothing to do with poetry, so-called, or Zen, or anything else. It belongs to a tradition of looking at things, a way of living, a certain tenderness and smallness of mind that avoids the magnificent, the infinite and the eternal. Its faults are a tendency towards weakness and sentiment. but it avoids lyricism and mind-colouring both instinctively and consciously.
If we say then that haiku is a form of Zen, we must not assert that haiku belongs to Zen, but that Zen belongs to haiku. In other words, our notions of Zen must be changed to fit haiku, not vice-versa. We may have a hard time showing how the ancient code of the samurai, the beatings of Zen masters, the absence of words, the philosophy of the Kegon Sutra are at bottom one with haiku; but it can be done.

The meaning of haiku, their directness, simplicity and unintellectuality, are not to be twisted in any way. I do not forget, then, Arnold's words in Human Life:

Ah! let us make no claim
On life's incognizable sea
To too exact a steering of our way!

I understand Zen and poetry to be practically synonyms, but as I said before, if there is ever imagined to be any conflict between Zen and the poetry of haiku, the Zen goes overboard; poetry is the ultimate standard.

The life of haiku, the mood in which they are written and in which they are to be read, is the same as that of Rōshi, the same as that of the Diamond Sutra and the verses of the Hekiganroku. The difference is in the concreteness and abstractness respectively of their vision of reality. In haiku the intellectual element is absent, or is so completely fused with the intuitive-poetical that no analysis can separate them. To express it in other words, the Chinese philosophers stimulate through their intellectual "form" that "serene and blessed mood" which haiku poets arouse through their representation of the things of nature. These two groups correspond to the theoretical and practical aspects of the same
nameless thing we call Life. They are not, however, con-
sciousely connected in the minds of the Japanese, to whom the
comments on the poems in this book would seem not merely
superfluous, but an attempt to separate inseparable elements,
a dragging out into the light of reason of an organism that
can live only in its own profound darkness,—indeed, a nega-
tion of the whole spirit of haiku.

We may say that to understand, to read properly a single
haiku requires years of unconscious absorption of all the
culture of India, China and Japan that comes to fulfilment in
these small verses. To assist the non-Japanese reader, there
is given this lengthy introduction with numerous quotations,
and a commentary on each haiku in the succeeding volumes.
But the average Japanese has not read the works of Rôshi,
Sôshi, or the Zen masters. He would find the commentary
stiff, over-intellectual, worse than unnecessary. He understands
haiku without effort, by instinct, by the inherited intuition of
centuries. In other words, the whole of the introduction and
the commentaries should be in the memory, in the unconscious
mind, not standing on the printed page between the reader
and the verse.

There are, however, two excuses that can be made for
the interpretations and quotations. The first is implied above,
the difference of cultural background, of weltanschauung.
The second is that haiku is not only poetry, that is, a repre-
sentation in words of the real world; it is a way of life,
a mode of living all day long; it is religion, and as such,
as its bearings upon social life, politics, war, all our business
and bosoms. Poetry, culture, religion, are a manner of living,
and this manner, like ordinary manners, is to a certain extent
a matter of education, of thought and ideas, of habit and imitation.

Every haiku, then, in so far as it is representative of a way of life, manner of living daily, is unwittingly didactic, teaches us above our will. The great danger is mistaking the explanation for the poetry, the pointing finger for the moon, the sermon for reality. The aim of the explanation, like that of the pointing finger and the scriptures, is to make itself unnecessary. Once more we come to a fact through a paradox, that the indispensable must be got rid of in order that the truth may emerge.

Haiku record what Wordsworth calls those “spots of time,” those moments which for some quite mysterious reason have a peculiar significance. There is a unique quality about the poet’s state of feeling on these occasions; it may be very deep, it may be rather shallow, but there is a “something” about the external things, a “something” about the inner mind which is unmistakable. Where haiku poets excel all others is in recognizing this “something” in the most unlikely places and at the most unexpected times. It belongs to what Pater calls, in speaking of Wordsworth,

the quiet habitual observation of inanimate or imperfectly animate existence.

Haiku is a kind of satori, or enlightenment, in which we see into the life of things.

We grasp the inexpressible meaning of some quite ordinary thing or fact hitherto entirely overlooked. Haiku is the apprehension of a thing by a realization of our own original and
essential unity with it, the word "realization" having the literal meaning here of "making real" in ourselves. The thing perceives itself in us; we perceive it by simple self-consciousness. The joy of the (apparent) re-union of ourselves with things, with all things, is thus the happiness of being our true selves. It is with "all things" because, as Dr. Suzuki explains in his works on Zen, when one thing is taken up, all things are taken up with it. One flower is the spring; a falling leaf has the whole of autumn, of every autumn, of the eternal, the timeless autumn of each thing and of all things.

Haiku is the creation of things that already exist in their own right, but need the poet so that they may "come to the full stature of a man." These "things" may be in the form of moonlight, with a no-stone and a barking dog included in it;

犬を打つ石のさてなし冬の月

Not a single stone
To throw at the dog, —
The wintry moon!

Taigi

It may be the chirping of a cicada and the silence that interpenetrates the sound, as the sound does the rock:

閑さや岩にしみ入る蟬の聲

The silence!
The voice of the cicada
Penetrates the rocks.

Bashō

It may be the shortness of a night or the length of a day:

砂灘に足跡長き冬の日かな

On the sandy beach,
Footprints:
Long is the spring day.
Historically speaking, haiku is the flower of all the pre-Buddhist religious speculation, Mahayana Buddhism, Chinese and Japanese Zen, Taoism and Confucianism. The Upanishads say,

That from whence these things are born, that by which when born, they live, that into which at their death they re-enter, try to know that. That is Brahman. 

*Taït. Up. 111. i.*

Mahayana Buddhism says,

差別即平等、平等即差別。

Difference is identity; identity is difference.

Taoism has,

故有之以相利無之以相用。 孝子，十一。

Therefore from what exists we have profit, and from what does not exist, utility.

Zen says,

依有樁杖子我與依無樁杖子。 無門關、四十四。

If you have a stick, I will give you it.

If you have no stick, I will take it away from you.

Tôju Nakae, 藤樹中江, 1608–1648, the famous Japanese Confucianist, the first to teach the philosophy of Oyômei, 王陽明, said,

Heaven and earth and all things exist in my mind ......There is no difference between life and death, being and non-being......The real nature of man's mind is delight.

Bashô, born four years before the death of Tôju Nakae, received all this, adding to it the poetry of the Tang and
Sung. Blending Indian spirituality, Chinese practicality, with Japanese simplicity, we get:

落さに水とぼしきり花椿  芝 篠
A flower of the camellia-tree
Fell,
Spilling its water.  Bashō

昭や麦の裏末の春の霜  鬼 賢
The dawn of day:
On the tip of the barley-leaf
The frost of spring.  Onitsura

ともうとうと霧から出たりけり  宗
The cow comes
Moo! Moo!
Out of the mist.  Issa

Haiku does not, like waka, aim at beauty. Like the music of Bach, it aims at significance, and some special kind of beauty is found hovering near. The real nature of each thing, and more so, of all things, is a poetical one. It is because Christ was a poet that men followed and still follow him, not Socrates. Socrates showed us our ignorance. Haiku shows us what we knew all the time, but did not know we knew; it shows us that we are poets in so far as we live at all. Here again is the connection between Zen and haiku, Zen which says,

平常心是道。無門関、十九。
Your ordinary mind, —that is the Way!

The essential simplicity of haiku and Zen must never be forgotten. The sun shines, snow falls, mountains rise and
valleys sink, night deepens and pales into day, but it is only very seldom that we attend to such things.

In the shop,
The paper-weights on the picture-books:
The spring wind!  Kitô

When we are grasping the inexpressible meaning of these things, this is life, this is living. To do this twenty-four hours a day is the Way of Haiku. It is having life more abundantly.

We may note in passing that Japanese readers will all have slightly different translations and meanings to give most of these verses. This is both the power and the weakness of haiku. It is a weakness in that we are not quite sure of the meaning of the writer. It is a power in that haiku demand the free poetic life of the reader in parallel with that of the poet. This "freedom" is not that of wild irresponsibility and arbitrary interpretation, but that of the creation of a similar poetic experience to which the haiku points. It corresponds very much in English poetry to the different, the very different way in which people read the same poem. In the interpretation of music, conductors vary greatly in emphasis and tempo. There are cases on record where a conductor has for example greatly increased the tempo of a movement, with the astonished approval of the composer (Beethoven).

It may be interesting in this connection to compare two different translations and commentaries on the same verse, made by the author at an interval of a few months. Even to the spelling of the word veranda(h), everything is seen
differently. The second is perhaps better than the first, in that there is less psychology and more poetry in it.

(i) 二文なげて寺の様かる涼みかな 子規
Throwing in a halfpenny,
I borrowed the temple veranda,
In the evening cool. Shiki

This kind of verse comes from Issa. It has his innocence, and delicacy of feeling, combined with a faint self-mockery. Shiki goes to the temple (Sumadera), throws in two copper coins, bows, then sits on the temple veranda and enjoys the evening breeze.

Sensitive people, that is, morally sensitive people, know that they have no rights, no right to anything at all, even to life itself. And it is human nature to require some kind of justification for our possession or use of things. This justification, a kind of psychological “rationalization,” it seen in the two coins that Shiki throws into the money-box of the temple. After that, he sits on the veranda with some kind of complacency, feeling that he has done something, however little, to earn the pleasure of the cool evening breeze in such a calm and holy place.

(ii) 二文なげて寺の様かる涼み哉 子規
Throwing in a couple of coins,
I cooled myself
On the temple verandah. Shiki

This was written at the Temple of Sumadera, 須磨寺. The interesting thing in this verse is to perceive the secret poetic life that lives in such a commonplace. The poetry is in the words of the verse; not in something implied, not in associa-
tions or overtones of meaning. The poet climbs the steps of
the temple, takes out two copper coins from his purse, and
throws them into the offertory box. He bows before the
Buddha, and his devotions thus finished, sits on the long,
broad, shining verandah. But the rattle of the coins, the
smell of the incense, the remote calm of the Buddhas is still
with him as he sits in the cool, shady breeze. The poetry
is in the sphere where the tinkling of the metal on the wood
of the great box, and the coolness of the gusty wind, are
perceived by the same spiritual organ.

In addition to the haiku described above, we must note
also that some poets have availed themselves of the haiku
form to express thoughts and ideas of predominantly intel-
lectual import. We have in English much didactic poetry
that has great value; this value is not a poetical one, but
indirectly it may give assistance to our poetical understanding
of life, by removing errors of thought that come from custom,
self-interest, or excessive abstraction.

Haiku, and not haiku alone, but the whole of Japanese
art and literature are aimed at the same infinity as that of
the western world of the last five centuries, but not through
space, not through the horizon. It is the infinite grasped in
the hand, before the eyes, in the hammering of a nail, the
touch of cold water, the smell of chrysanthemums, the smell
of this chrysanthemum. Haiku are thus an expression of the
union of those two forms of living which Spengler regarded
as irreconcilable and mutually ununderstandable, the Classical
feeling of the present moment, of restricted space, and the
Modern European feeling of eternity, infinity. It is this latter
which Matthew Arnold perhaps refers to when he says,
In many respects, the ancients are far above us, and yet there is something that we demand which they can never give.

Those who are interested in the subject should read Miyamori’s *An Anthology of Haiku, Ancient and Modern*, and better still, Henderson’s *The Bamboo Broom, An Introduction to Japanese Haiku*.

Of the great number of Japanese books that I referred to while writing this and the succeeding volumes, hardly any escaped the air-raids, but from memory or from new buyings, I give a list in Appendix 1.

A Romanized version of the haiku contained in this volume is given in Appendix 2. In the other volumes, the Romanised version will be given immediately under each haiku.

The illustrations in this volume, all explained in Section I, page 81 ff., have been chosen to show how the Japanese poets and artists gradually “toned down” the mysticism and realism of the Chinese artists to the delicate humour and tender crudeness of haiga.

R. H. Blyth,
Tôkyô,
January 1947.
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a page on a pine branch
SECTION I

THE SPIRITUAL ORIGINS OF HAIKU
To be explained in the present volume, is the historical development of the Zen state of mind into the creation of haiku by Bashō and his followers. We can trace it from its origins in pre-buddhistic thought in India, through Chinese culture, into the Japanese world-view and the poetic expression of it.

The aim of this first section is to give what may be called the background of all oriental culture; but this theatrical or pictorial metaphor is misleading, because mechanical. In haiku, all those deep thoughts and experiences of the Indian, Chinese, and Japanese races, rooted in the dark backward and abysm of time, here show their small, tender, flower-like faces with that faint, secret smile that instantly attests their heavenly origin and nature. We ourselves are to pass through all those phases once more until we can say of these verses, with Masefield,

Spring in my heart agen
That I may flower to men.

We do not wish to insist upon the thought that Zen is that alone which is common to haiku, the poetry of English literature, the mysticism of Sōshi (Chuang Tse), the lofty moral flights of Confucius; for when we do this, even within our own breast arises the feeling that things are after all not the same; each thing is itself and nothing else.

二もとの梅に迎速を愛す哉

The two plum trees;
I love their blooming,
One early, one later.

Buson
And when we assert the separateness of things, the mind swings back insensibly but inevitably to the other extreme of identity and sameness. This law is the profoundest realm of our mental universe. It belongs to the inherent, original, intrinsic inexplicability of everything.

The accompanying diagram represents the various streams of thought-feeling. The relation of oriental thought to haiku will be treated under these.
Buddhism

We must go back to pre-buddhistic Indian thought if we are to see the beginnings (as far as they are known) of what ultimately became the simple directness and instantaneous perception of haiku.

In the earliest times there was a realization that the secret of life is in the understanding of what the self is:

Those who depart from hence, without having discovered the self and those true desires, for them there is no freedom in all the worlds. But those who depart from hence, after having discovered the self and those true desires, for them there is freedom in all the worlds.

(Chand. Upanishad, 8, 1.)

There was that strong desire to find a way of escape from the world of suffering:

Lead me then over, I pray, to the farther shore that lies beyond sorrow. (Chand. Up. 7, 1.)

In the famous parable of the fruit of the Nyagrodha tree, we see the fact that truth is invisible,—yet before our eyes and within our grasp. In the seed, when it is opened and we see nothing, is the essence of the great tree:

That which is the subtle essence, in that, all that exists has its self. It is the True. It is the Self, and thou, O Svetaketu, art it.

(Chand. Up. 6, 13.)

Haiku are this "thou art it"; when a man becomes a
Plate 1

Dainichi Nyorai  (Kamakura Period)
bamboo grove swaying in the windy rain, a cicada crying itself and its life away, then he is "it".

That from whence these things are born, that by which when born, they live, that into which at death they re-enter, try to know that. That is Brahman.  

(Taitt. Up. 3, 1.)

The effect of Indian-Chinese-Japanese Buddhism of a general kind upon the life and thought of the Japanese people, and therefore upon haiku, may be treated of under two headings: (a) Popular ideas (b) Philosophical ideas.

(a) Life is sorrow and suffering. There is more than a tinge of this in Bashō and Issa; but Buson and Shiki, in their objectivity, feel the meaningfulness of things more deeply than their evanescence. The morning-dew nature of all things, even of the universe itself, may arouse grief; it may also be seen, or overlooked, as the inevitable element in all change and variety. In Buddhism, ignorance is the great evil of the world, rather than moral wickedness. The great problem of practical, everyday life is thus to see things properly, not to valuate them in some hard and fast moral scale of virtue and vice, use and uselessness, but to take them without sentimental or intellectual prejudice.

The polytheism of the ordinary Japanese, like that of the Greeks, had a great effect upon their mode of poetical life. The gods are many: Amaterasu, Miroku, Hachiman, Jizô, Amida, Dainichi Nyorai, Tenjin, Kwannon, Emma O, Shakamuni, Benten, and a hundred others.

But these gods are not far from us, either in place or in rank. There is also no clear-cut distinctinction between human and sub-human. The scale of beings in the Buddhist
universe puts man midway. The primitive animistic ideas of the Japanese fall in with the Buddhist system, and all are united by the theory of transmigration. The result is (or is it the cause?) that our sympathies are widened in both directions:

留守の間にあれたる 神の落葉かな
The god is absent;
Dead leaves are piling.
And all is deserted.

Bashō

さまづけに育てられたる 業かな
Bringing up the silkworms,
They call them
“Mister.”

Issa

(b) The Mahayana doctrine of the identity of difference, or indifference of opposites, is one that sets apart Buddhism and Christianity as nothing else does. This distinction explains how deeply connected Buddhist experience and Oriental poetry are, and why Christianity has been inimical or indifferent to such poets (as poets) as Wordsworth, Coleridge, Chaucer, Blake, Shelley. Paradox is the soul of religion, as it is of poetry, but where it is not recognized, or where it is anathematized, religion and poetry dwindle into dogma and sentimentality respectively.

Again, the Mahayana teaching of the equivalence of the phenomenal and the noumenal worlds offers to the Oriental mind that strange fusion of spirituality and practicality which is the most striking characteristic of Chinese art and Japanese haiku. It is this world, yet it is not this world. It does not

1 The word sama implies not only respect, but a gentle, pious feeling towards the silkworms.
hint at another world than this, an absolute; it is this everyday world seen for the first time as it really is, a play-
ground of Buddhas.

Buddhism is in a sense pantheistic, especially in the teaching of the Tendai and Shingon Sects, but the all which is one is not thought of as a person but, as something which is neither personal nor impersonal. The same is true of Amida in the Shin and Jōdō sects. Amida is only personalized for the sake of speech or conception; in actual fact he represents some ultimate. In the literal sense of the words, “God is love.”

The doctrine that all things, even the inanimate, have the Buddha-nature has far-reaching consequences. While the no-soul teaching of primitive Buddhism tends to efface the idea of a water-tight separate individuality of things, including ourselves, the belief that everything will one day attain Buddhahood gives value (gives equal value) to the most trivial objects, and lays a foundation for a spiritual and practical democracy that Christianity as such could never afford.

“Are ye not of greater value than many sparrows?”

The answer is “No”.

The following are verses by Bashō in which the Buddhistic element is obvious:

白魚や黒き眼をあく法の網
The whitebait
Opens its black eyes
In the net of the Law.
たこ瓶はかなき夢を夏の月
The octopuses in the jars:
Transient dreams
Under the summer moon.

もろもろの心柳にまかすべし
Yield to the willow
All the loathing, all the desire
Of your heart.

蓮池や折らでそのまま玉まつり
The lotuses in the pond,
Just as they are, unplucked:
The Festival of the Dead.

秋のいろ糖味噌醤もなかりけり
Signs of autumn;
I have no pot
Of rice-bran mash.

We may mention here one of Bashô's pupils, Sonojo, 四女, 1649-1723. She earned her living as an eye-doctor. After her husband died, she went to Edo, where she is buried. In later life she shaved her head and studied Buddhism. In a letter to the priest Unko, 雲虎, she writes:

不求真不求妄は大道の根源.....柳は緑花は紅わ
唯其徳にして常に句を云ひ歌を綴で遊び申候事
に候.....我平日の行は念仏と句と歌となり。極楽
へ行くはよし、地獄へ落ちるは目出たらし。

Not to seek for the Truth, not to seek error,—
this is the fundamental of the Great Way.....The
willow is green, the flower is red; just as things
are, haiku and waka must be composed...... My
days are passed in the saying of the Nenbutsu,
the making of haiku and waka. Going to Paradise is good, and to fall into Hell also is a matter of congratulation.

誰か見ん誰か知るべき行にもあらず
無きにもあらぬ法のともしび
Who can see it?
Who can have knowledge of it?
It is not in “that which is”,
Nor “that which is not”,—
This Light of the Law!

Her death verse, a waka, is in the true Buddhist, and one may say, Japanese spirit:

秋の月春の曉みし空は
夢かうついか南無阿彌陀仏
The skies seen in the dawn of spring,
Seen with the moon of autumn,—
Were they real? Were they a dream?
Namuamidabutsu!

All that is, all that seems to be the past and the present and the future, the discovered and the created worlds,—we are to live in them and by them and for them, self-less, with desire-less desire. This is Namuamidabutsu, and the reality or unreality of it all is to be the least of our concerns. It may be a dream from which we never wake; perhaps life is real, life is earnest; but the answer to every question must always be, “Namuamidabutsu!”
II

Zen

Zen is the putting into practice, the realizing (making real) of Mahayana Buddhism in daily life. A learned monk must show his "learning" when a robber threatens him, when he is being cheered by ten thousand people, when he is caught in a sudden downpour of rain, when he has to wait hours for a bus. At the same time, in the same action, and in the same state of mind, there is no robber, no rain, no waiting; nothing is shown, and there is no one to show anything. That is to say, on the one hand Zen is severely practical, on the other hand wildly idealistic and supra-rational; and yet it is only a man writing words on paper, or a mother giving her child the breast.

And what has this to do with haiku? We shall see. In the next few pages, some of the short passages collected in the Zenrinkushu are translated. This anthology was compiled by Eichō, 東陽英朝, who died in 1574, a disciple of 雲江, Seccō of Myōshinji. The items are what are known as agyo, 下語, or chakugo, 禅語, collected by Eichō from about two hundred books, including various Zen writings, e.g. The Hekiganroku, Mumonkan, Shinjinmei; the Sutras; The Analects, The Great Learning, The Doctrine of the Mean; Mencius; The Odes; Laotse, Chuangtse; the poetry of Kanzan, Tôenmei, Tôhô, Ritaihaku, Hakurakuten; the Tôshisen.

They were used, and still are, by monks studying Zen in the monasteries, who select the passage which seems to them to solve the problem they are given by the master. A glance
at the following will show a deep relation between them and haiku. In particular we may note:

破鏡不重照
落花難上枝
The broken mirror will not again reflect;
Fallen flowers will hardly rise up to the branch.

Compare this to Moritake's verse:

落花枝に歸ると見れば蝴蝶かな
A fallen flower
Returning to the branch?
It was a butterfly.

Moritake, 1472-1549, was a high priest of the Great Ise Shrine; it is quite probable that his verse was for him an original one. Take again the following:

春來遊寺客
花落閉門僧
When spring comes, many visitors enjoy themselves at the temple;
When the flowers fall, only the monk who shuts the gate is left.

The origin of this is unknown, but we may compare it with the following verses:

花散って又しづかなり園城寺
The cherry blossoms having fallen,
Enjōji Temple
Is quiet once more. Onitsura¹

¹ 1660-1783.
HAiku

The flowers are falling;
He shuts the great temple gate.
And departs.       Bonchô

花散りて木の間の寺となりぬけり
The cherry blossoms having fallen,
The temple
Through the branches.     Buson

Compare also:

不知何處寺、風送鐘聲來
I know not from what temple
The wind brings the voice of the bell.

花の雲錦は上野か浅草か
A cloud of cherry blossoms:
The bell,—is it Ueno?
Is it Asakusa?      Bashô

In the following selection, seventy three out of about four thousand, we can see the Zen view of the world on its way through poetry to haiku.

芭蕉葉上無愁雨
只是時人聴斷腸
The raindrops patter on the bashô leaf, but these are not tears of grief;
This is only the anguish of him who is listening to them.

溪聲便是廣長舌
山色豈非渾淨身
The voice of the mountain torrent is from one great tongue;

1 d. 1714.
2 1715 1783.
The lines of the hills, are they not the Pure Body of Buddha?

It is like a sword that wounds, but cannot wound itself;
Like an eye that sees, but cannot see itself.

To be able to trample upon the Great Void,
The iron cow must sweat.

It is like a tiger, but with many horns;
Like a cow, but it has no tail.

Meeting, the two friends laugh aloud;
In the grove, fallen leaves are many.

The cock announces the dawn in the evening:
The sun is bright at midnight.

\(^1\) Life.
\(^2\) Truth.
HAIKU

The voice of the fountain after midnight;
The colours of the hills at sunsetting.

The cries of the monkeys echo through the dense forest;
In the clear water, the wild geese are mirrored deep.

The wooden cock crows at midnight;
The straw dog barks at the clear sky.

Mountains and rivers, the whole earth,—
All manifest forth the essence of being.

The wind drops, but the flowers still fall;
A bird sings, and the mountain holds yet more mystery.

All waters contain the moon;
Not a mountain but the clouds girdle it.

Entering the forest, he\(^1\) does not disturb a blade of grass;
Entering the water he does not cause a ripple.

\(^1\) The poet.
SPIRITUAL ORIGINS

One word determines the whole world; One sword pacifies heaven and earth.

The plum tree, dwindling, contains less of the spring; But the garden is wider, and holds more of the moon.

The tree manifests the bodily power of the wind; The wave exhibits the spiritual nature of the moon.

Go out, and you meet Shakamuni; Go home, and you meet Miroku Buddha.

From of old there were not two paths; “Those who have arrived” all walked the same road.

Draw water, and you think the mountains are moving; Raise the sail, and you think the cliffs are on the run.

For example, of Christ.
HAIKU

In the vast inane there is no back or front;
The path of the bird annihilates East and West.

Only seeing the sharpness of the awl;
Not knowing the squareness of the stone-chisel.

This night the Buddha entered Nirvana;
It was like firewood burned utterly away.

One leaf, a Shakamuni;
One hair, a Miroku.

To preserve life, it must be destroyed;
When it is completely destroyed, for the first
time there is rest.

Perceiving the sun in the midst of the rain;
Ladling out clear water from the depths of the
fire.

When a cow of Kaishū eats mulberry leaves.
The belly of a horse in Ekishū is distended.
SPIRITUAL ORIGINS

袖中日月藏
掌内握乾坤
To have the sun and moon in one's sleeve;
To hold the universe in the palm of one's hand.

不向自己會
向什麼處會
If you do not get it from yourself,
Where will you go for it?

牛飲水成乳
蛇飲水成毒
The water a cow drinks turns to milk;
The water a snake drinks turns to poison.

叮嚀損君德
無言固有功
Many words injure virtue;
Wordlessness is essentially effective.

欄干雖共倚
山色看不同
Though we lean together upon the same balustrade,
The colours of the mountain are not the same.

善哉觀世音
全身入荒草
How good it is that the Whole Body
Of Kwannon enters into the wild grasses!

拈起一莖草
作丈六金身
Taking up one blade of grass,
Use it as a sixteen-foot golden Buddha.
The blue hills are of themselves blue hills;
The white clouds are of themselves white clouds.

If you have not read the *Analects*,
How can you know the meaning of Zen?

Planting flowers to which the butterflies come,
Daruma says, "I know not."

Heat does not wait for the sun, to be hot,
Nor wind the moon, to be cool.

Nothing whatever is hidden;
From of old, all is clear as daylight.

The old pine-tree speaks divine wisdom;
The secret bird manifests eternal truth.

Seeing, they see not;
Hearing, they hear not.
Just one pistil of the plum flower,—
And the three thousand worlds are fragrant.

Unmon's staff is too short;
Yakusan's baton is too long.

Every man has beneath his feet,
Ground enough to do Zazen on.

If you do not kill him,
You will be killed by him.

But even Tokun does not know.

If you meet an enlightened man in the street,
Do not greet him with words, nor with silence.

Where the interplay of "is" and "is not" is fixed,
Not even the sages can know.

¹ Died 996; famous for use of staff in teaching Zen.
² 751–834; also famous for use of stick.
³ The god of spring.
The water before, and the water after,
Now and forever flowing, follow each other.

What is written is of ages long ago,
But the heart knows all the gain and loss.

There is no place to seek the mind;
It is like the footprints of the birds in the sky.

Sitting quietly doing nothing,
Spring comes, grass grows of itself.

Above, not a piece of tile to cover the head;
Beneath, not an inch of earth to put one's foot on.

The mouth desires to speak, but the words disappear;
The heart desires to associate itself, but the thoughts fade away.
If you wish to know the road up the mountain,
You must ask the man who goes back and forth
on it.

Simply you must empty "is" of meaning,
And not take "is not" as real.

Is there anything to compare with wearing of
clothes and eating of food?
Beyond this there is no Buddha or Bodhisattva.

To know the original Mind, the essential Nature,
This is the great disease of (our) religion.

The Tathagata's True-Law Eye-Treasury,—
It is just like two mirrors reflecting each other.

It cannot be attained by mind;
It is not to be sought after through mindlessness.

SPIRITUAL ORIGINS
It cannot be created by speech;
It cannot be penetrated by silence.

雁無遺蹤之意
水無沉影之心

The geese do not wish to leave their reflection behind;
The water has no mind to retain their image.

落霞與孤鹜齊飛
秋水共長天一色

Falling mist flies together with the wild ducks;
The waters of autumn are of one colour with the sky.

老樹臥波寒影動
野煙浮草夕陽昏

The old tree leans over the waves, its cold image swaying;
Mist hovers above the grass, the evening sun fading.

不信只看八九月
紛紛黃葉滿山川

If you do not believe, look at September, look at October,
How the yellow leaves fall, and fill mountain and river.

落木千山天遠大
澄江一道月分明

Above the bare boughs of a thousand hills, a vast, distant sky;
Over the path of the river, a radiant moon.

拋出輪王三寸鐵
方知迥界是刀鋸
When Buddha thrust out his three inches of iron,  
Then for the first time were known the swords  
and spears of the world.

到得歸來無別事
庭山烟雨浙江湖

I went there and came back; it was nothing  
special:  
Mount Ro wreathed in mist; Sekkô at high tide.

Bashô and Zen

Before we speak of Bashô and Zen, we may refer to  
the relation of renga and Zen. Many of the masters of  
renga were monks, some of them of the Zen sect. Among  
them may be mentioned Musó Kokushi, 1271–1346, founder  
of Tenryûji Temple, which became the headquarters of the  
Rinzai branch of Zen. Several others were the pupils of  
Ikkyû, 1394–1481; among them is said to have been Sôkan,  
1458–1546, one of the greatest masters of haikai. Sôin,  
宗因, 1604–82, founder of Danrin School of haiku, also was  
a student of Buddhism, and received the tonsure at the hands  
of Houn Zenji of Fukushûji Temple, at the age of sixty four.

庭前に白く咲る椿哉

In the garden
The camellia is blooming
Whitely.

1 His tongue. Compare, "I came not to bring peace but a  
sword."
2 Poetry, like Zen, is nothing out the way.
3 The form of verse from which haiku developed. See page 130.
It is said that Onitsura, the great contemporary of Bashô, composed this in reply to a question of a Zen Master, Kudô, who asked what his haikai was. (空道和尚いかなる見汝が俳諧とはあれしに即答). This is of course a kind of imitation of the 37th Case of the Mumonkan:

趙州因偈問
如何是祖師西來意。州云、庭前柏樹子。

Jôshû was once asked by a monk,
“What is the meaning of Daruma's coming from the West?” (that is, the essence of Buddhism).

Jôshû answered,
‘The magnolia tree in the garden.”

There seems to be other indirect but satisfactory evidence of Onitsura's concern with Zen.

Bashô's direct contact with Zen was through Bucchô, 佛頂, the abbot of Konponji Temple, 根本寺, and the best way for us to get an idea of the relation between disciple and master is to read what Bashô wrote, when he visited the temple after Bucchô's death, in Oku no Hosomichi:

木啄も鹿はやぶらす夏木立
Even the woodpecker
Will not harm this hermitage
Among the summer trees.

Bucchô was one of Bashô’s teachers of Zen when the former was residing in Edo. The above verse was composed at the temple of Unganji, 雲岸寺, which is near Kurobane, 黒羽. He afterwards became the chief monk of Konponji, in Kashima, 庵島. The passage in Oku no Hosomichi in which the poem occurs is as follows:
In this region, behind Unganji Temple, deep in the mountains, is to be found Buccho’s hermitage.

Less than five foot square,
My thatched cottage;
It is a nuisance
To have to build even this,
But the rain......

He told me once that he had written this here with pine charcoal. Wishing to see the ruins, I went to the temple. Some people, most of them young men, came and offered to guide us. Making a great noise, before we knew it we reached the foot of the mountain, which was sequestered, and the valley path distant among pine trees and cryptomerias. Water dripping through the moss, it was cold even now, in the Fourth Month.
After seeing the Ten Views, we crossed the bridge and entered the Great Gate of the temple. But where were the ruins of Bucchō’s hermitage? Climbing up the mountain behind the temple, we found a small hut on a rock before a cave. I felt as if looking at Genmyō’s Death Gate or Hōun’s Stone Room. I wrote this verse on the spot, and left it on the pillar of the hut.

The verse is an expression of Bashō’s own feeling of reverence for his dead teacher. Even the woodpecker has not harmed the wooden posts of the hut in this lonely spot, far from the haunts of men.

Some of Bashō’s verses which have the “flavour of Zen,” 禪味, to a noticeable degree:

喫きみだす桜の中より初桜
From among the peach-trees
Blooming everywhere,
The first cherry blossoms.

鐘消えて花の香は淡く夕べかな
The sound fades,
The scent of the flowers arises,—
The bell struck in the evening.

原中や物にも着かず鳴くひばり
In the midst of the plain
Sings the skylark,
Free of all things.

庭掃いて雪を忘るゝ倦かな

1 Both Chinese monks.
Plate 2

Morning Glories

Bashō
Sweeping the garden,
The snow is forgotten
By the broom.

山も庭もうどき入るときや夏さしき
The mountains and garden also move;
The summer drawing-room
Includes them.

Bashō's disciples were naturally affected by the master's deep interest in Zen. It is said that when Kikaku was 13 years old, in 1674, he became a pupil of Bashō. He learned medicine, Confucianism, the Book of Changes, (from which he took his name) calligraphy, painting, Chinese poetry, haikai, and it seems he probably studied Zen to some extent, but it must be said that he had no idea of its real meaning. On the one hand he shows a kind of native freedom; he is not tied to any form of religious attitude. On the other hand, his depth is as it were accidental and fitful, and he easily degenerates into the puns and witticisms from which Bashō had delivered haiku.

Ransetsu, 風雪, studied Zen under Saiun, 濟雲, of Edo. His death poem is:

一葉ちる呑一はちるかざの上
A paulownia leaf falls;
Totsu! a single leaf falls,
Borne on the wind.

*Totsu* is a Zen exclamation, expressive of grumbling, of anger. It has the same meaning as *kwatsu*, 喝. This death-verse is worthy of a Zen adept. It is said that his name, Ransetsu, “Storm-Snow”, was taken from a Mondo, or question and answer, between himself and his teacher Saiun, who
asked, "What is there when the snow covers a thousand mountains?"

雪千山を埋めて何
He answered "A single peak is not white."

孤峰不白
From this also is said to have come his name Fuhakken, "Not white eaves", 不白軒, but all this is highly doubtful.

The disciple of Bashô who had the deepest understanding of Zen was Jósô, 丈草, 1661–1704. He studied under Gyokudô, 玉堂, of Senseiji Temple, of the Obaku branch of Zen, in his youth. He learned from him to write Chinese poems, and wrote a great number with a Zen meaning. His haiku also have the flavour that is imperceptibly unmistakable, for example:

水底の岩におちつく木の葉かな
Leaves,
Fallen on a rock
Beneath the water.

野も山も雪にとられてににもなし
Fields and mountains, —
All taken by the snow;
Nothing remains.

底を見て来た鴨の小鴨かな
The teal
Looks as if to say,
"I've been to the bottom!"

島, 1707–1787, a pupil of Rítô, 吳登, 1680–1754, f Ransetsu, after interviewing Hakuin, visited
Törei, 東嶺, at Ryutakuji, 龍澤寺, who composed a haiku and gave it to him:

飛込んだ力でうかぶ蛙かな

The frog
Rises up by the same force
With which it jumps in.

We have an example here of Zen (teaching) which is not haiku. Haiku is in no sense of the word didactic. If it is, like poetry, “a criticism of life,” this word “criticism” must itself be understood in a poetical and not philosophical or psychological or analytic sense.
Taoism, as represented by Laotse (Rōshi) and Chuangts. (Sōshi) reached Japan partly directly, and partly through Chinese poetry. Directly, perhaps, the influence was restricted, for Sōshi especially is very difficult in the original, though not in the English translation. The relation of Taoism to Zen is far from easy to make out. They may have originated together in the Chinese mind; Zen may be the practical application of Taoist ideals, grafted on to the Buddhist tree of religion. The orthodox history of Zen tells as that there was an unbroken succession of Zen patriarchs from Shakamuni through Daruma to the Chinese Zen masters. All this is of doubtful historicity, and somewhat improbable-sounding. However, the important thing for haiku is those ideas, those essays of the soul which have been conveyed to Japan from those old Chinese mystics. The following are characteristic passages from, first Rōshi, then Sōshi:

**RŌSHI**

道中而用之，或不盈。淵乎似萬物之宗。

(第四章)

The Way is an unfillable emptiness, a bottomless gulf, that is the origin of everything in the world.

天地不仁，以萬物為芻狗。

(第五章)

Heaven and Earth are ruthless; they deal with things as straw dogs. The sages are ruthless; they deal with people as straw dogs.
The Great Good is like unto water, water that serves all things without strife. It is where men dislike to be (in the lowest place.) This is why it is near the Way.

To be of few words is to follow nature. A tempest does not blow all the morning, neither does a storm of rain fall the whole day. Who is its cause? Heaven and Earth. And if Heaven and Earth do not keep it up so long, much less should man.

Learning is adding something every day. Following the Way is taking something away every day, taking away, taking away, until Inactivity is reached, that Inactivity which is All-activity.
Those who know, speak not. Those who speak, know not.

聖人欲不欲，不貴難得之貨。 (第六十四章)
The wise man wants things unwanted (by others); he does not prize things difficult to get.

信言不美，美言不信，善者不辯，
辯者不善，知者不博，博者不知。

(第八十一章)

Truth is not pleasant to hear. Pleasant-sounding words are not true. Good men do not argue. Argumentative men are not good. He who knows is not learned. The learned man does not know.

而後眼如耳，耳如鼻，鼻如口。

From now on, my eyes were one with my ears, my ears with my nose, my nose with my mouth.
Rishi, 2, 3.

Compare this with Rōshi, 56:

塞其戶，閉其門，挫其銳，解其紛，
和其光，同其塵，是謂玄同。

Shutting the door (of his mouth) closing the portals (of sight and sound), blunting sharpness, unraveling complications, tempering brightness, smoothing out the dust (of discrimination)—this is the mysterious levelling.

This is the region of such haiku as the following,—but not only of these:

海くれて鴨の聲はのかにしろし 生輝
The sea darkens:
Voices of the wild ducks
Are faintly white.

Bashō
SÔSHI

Keishi said to Sôshi: 'I have a great tree called 'The Pride of India'. Its trunk is so twisted and bulbous, a chalk-line\(^\text{1}\) is useless. The branches are so contorted that the compass and setsquare can do nothing. It stands at the roadside, but carpenters do not so much as glance at it. In the same way, Sir, your words are big and useless, and people are indifferent to them.' Sôshi replied "......Sir, you are grieved at the uselessness of your great tree; why not plant it in the Region of Non-being, the Domain of the infinitely Vast, wander beside it in a state of non-action, slumber peacefully\(^\text{2}\) reclined beneath its shade? It would not then be hurt of the axe; nothing could injure it. There being no way to use it, how should it suffer harm?'

唯達者知通為一。為是不用，而寓諸朋。

(廣物論第二)

Only "he who has arrived" knows and understands that all things are One. He does not take himself as separate from things, but identifies

\(^{1}\) For marking out lengths of timber.
\(^{2}\) The title of the chapter, "Peaceful Pleasure", comes from this phrase.
himself with them in their essential activity.

夫大道不稱，大辯不言，大仁不仁，
大義不義，大勇不怯。

The great Way does not express itself; perfect eloquence does not speak; absolute justice is not disinterested; complete valour is not courageous.

注而不滿，酌而不竭，而不知其所由來，此之謂葆光。

However much we pour in, never to brim over; to ladle out, never exhausting; moreover, not to know the reason for it,—this is called the Hidden Light.

When people were being called up by the authorities, the hunchback stood swaggering among them (taking 會 = 會). When the order came for public works, the hunchback, since he was a hopeless cripple, was not set to work. When the government distributed grain to the sick, he received three shô, and ten bundles of firewood. If then a hunchback, by reason of his bodily malformation, is able to nourish himself and live to the end of his allotted days, how much more profitable is it to be a moral hunchback!

若然者，其心志、其容寂、其顏頫。然似秋、煢然似春，喜怒通四時，與物有宜，而莫知其極。故聖人之用兵也，亡國而不失人心。利澤施乎萬世，不為愛人。故樂通物非聖人也。有獨非仁也。
Such a man (a Real Man) is unaffected by circumstances, his demeanour is full of repose, his (facial) expression undemonstrative. His coolness is that of autumn, his warmth that of spring. His emotions follow their natural course like the round of the four seasons. His harmony with natural things is beyond all human estimate. So when the wise man uses the army in such a way as to ruin the country, he does not lose the love of that people. If he bestows his benevolence on this and succeeding generations, it is not for love of the people. Thus it is not the part of a sage to make other beings happy. Being fond of things,—this is not universal Love.

夫藏舟於壑，藏山於澤，謂之固矣。然而夜半有力者負之而走，昧者不知也。藏小大有宜，猶有所遁。若夫藏天下於天下，而不得所遁。是恆物之大情也。 (第六)

A boat hidden in a creek, or (“a hilly island hidden”) in a marsh,—these are called safe. Even so, something strong may bear them away at midnight. Men in their delusion do not realize this. The hiding of small things in large ones is all right, but they may be lost. If on the other hand you hide the universe in the universe itself, there is no place where it can be lost. This is the Great-Nature of all things.

1 We may omit this altogether and take 有力者 as a man; or take 山 as a hill (island) and 有力者 as a force greater than man, i.e. Nature.
Shirai became suddenly ill, gasping and on the point of death. His wife and children stood weeping around him. Shiri went there and called out to them, "Shoo! be off with you; do not thwart this change of his!" Then leaning against the door (post) he said, "Wonderful indeed is the Creator! What will he make of you now? Will you be the liver of a rat, or the elbows of a worm?" Shiri replied, "A child must go obediently East, West, South, North, according as his parents tell him. In and Yó¹ are not merely a man's mother and father. When they bring me close to death, and I oppose them, I am rebellious and unruly; they are blameless. Great Nature, by bestowing upon me (human) form gives me a place (in the world;) by life, enables me to work; by old age, contentment; by death, cessation of existence."

Confucius said, "Fish are made for water, men for the Way. Those who live in water, give themselves wholly to ponds and are nourished therein; those who live in the Way, live at rest, a life of certitude. And so it is said, 'Fish are

¹ Ying and Yang, the negative and positive powers of Nature.
unconscious of rivers and lakes; men never think of the Way, and how to walk in it'.

Before we speak of the influence of Rōshi and Sōshi upon Bashō, we may mention what Sōin' wrote upon a portrait of Sōshi; in part:

莊周が文章にならひ,
守武が餘風を仰がざらんや

Do we not model ourselves upon the writings of Sōshi, and revere the influence of Moritake?

It ends with the verse:

世の中や蝶々とまれかくもあれ

The world
Is after all as the butterfly.
However it may be.

This of course refers to what is perhaps the best known passage from Sōshi:

不和周之夢為胡蝶與、胡蝶之夢為周與。

Am I a man who dreamed of being a butterfly, or am I a butterfly dreaming myself to be a man?

This was the origin of many haiku concerning butterflies, for it involves their identification with the poet in that light and dreamy way that is both part of the nature of the insect and of the poet.

The poet who most strongly insisted on the fact that "the writings of Sōshi are haikai", was Okanishi Ichū, 岡西惟中, died 1692, a disciple of Sōin. In one of his works, 俳諧収求, Haikai Mokyu, there occurs the following passage:

1 Founder of the Danrin School of haiku; 1604–82.
2 1472–1549.
北の海に鰤と言魚あり、其の魚の大さ幾千里と云ふ事をしらず、此島海の動く時北より南の海へうつらんとす。水に羽ちつ事三千里、風に乗てのぼる事九万里と表げり、是則心の天遊変化自然の大自在底なり。しかれば今する俳諧も、方寸の胸中より顕れ出て、天地の外に打むかひ自由変化の趣向をおもひめぐらし、有事ない事とり合て活法自在の句體を誠の俳諧と知るべし。

山にかけり野に遊びて、花をめで、紅葉にあとがるゝ折ふしきとに、此心をもて作する事は俳諧の直遙遊ならずや。

In the Northern Ocean there is a fish called the Kon, I know not how many ri in size. When the sea is moved, it prepares to depart to the Southern Ocean. It flaps its wings on the water for 3,000 ri. It ascends on a whirlwind 90,000 ri. This is the mind in its heavenly sporting, its transformations and naturel freedom. But haikai also appearing out from a breast of a few inches square and beholding what is beyond heaven and earth, ponders over and forms its idea of free change. Bringing together what is and what is not, we get a verse of living freedom. This is real haikai.

Wandering over the mountains, playing in the fields, admiring the cherry blossoms, yearning over the crimson leaves of autumn,—whenever we do these things, is not our state of mind that of "Enjoyment in Untroubled Ease"?

During the period covered by Bashô's life, Confucian studies

1 These five lines are the beginning of Sôshi.
flourished greatly, and 宋шибкаи and 宋missing were read together with Confucius and Mencius as a matter of course, their books and commentaries on them being published during this time. It is said that Bashō himself studied the Chinese Classics, and especially 宋missing and 宋missing, under Tanaka Dōkō, 田中村江, 1668-1742, but his dates hardly agree with those of Bashō, 1644-1694.

It should be mentioned that at this time it was common to apply the word gugen, 寓言, allegory, to 宋missing's thought, and to haikai also. This has a relation to the allegorizing of the Teitoku school of haikai.

Bashō's acquaintance with 宋missing is difficult to judge, but there is no doubt that the thought and mood of the Chinese "philosopher" was extremely akin to his own character. The number of quotations and references to 宋missing is comparatively large. Those to 宋missing are few, partly because he is rather more political in application, and partly because he has not the lofty flights of fancy that characterize 宋missing.

In Kikaku's 田舎の俳合, Inaka no Kuawase, 1680, it is said that Bashō took the name of 翔々斎, Kukusai. Previously he had assumed the pen name of Tōsei, 桃青, Green Peach, in admiration of Ritaihaku, whose name means White Damson, 李白. The name Kukusai, "Flying about", is taken from the celebrated passage of 宋missing at the end of Chapter 2, The Adjustment of Controversies, alluded to before:

背者莊周夢為胡蝶。栩栩然胡蝶也。自喻
適志與不知周也。俄然覺，則蘧蘧然周也。
不知周之夢為胡蝶與。胡蝶之夢為周與。

¹ See page 66.
Formerly, I dreamed I was a butterfly flying about enjoying itself. I did not know I was Sōshi. Suddenly I awoke and was Sōshi again. I did not know whether it was Sōshi dreaming that he was a butterfly, or a butterfly now dreaming it was Sōshi.

In the same Inaka no Kuawase we find the following verses by Yajin, the comments being by Bashō:

壁の麦粟千年をわらふとかや 野 人
壁に生る麦は朝露の暗朔をしらず冥霊大椿を諭するに似たり。
The barley by the wall
Laughs, it may be,
At the goose-grass's thousand years.

The barley by the wall is like the mushroom that knows nothing of the first of the month or the last day, like the tortoise that speaks of the Great Camellia Tree.

These two comparisons come from the first chapter of Sōshi.

風となりぬ蝸牛の空せ貝 野 人
The storm has come:
The empty shell
Of a snail.

蝸牛のうつせ貝もさびたり。されどもかれが角の上にあらずはんときは、右いさかまさりなんや。

This "empty shell of a snail" has sabi. But would it not be better to have them fight on the horns?
This comes from Sōshi, Chapter 25, in which Keishi introduces Taishinjin to the king; Taishinjin tells him of the two horns of a snail. On one horn there is a kingdom called Provocation, and on the other a kingdom called Stupidity. These are always fighting, causing misery and death among their inhabitants. Sōshi is of course pointing out the relativity of things.

In addition to these examples of Bashō's comments on haiku, we may give a few from Bashō's own compositions. In the Nozarashi Kikō, 1684:

I visited Tōmaji Temple at Mt. Futakami. In the garden I saw a pine tree; it must have been about a thousand years old, big enough to hide an ox, one may say. Insentient though it was, by its karma relation to the Buddha, it had avoided the sin of the axe, and this was both fortunate and praiseworthy.

The monk,—a morning-glory,
Dying again and again;
This pine-tree,—the Buddhist Law!

This reference to the ox comes from the fourth book of Sōshi:

A master-carpenter Seki, on his way to Sai, came to Kyokuen, and saw a tree, sacred to the
spirits of the land. It was big enough to hide an ox.

Sōshi is bent on showing the uselessness of this great tree, from whose branches even a boat could be hollowed out. Bashô is looking at it as a tree, lovingly and with awe, but he also wishes to have Sōshi’s peculiar flavour of spiritual thought, the Chinese “local colour”. He brings him in almost as a habit. In the Oi no Kobumi, 1687, we read:

かの三月の穀をあつむるに力を入れず。
I did not try very hard to collect provisions for those three months.

This comes from the first chapter of S’shi:

適千里者三月聚穀
He who goes a thousand ri will have to carry with him provisions for three months.

There are some haiku of Bashô which are connected in some way with S’shi, for example:

もろとしの俳諧とはん飛ぶ小蝶
I will ask,
Concerning the haikai of China,
This fluttering butterfly.

This has the proscript 茅草籬籬, “Written on a picture of Sōshi.” The “butterfly” refers of course, to Sōshi’s allegory given above. The same relation is seen in:

君や蝶我や莊子の夢てしろ
You are the butterfly,
And I the dreaming heart
Of S’shi?
Arise, arise,
And be my companion,
Sleeping butterfly!

Basho says, in the *Genjuan Diary*.

In the day-time, my mind is once in a while stimulated by people who call on me. Sometimes the old shrine-keeper, sometimes the young men of the village come in and tell how wild boars devour and lay waste the rice plants, how rabbits come often to the fields of peas, and such things, speaking of farming matters that are new to me. The sun is already behind the mountains, and I sit quietly in the dusk with my shadow, waiting for the moon. Lighting the lamp, I meditate on the truth of the words of the Penumbra.

This last sentence is based on a passage from *S'shi*:

The Penumbra asked the Shadow, "You just walked, and now you have stopped; you sat down and now you are standing; why are you thus inconstant?"  The Shadow replied, "I await the
movement of something (form), and that (form)
I wait for, waits for the movement of something
else (the Creator). My waiting to move is like
the waiting of the scales1 of the snake or the
wings of the higurashi2. How can I know why
I do this and why I don’t do that?"

In Oku no Hosonichi, Bashō quotes a part of a waka by
Saigyō:

[終夜嵐に波をはこせて]
月をたれたる汐越の松

(All night long, with the violent wind,)

The pine trees of Shiogoshi,
Hanging the moon in their branches,
(Roll up the waves.)

この一首にて数を尽きたり、若し一瓣を
加ふるものは、無用の指を立つるがごと
し。

He then goes on,

In this one verse, many scenes are expressed
to the full. Adding another word would be "a
useless finger."

This is a phrase that comes in the following passage from
Sōshi:

是故軀於足者述無用之肉也。枝於手者樹
無用之指也。 (餘抄、第八)

Therefore, adding to the foot is an addition of
flesh; adding to the hand is planting there a use-
less finger.

1 On which it glides along.
2 A kind of cicada.
IV

CHINESE POETRY

Chinese poetry affected haiku both through the Zen and the Taoism that infused it, and through its purely "poetical" merits of romance, nostalgia, world-weariness and evocations of glory. This last, which haiku has avoided, for some quite "Japanese" reason, may be illustrated by the following verse of Ritsuihaku, (Li Tai Po):

GAZING AT THE WATERFALL ON MT. RO

The sun shines upon the peak of Kôro, making the mist purple;
The cascade seen in the distance looks like a long river
Rushing straight down three thousand feet:
Is it not the Milky Way falling from the Ninth Heaven?

望盧山瀑布
日照香爐生紫煙
遙看瀑布掛前川
飛流直下三千尺
疑是銀河落九天

The older haiku poets at least hardly ever chose such subjects, nor did they treat them in such a vast way. There are big waterfalls in Japan, but there was an instinctive avoidance, almost a shrinking from such tremendous things. Compare the following verse by Bashô:
HAIKU

ぼろぼろと山吹ちるか瀧の音

Petals of the mountain rose

Fall now and then,

To the sound of the waterfall?

How delicate and particular this is. The mind seems to
grow more intense in the beauty and freshness of the scene.
The next example is by Hakurakuten.

PLAYING THE LUTE IN THE COOL
OF THE EVENING

The moon has arisen, the birds are all in their

nests;

I sit quietly among the trees, alone.

Now my heart is at rest,

And good it is to play the lute of white wood.

Cool, clear-sounding, according to its nature,

Thin and quiet, it follows the human heart.

The mind is filled with the spirit of peace,

As it responds to the ancient mode of Seishi.

The sounds linger on, and trembling, cease.

The melody is finished, autumn night profound.

The True Sound echoes the Primal Changes;

Heaven and Earth deepen serene.

This is the Chinese way of attaining unity. When we com-
Pleta 3

Landscape

Shōkei
pare them, the Japanese seems trivial, insignificant, almost an anticlimax:

In the drizzling rain at Furue,
The edge of the water
Is lost. Buson

The Japanese way is put plainly in the following verse from the *Zenrinkushu*:

Yuima is disinclined to open his mouth,
But on the bough, a single cicada is chirping.

The manner in which haiku poets made use of Chinese poetry differs according to the individual poet. To take Bashō and Buson as typical examples of this, Bashō constantly read the Chinese poets for inspiration, and to enrich his own poetical life. He was especially found of Tōhō, (who does not appeal to me personally). In *Oku no Hosomichi*, the very first words are an adaptation from some prose of Ritaihaku:

光陰者百年之過客
Time is an endlessly passing traveller.

Bashō has

月日は百年の過客にして、行きかふ年も
又旅人なり。

Months and days are eternal travellers; the passing years are travellers too.

Later on, we have phrases like

片雲の風にさそはれて、
a solitary cloud invited by the wind,
Spring departing,
Birds weeping,
Tears in the eyes of the fish.

These are echoes of Tōhō.

Even if sorrow and anxiety weighed on me
and turned my hair grey under a foreign sky,
comes from a poem by Hakurakuten:

In September this year I came to the province of Go;
Both sides of my disordered hair became suddenly white.

The following passage,

Feeling as though earth were falling from
the edges of the clouds,
comes from a verse of Tōhō:

Entering on the windy slope of stone, the edges
of the clouds rained dust.

Another example:

In the rain, the scenery was wonderful, and
after the rain I hoped that it would be more so.

This comes from one of Sotōba’s two poems on Drinking on
the Lake, after the Rain Cleared Up.

There is a description of Matsushima:

Some (islands) appear like a child on the back, some like a child at the breast, some like a man caressing his children or grandchildren.

This probably comes from Tōhō’s Gazing at the Mountains:

All the peaks in array look like children and grandchildren.

There are some haiku of Bashō which are based more or less directly upon verses of Tōhō, for example:

Sad at the cry of the monkey,
Seeing the abandoned child in the autumn wind,
How would he feel?

This comes from Autumn Diversion: Eight Poems of Autumn Diversion:

Hearing the monkeys, indeed three tearful wails!

Bashō’s verse occurs in the Nozarashi Nikki, and represents a real experience while passing near the River Fuji. It is different in feeling from the Chinese poem which provided part of the thought.

These are concrete examples of the way in which the thoughts and feelings of the Chinese poets were continually run-
HAIKU

ning through Bashō's mind. It shows what his ideals of literature were, though his idea of haiku included something quite new and original,—unique, indeed, in world literature. But with his haiku, all this Chinese poetical life is absorbed completely into his own, and there are few examples of direct borrowing. Some more are noted in individual haiku.

With Buson and several other of the older haiku poets, we find something quite different. In a great many of his verses we see Buson aiming at the same object as the Chinese. In extreme cases, of which there are quite a number, he takes whole phrases and embodies them in a new setting. Many examples may be found in the body of this work, but one may be set down here as typical. Buson's verse is:

春夜聞琴

恵湖の雁のなみだやおぼろ月

Listening to the lute one spring evening.

Tears
For the wild geese of Shōshō;
A hazy moon.

The River Shōshō is that which flows into the famous Lake D'iei; it is well known for its Eight Views. The wild geese of Shōshō are mentioned in the poem of a famous Chinese poet Senki, found in the Tōshisen:

帰 雁

瀟湘何事等閑同
水碧沙明兩岸苔，
二十五絃彈夜月，
不勝清怨卻飛來。

A hazy moon.

Tears
For the wild geese of Shōshō;
A hazy moon.

The River Shōshō is that which flows into the famous Lake D'iei; it is well known for its Eight Views. The wild geese of Shōshō are mentioned in the poem of a famous Chinese poet Senki, found in the Tōshisen:
RETURNING WILD GEESE

Why do they so blindly depart from Shôshô?
The water is blue, the sand is white, the moss
on both banks green;
Should the lute of twenty-five strings be played,
on a moon-lit night,
With the overwhelming emotion will they not return?

The lute of twenty five strings was played by Gaô and Joei,
the two daughters of Gyô, who both died at this spot. The
“tears” of Buson’s verse is connected with the two sisters.
The title is in the Chinese style, though it seems to be original
with Buson. His verse is entirely Chinese in feeling and is as
far from the simplicity and directness of haiku as it is possible
to go in seventeen syllables. Buson probably heard the koto
being played one spring evening, and expressed his own feel­
ings, using the scenery of Shôshô, the wild gëese returning,
the tears of Gaô and Joei, as the material, and adding the
hazy moon, thus changing the season from autumn to spring
to fit the romantic element. In other words, Buson’s verse is
literature, it is poetry,—but it is not haiku, except in the sense
that we are willing to accept anything good or interesting in
the haïku form as a contribution to culture and the pleasures
of life. Contrast the above verse with a real haïku by Buson:

洗足の礫も漏りて行く春や
It leaks too,
The tub for foot-washing:
Departing spring.

Here we have the harmony of an abstraction with a concrete
instance of it, which is nevertheless also a purely accidental accompaniment. This verse is not a very good one, but it is given as being at the opposite pole of poetic life and technique to the former.

Another of Ritaihaku, nearer to the spirit of haiku, but still somewhat diffuse:

宿清溪主人
夜到清溪宿。
主人碧巖裏。
簾帳掛星斗。
沈慶攜風水。
月落西山時。
啾々夜猿起。

LODGING AT AN INN AT SEIKEI

I reached Seikei at night,
Where my friend lives among the green rocks;
Between the pillars under the eaves,
Stars were hung,
Rustling of leaves and trickling of water close by;
When the moon fell behind the western hills,
The night-cry of the monkeys arose,—whee! whee!

As stated before, Japanese poets, particularly haiku poets, have a natural tendency towards the small, away from the magnificent, as though they felt there was something rather vulgar about the grand in nature. There are a few haiku certainly, which portray the vaster aspects of nature, for example:

あら海や佐渡に横たふ天の川
A wild sea,
And stretching out towards the Island of Sado,
The Milky Way.

Bashō
Chinese poets, possibly from the geography and history of their native country, give us time and space in extenso. The past, in haiku, is nearly always the individual, not the historical past. Space is felt in the sky, both of the day and night, but there is little of the vast distances on earth, ranges of mountains and endless plains that we meet in the Chinese poets. In the following poem of Hakurakuten, the last two lines are quite un-Japanese both in their hint of moralizing and generality, and in the preference of the large and aged to the small and short-lived.

秋 蝶

秋花紫蒙蒙。秋蝶黃非非。
花低蝶新少。飛戲叢西東。
日暮涼風來。紛紛花落盡。
夜深白露冷。蝶已死叢中。
朝生夕俱死。風顏各相從。
不見千年鶴。多接百丈松。

THE AUTUMN BUTTERFLY

The purple flowers of autumn bloom in profusion,
The yellow butterflies of autumn flutter to and fro.
Flowers begin to droop, new butterflies are small;
East and west they flirt in twos and threes.
The sun goes down, a cold wind arises;
Flowers fall and scatter in the bushes.
Night deepens, the white dew is chill;
The butterflies have already perished in the thickets.
Born in the morning, they die that night.
In mutual accord with each other;
Have you not seen how the thousand year crane
Dwells so often in the lofty pine tree?
The following is called *Early Spring*, but this means rather *Thoughts in Early Spring*.

**EARLY SPRING**

Snow is disappearing, ice is melting,
The landscape is softened, the wind is mild.
Gardens and fields are moist,
Young greens growing along by the fence.
In my house, all is quiet and leisure;
The westering sun floods slanting into the gateway.
If I do not open the books of Rōshi and Sōshi,
With whom should I wish to converse?

The last two lines have such remote connection with the title that we may say that they have none. The following again is talking about a certain state; haiku is being in it.

**SLEEPING, AND ON WAKING, SITTING QUIETLY IN THE DUSK**

In the rear arbour I slept all the afternoon.
Rising, I sat there, the spring scene darkening. Having just awakened, eyes were still dim, But my mind was at rest, without thought. Quiet and unattached, it had returned to its unity; Laying aside the myriad ideas, it was empty and still.

There is nothing by which we can hint At the enlightenment of such a time. It is simply the state of is—-is not, Called also, "the realm of the useless." The state of Zen, and of "forgetful sitting," The same in essence, are not two roads.

This "forgetful sitting" S'shi explains as "keeping down the body, causing the intelligence to retire, separating oneself from form, discarding all wisdom."

Rogers, Pope, Greene, and Cowper say:

Mine be a cot beside the hill.

Happy the man, whose wish and care, A few paternal acres bound.

Sweet are the thoughts that savour of content.

O for a lodge in some vast wilderness.

This was little more than a literary convention in England, but in China and Japan actually practised. Nothing appealed more to the haiku poets than the Chinese poems of a life of solitude. Of the Chinese poets, the most famous in this respect is Tőenmei, whose prose and poetic descriptions of his retirement are classics also of Japan. As a shorter example, we may take the following poem of Hakurakuten:
A LIFE OF SECLUSION IN LATE AUTUMN

I live in a withdrawn, out-of-the-way place;
Few come to visit me.
Putting on my clothes I sit quietly,
And nourish my peace of mind.
The autumn garden, I do not sweep;
With a staff of wistaria in my hand,
I slowly walk over the yellow paulownia leaves.

This is entirely in the spirit of haiku, especially the particularity, the concreteness and sensuousness of the last two lines.

Japanese poets have studied rain in all its aspects, the different kinds of rain, windy slashing rain, steady drumming rain, the shower, the noiseless, invisible rain that Hakurakuten describes in the following:

WALKING AT NIGHT IN FINE RAIN

Autumn clouds, vague and obscure;
The evening, lonely and chill.
I felt the dampness on my garments,
But saw no spot, and heard no sound of rain.
The relation between Hakurakuten, and Sôshi is a very strong and profound one. The second chapter of Sôshi, 齊物論, is made the subject of two poems by Hakurakuten who explicitly aligns himself with Sôshi in his world view, and view of human nature:

齊物二首
青松高百尺，綠薑低數寸
同生人塊間，長短各有分
長者不可進，短者不可退
若用此理推，窮通兩無隔

其二
椿壽八千春，槿花不經宿
中間復何有，冉冉孤生竹，
竹身三年老，竹色四時綠，
雖謝椿有餘，獨勝槿不足。

1
The lofty green pine tree is a hundred feet tall;
The lowly orchid, only a few inches of green.
Both live between the same heaven and earth;
Each has its share of length and shortness.
That which is long cannot retract;
Nor that which is short increase its stature.
A man who lives out this truth,
Will never know sorrow from riches or poverty.

2
Eight thousand years, and the Camellia has reached its spring;
The flower of a Rose of Sharon lasts only a day.
What is there between these two?
There is the bamboo, that grows on by itself.
The bamboo is old after three years,
Yet it is green in every season.
Though it cannot compare with the Camellia,
It far surpasses the Rose of Sharon.

The second verse, (it cannot be called a poem) is a version of the end of the first chapter of S’shi.

There is another of these philosophical-botanical poems which is of interest:

A QUESTION TO A FRIEND

I planted an orchid; I did not plant mugwort.
But the orchid came up and so did the mugwort.
Their roots and rootlets mingled and spread out;
Their stems and leaves intertwined and flourished.
The sweet-smelling stalks and the evil-smelling leaves
Both day and night grew longer and thicker.
If I weed out the mugwort, I may injure the orchid;
If I water the orchid, I shall nourish the mugwort.
As yet I am unwilling to water the orchid,
Neither can I get rid of the mugwort.
I ponder and ponder and cannot decide:
I ask you, what should I do?
This is the dilemma of the practical world as seen by the dichotomous intellect, solved by life, by living, which alone can be and not be, speak and be silent, exist and not-exist, at one and the same timeless moment.

Buddhism, the Chinese poets treated sometimes seriously and sometimes with ridicule; both attitudes were well-deserved. At the end of a short poem, *White Hairs*, Hakurakuten makes the following remarks concerning old age and death in particular, and human life in general:

In the nature of things, life, old age, death, These three ailments follow one another for long. Apart from belief in something beyond living, Men have no remedy.

This 無生念 is the thought of and faith in something which is neither life nor death but something transcending both, yet livable in this world.

In the following poem, also by Hakurakuten, the Buddhist view of the body is expressed in a moderate, common-sense Chinese fashion:

和平自由

I do not love this body of mine,
Nor do I hate it.
Why should I love it?
It is the source of all the desires and passions.
Why should I hate it?
It but an empty collection of dust.
Only by not hating and not loving it
Can we be free and at peace.

Here we see the thought of Sōshi mingled with the thought and terminology of Buddhism. Hakurakuten makes fun of Buddhism and Buddhist monks in the following:

Deriding an Aged Monk for Worshipping the Sutras

Burning incense, lighting tapers,
A white-haired old monk is chanting the Thousand Buddhas’ Names Sutra.
For how many years has he been drinking the wine of Sravaka?!
Up to now he has never wakened from his stupor.

Here, however, it is superstitious regard for the written word that he is attacking. Nevertheless, Hakurakuten was a free-lance and would have attacked even poetry itself, let alone Zen in any form. He assails Rōshi in his well-known verse:

言者不知知者默，此語吾聞於老君。
若道老君是知者，緣何自著五千文。

1 Hinayana doctrine of personal salvation.
The speaker does not know; the knower does not speak.
Thus we have heard from Rôshi.
If Rôshi is to be called a knower,
Why did he write five thousand words?

He also criticises Sôshi’s doctrine of the unity of all things,
the identity of contraries, in the following way:

閱讀莊子

莊生齋物同歸一，我道同中者不同。
適性逍遙雖一致，譬風飄校勝蛇蛰。

Reading Sôshi

Sôshi reduces all things to one;
I believe that in unity there is diversity;
Though by their own nature they live in equal happiness,
A phoenix is slightly superior to a snake.

Nevertheless, in a poem written in his old age, an occasional verse, 偈作, after describing a day of his life spent in quiet meditation and walking alone in the fields, he concludes:

是非以貫，身世交相忘。
若問此何許，此是無何鄉。

Perceiving that the relative is the absolute,
Forgetting both oneself and the world,
What condition is this, you ask?
It is the realm of [Sôshi’s] Serene, Self-less Inactivity.
As an example of Chinese poetry that is pure haiku we may take the following:

夜 雨
早蟬嘰復歇，殘燈滅又明，
隔窗知夜雨，芭蕉先有聲。

RAIN AT NIGHT

A cricket chirps and is silent:
The guttering lamp sinks and flares up again.
Outside the window, evening rain is heard;
It is the banana-plant that speaks of it first.

This is two haiku, rather than one; first:

A cricket chirps.
And is silent:
The guttering lamp sinks.

It will be noted that this avoids the parallelism, more or less an inevitable accompaniment of rhyming verse. Haiku are both asymmetric in form and asymmetric in thought. It might be better to arrange the lines thus:

The guttering lamp sinks;
A cricket chirps,
And is silent.

The second "haiku" is even more suitable to the haiku form, since it is the essence of the original poem:

Evening rain:
The bashō
Speaks of it first.

Hakurakuten's verse is an example of George Moore's definition of "pure poetry,"
Plate 5

Bird and Apples  

Chôshô
Something that the poet creates outside of his own personality,

if we understand by this clear yet ambiguous statement, that the world is reflected in the mind of the poet as in an undistorted mirror, the growth and life of the poet's mind being identical with that movement of things outside him. By some happy chance, the apparent peculiarities and idiosyncrasies of the poet correspond exactly with the vagaries of the universe, and what he expresses as personal feeling within, is law without; what he creates out of nothing, is what God made out of the void. Pure poetry therefore appears to us super-personal, extra-personal, and so it is, for such a poet speaks not for himself, but for mankind. It should be remembered, however, in regard to poetry in general, and also for some haiku, that a poet's own personal prejudices and whims, weaknesses and over-emphasizings, may produce romantic, subjective, lyrical verse that is nevertheless poetry because of some subtle power the poet possesses and bestows on us, of taking those personal elements and subjective attitude, and standing outside even them. Such, for example, is the poetry of Heine. The following verse by Shiki is of this kind:

\[
\text{工夫して花にランプを吊しべきり}
\]
\[
\text{Hanging a lantern}
\]
\[
\text{On a blossoming bough,—}
\]
\[
\text{What pains I took!}
\]

In view of the large number of quotations from Hakurakuten, it should be mentioned that his influence on Japanese literature was very strong indeed from the ninth century to the fifteenth. The No play, Hakurakuten, by Seami, is a proof of this, and represents the persistent influence of
five hundred years. Sumiyoshi, (or Suminoe, 住吉) the god of waka, drives back Hakurakuten to China:

住吉の 神の力のあらん程はよも日本をば
従へさせ給はじ、速に浦の波立ち帰り給へ
楽天

The strength of the god Sumiyoshi is such that it will not allow you to conquer Japan; swiftly go back, Rakuten, over the waves of the bay.

As evidence of the wide-spread nature of the influence of Hakurakuten, especially among the ruling classes and the literati, we may quote the following anecdote from 皇朝史略, A Brief History of the Emperors, by Aoyama Enu, 1776–1842, concerning the Emperor Takakura, the eightyeth Emperor of Japan, 1169–80:

高倉天皇幼時、有獻楓者。天皇愛之、命藤原信成守之。一日、仕丁將飲酒、翦枝為薪以煨酒。信成見而大驚收仕丁、將之罪、會天皇使信成上其樹、信成具奏其狀、叩頭請罪、天皇從容曰、唐詩有云、林間煨酒煑紅葉。誰數仕丁作此風流，無復所聞。

When Takakura Tennō was young, someone presented him with a maple tree, which he treasured highly. He ordered Fujiwara Nobunari to take charge of it. One day, some palace workmen, intending to drink wine, cut off some branches to make a fire and warm it. Nobunari, seeing this, was greatly upset, seized them and prepared to punish them; the Emperor had told him many times to be careful about the tree. Nobunari
reported the matter in detail to the Emperor, prostrated himself, and asked to be punished. The Emperor calmly replied, "A Tang poem" says,

Burning the red autumn leaves, we warm wine in the forest.

Who taught the workmen such an elegant accomplishment?" and referred no more to the matter.

1 This "Tang poem" is a verse by Hakurakuten.
Confucianism contributed a certain sobriety, reserve, lack of extravagance and hyperbole, brevity and pithiness, and a moral flavour that may sometimes be vaguely felt, but is never allowed to be separated, as it is in Wordsworth and Hakuurakutei., from the poetry itself. The relation between haiku and Confucianism is all the more profound, the influence of the one on the other is all the more subtle, because of the apparent disparity between the two. But Confucianism is a much more poetical thing than most people suppose. In fact, as of Christianity and all other religions, one may say that what in it is poetical is true, using the word true in the sense of something that feeds the life of man, which can be absorbed into our own life and yet have a life of its own, which is organic and growing. For example, at the very beginning of the Analects, Confucius says,

有朋自遠方來，不亦樂乎

Is it not delightful to have a friend come from afar?

Bashō repeats this in,

さびしさを問でくれぬか桐一葉

A paulownia leaf has fallen;
Will you not come to me
In my loneliness?

addressed to Ransetsu.

In Confucius, his love of music and poetry is never to be
forgotten. He devotion to the Odes, his not knowing the taste of meat for three months after hearing a certain piece of music,¹—this is what gave him the power to influence the Chinese race for three thousand years. Men are poets and musicians in a sense that they are not philosophers and sages. Men do not live by bread alone, but by every poetic word that proceeds out of the mouth of God. Confucius said:

子曰興於詩，立於禮，成於樂（論語八、八）
Arise with poetry;
Stand with propriety;
Grow with music.

The mind is roused by poetry, made steadfast by propriety, and perfected by music. This poetic, musical, charming quality in the deeds and talk of Confucius naturally made him difficult to understand, and this is why he said,

得其門者或窺矣 （論語十九、二十八）
Few there be that find the door.

If Confucius had been a mere moralist, he could never have said this.

Confucius comes very close to Zen and haiku in the following passage:

子在川上曰，逝者如斯夫，不舍昼夜
（論語九，十六）
Standing by a stream, Confucius said, "It ceases not day or night, flowing on and on like this."

The Zen of this is not so much in the direct grasping of the meaning of something, as the expression of it without ex-

¹ 子在齊聞韶，三月不知肉味（論語七，十三）
pressing it. This also is dangerous, but not so dangerous as words. Confucius himself said,

不知言無以知人也  (論語二十·三)

If you do not know (the meaning of) words, you do not know men.

An example of this is in such a sentence as “Without knowing propriety, we cannot establish ourselves.” If we take the words “know”, “propriety”, “establish”, in their ordinary, everyday, unpoetical, intellectual significance, this statement has little meaning, and that cold and pedantic, with no power to move us. But if we take “know” to mean “believe and have faith in”, “confide ourselves to”; “propriety” to mean “a harmonious mode of living”, “a poetical way of doing everything”, “a deep, inward rightness of relation between ourselves and all outward circumstances”; “establish ourselves” to mean “become a real human being, be cheerfully unaffected by the vicissitudes of fate”; then Confucius’ “Stand with propriety” comes alive. We feel him putting into practice in his own person that which the words stiffen and disfigure as they try to manifest it forth. In the same spirit we are to read such passages as the following, all from the Analects:

人焉廋哉，人焉廋哉， (二·十)

How can a man conceal his nature? How can a man conceal his nature?

獲罪於天，無所祷也 (三·十二)

He who offends against Heaven has none to whom he can pray.

1 Another translation of “Stand with propriety”.
Tics sacrificed to the spirits as if the spirits were present.

仁者安仁。 (四二)
A virtuous man finds rest in his virtue.

There are traces of Taoist elements in the Analects:

君子之德風，小人之德草，草上之風必偃。 (十二，十九)

Kikô was worried about thieves, and asked Confucius concerning the matter. Confucius replied, "If you were desire-less, they would not steal, even for rewards."

The sage is the wind, ordinary people the grass; with the wind on it, the grass must bend.

The animism from which both religion and poetry sprang and which is still the fountain and motive force of all our religion and poetry today, may be illustrated, in early Chinese thought, from The Doctrine of The Mean, Chapter XVI:

子曰，鬼神之為德，其盛矣乎，視之而弗見，聽之而弗聞，體物而不可遺。

Confucius said, "The power of spirits, how abundant! We look, but do not see them; we listen, but do not hear them; yet they sustain all things, and nothing is neglected by them."

In Rôshi XIV, these are identified with the Way:
Looking at it, it is not seen, and thus is named colourless. Listening to it, we cannot hear it, and name it soundless. Feeling for it, we cannot get it, and name it formless.

In China, as in Japan, the gradual tendency, during three thousand years, was the mingling of what started as three distinct trains of thought, Confucianism, Taoism and Buddhism—to add a fourth, Zen. As a late example of this synthesis we may take the *Saikontan*, written by Kôjisei, 洪自誠. Details of the life of the author are not known, nor the date of the book, but it was already in existence in 1624. The *Saikontan* consists of three hundred and fifty nine short pieces of prose and verse, the shortest of fourteen characters, the longest of seventy four. This form of writing, epigrammatic, or something of a prose poem, became popular and indeed universal in the Ming Dynasty. The name *Saikontan* means literally “vegetable root discourses,” and is used to imply that only a man leading a simple life is capable of being a poet or philosopher. It came into Japan probably through Zen priests or Nagasaki merchants.

The following extracts will give an idea of his not always complete assimilation of Zen, Taoism and Confucianism. But the reader is all the more urged to apply each of the following extracts, whatever their ostensible purport, to poetry, religion and practical conduct, remembering that if these three are not one, they are not three.

1 E.g. Ingen, 隱元, who became naturalized in Japan, where he arrived 1654, ten years after the birth of Bashô.
Strong wine, fat meat, peppery things, very sweet things, these have not real taste; real taste is plain and simple. Supernatural, extraordinary feats do not characterize a real man; a real man is quite ordinary in behaviour.

The true Buddha is in the home; the real Way is everyday life. A man who has sincerity, who is a peace-maker, cheerful in looks and gentle in his words, harmonious in mind and body towards parents and brethren, such a man is vastly superior to one who practises breathing control and introspection.

If the mind is clear, a dark room has its blue sky; if the mind is sombre, broad daylight gives birth to demons and evil spirits.

The just man has no mind to seek happiness; Heaven therefore, because of this mindlessness, opens its inmost heart. The bad man busies himself with avoiding misfortunes; Heaven therefore confounds him for this desire. How unsearchable are the ways of Heaven! How useless the wisdom of men!
Water not disturbed by waves settles down of itself. A mirror not covered with dust is clear and bright. The mind should be like this. When what beclouds it passes away, its brightness appears. Happiness must not be sought for; when what disturbs passes away, happiness comes of itself.

The Way is common property. It should be pointed out to all we meet. Learning is as ordinary as eating rice at home. According to the circumstances, it should be applied circumspectly.

The ancients left rice for mice, and did not light lamps out of pity for moths. These thoughts of theirs are the operation point of humanity in life. Lacking this, a man is a mere earthen, wooden body.

At the sound of the bell in the silent night, I wake from my dream in this dream-world of ours. Gazing at the reflection of the moon in a clear pool, I see, beyond my form, my real form.

Birds' songs are the songs of men.
Flowers and grasses are not the marks of the way.
Shiki soku ze ku
Takuan
The song of birds, the voices of insects, are all means of conveying truth to the mind; in flowers and grasses we see messages of the Way. The scholar, pure and clear of mind, serene and open of heart, should find in everything what nourishes him.

Men know how to read printed books; they do not know how to read the unprinted ones. They can play on a stringed harp, but not on a stringless one. Applying themselves to the superficial instead of the profound, how should they understand music or poetry?

If you know the inner significance of things, the misty moon of the Five Lakes is all within you. If you understand the activity of human phenomena, the heroism and nobility of the great men of all ages is in your grasp.

Walking alone, leaning on a staff, in a valley of pine-trees, clouds rise round my monkish robes. Sleeping with a book as my pillow by the window beneath the bamboos, I wake when the moonlight steeps the floor-cloths,
Just as a whirlwind roaring down a valley leaves nothing behind it, so the ear is to have nothing to do with right and wrong. Just as the moon only reflects its light in a pool, so the mind, empty and unattached, does not know itself and the outside world as two things.

(三五九) 波浪藐天, 丹中不知懼, 而舟外者寒心狂
狂罵座, 席上不知警, 而席外者咋舌, 故
君子身雖在事中, 心要超事外也。

When waves reach the sky, those in the boat are unaware of the danger, but onlookers are trembling with fear. A drunken diner is swearing and cursing at the others, but they are quite unalarmed, whereas those outside are “biting their tongues” (in apprehension of a quarrel). Thus with the superior man, his body may be immersed in affairs, but his mind is above and beyond them.

(三五八) 茶不求精而壺亦不燥, 酒不求冽而樽亦不
空, 素琴無絃而常調短笛無腔而自適, 縱
難超越褒皇可匹諸賢院。

Though my tea is not the very best, the pot is never dry. My wine is not exquisite, but the barrel is not empty. My plain lute, though stringless, is always in tune. My short flute, though a formless one, suits me well. I may not perhaps be able to surpass the Emperor Gi, but I can equal Kei and Gen.

(三五九) 綱氏隨緣, 吾儒素位, 四字是渡海的浮囊,
盛世路茫茫一念求全, 則萬緒紛起, 隨寓
而安, 則無入不得不矣。

Following Buddha’s “adapting ourselves to circumstances,” and our Confucian “acting in
accord with one's position”, these two phrases are the life-buoy for us to pass over the sea of life. The paths of life are illimitable. If we desire perfection, all kinds of obstacles arise, but if we obey our destiny, we are free everywhere.

As stated above, the general tendency in Japan has been for the fusion of Buddhism, Confucianism and Shintō. Fujiwara Seika, born in 1651, a Zen priest who afterwards abandoned Buddhism, was the founder of the Shushi School of Confucianism in Japan. He said that the three were different in their principles, but the same as to the final state to which their followers attain. Amenomori Hōshū, who died in 1755, declared that Rōshi was the sage of emptiness, Buddha the sage of mercy, Confucius the sage of sages. What is known as the Yomei School was really founded by Rikushōzan, who said,

Self is everything; the mind constitutes the six classics.

Nakae Tōju, one of the greatest men that Japan has produced, the virtual founder of the Yomei School in Japan, said that Heaven and Earth and man appear to be different, but they are essentially one. This essence has no size, and the spirit of man and the infinite must be one.

Nakane Tori, a priest of the Jidō sect, converted to Confucianism, said what might be taken as the philosophic basis of haiku:

1139—1200.
2 1472—1523.
3 1138—92.
4 1608—1648.
5 Born 1694.
The aim of learning is but to abolish the "fence" which separates man from man. In other words, the distinction between he and I will be abolished when we are truly educated.

The universe and humanity are one, and my parents, brothers, and all men are my self. Sun, moon, rain, dew, mountains, rivers, birds, animals and fish are also my self. Therefore I should love and sympathize with others, because they are my self, and not separable from me.

Oshio Chusai¹ said,

Even the broken grass, or the fallen tree, or the cut stone gives us sorrow, because we feel they are in our minds.

It may be seen from the above extracts that during the second half of the seventeenth century, that is, during the lifetime of Bashō, Confucianism was making a remarkable contribution to the culture of Japan, and to the nourishment of the spirit of haiku. Especially to be mentioned during this period are:

Fujiwara Seika, 藤原成家, Hayashi Razan, 林羅山, Ishikawa Jōzan, 石川常山, Nakae Tōju, 中江藤樹, Kaibara Ekken, 賀原益軒, Itō Jinsai, 伊藤仁齋, Itō Togai, 伊藤東涯, Ogiu Sorai, 狩生相椛.

To conclude this account, we may refer to a book called Zenkai Ichiran, One Wave of the Sea of Zen, by Kōsen Imakita. This consists of a long introduction and thirty Cases, in which the author, a noted Zen priest of the Meiji era, shows how the best of the Confucian interpretation of, as represented in the writings of Confucius and Mencius,

¹ Born 1793.
SPIRITUAL ORIGINS

is in accord with that of Zen. Kösen started writing this work when he was forty three, in 1858, while living in Eikōji Temple at Iwakami in Yamaguchi Prefecture. It is a work of culture, humanity and insight, showing the Japanese mind at its best, its assimilative and appreciative character. Though apparently so far apart, Confucianism and haiku have this in common, that both aim at a life of perfection, in this world, in relation to outward things and practical affairs; both aim at the same poise of the mind.

It should be especially noted in this connection that Bashō was born and educated as a samurai. When Yoshitada Tōdō, his Lord, died in 1667, he left the castle town of Ueno in Iga province for Edo. From now on he studied Japanese classics under Kigiun Kitamura, 北村季吟, died 1705, of the Teitoku school of haikai, Chinese classics under Itō Tanan, 伊藤淡庵, and later Zen under Bucchô, 佛頂, but for twenty three years, in the most impressionable part of his life, he had imbibed the theory and practice of Confucianism which ruled the samurai world.

We cannot, however, adduce very much direct evidence of the influence of the Confucian classics upon Bashō. He seems to have turned to the poets rather than to the philosophers. There is a passage near the beginning of Oku no Hosomichi, in which he describes a certain worthy but not very talented man called “Hotoke Gozaemon,” (“Buddha Gozaemon”) because of his honesty, in the words of the Analects:

剛毅木訥近仁。 (子路第十三)

The steadfast, the persevering, the simple, the modest, are near to virtue.
The following verses of Basho have a specially Confucian flavour of Jingichukô, Humanity, Justice, Loyalty and Filial Piety:

墳も動けわが泣く聲は秋の風
Shake, O grave!
My wailing voice
Is the autumn wind.

手にとらば消えん涙ぞあつき秋の霜
Should I take it\(^1\) in my hand,
It would disappear with my hot tears,
Like the frost of autumn.

なでしこにかいる涙や楠のつゆ
The dew of the camphor tree
Falls in tears
On the pinks.\(^2\)

This simple Confucianism developed into something deeper and wider, embracing all nature in its scope, without losing its human feeling:

やがて死ぬけじきも見えず蝋の聲
Nothing intimates
How soon they must die,—
Crying cicadas.

大風のあしたも赤し唐辛子
The morning
After the gale too,
Peppers are red.

\(^1\) Composed on a lock of hair of his dead mother.
\(^2\) This refers to Kusunoki and his son Masatsura, when they parted, in 1336, before the father's defeat and suicide.
初雪や水仙の葉のたわむ迄
The first snow,
Just enough to bend
The leaves of the daffodils.

We may further illustrate the Confucian influence on haiku by the Rules of (Poetical) Pilgrimage, 行脚徒, of which there are at least three forms, ascribed to Bashō. The first to appear was in 1760, sixty six years after his death, in the Goshichiki:

1. Do not sleep twice in the same inn; wish for a mat that you have not yet warmed.

2. Do not gird even a dagger on your thigh; kill no living thing. Meet the enemy of your lord or father only without the gate, for “Not living under the same heaven or walking the same earth”—this law comes from an inevitable human feeling.

3. Clothes and utensils are to be suitable to one’s needs, not too many, not too few.
HA IKU

4. The desire for the flesh of fish, fowl, and beast is not good. Indulging in tasty and rare dishes leads to baser pleasures. Remember the saying “Eat simple food, and you can do anything.”

人の求めなきに己が句用すべからず、望をそむくもしからず

5. Do not produce your verses unasked; if asked, never refuse.

たとへ崎岖の境たりとも所労の念起すべからず起らば中途より歸るべし

6. When in a difficult and dangerous region, do not weary of the journey; should you do so, turn back half-way.

馬術に乗る事なかれ、一枝の枯枝を己が瘠脚と思ふべし

7. Do not ride on horses or in palanquins. Think of your staff as another thin leg.

好んで酒を飲むべからず、霊感により固節しがたくと微醺にして止むべし、乱に及ばずの禁、幽乱起殺の戒殺にもらみを用るも醉ふを憎んで也、酒に遠ざかるの訓あり、つじしめや

8. Do not be fond of wine. If it is difficult to refuse at banquets, stop after you have had a little. “Restrain yourself from all rowdiness.” Because drunkenness at the matsuri is disliked, the Chinese use unrefined saké. There is an admonition to keep away from saké; be careful!

船陥茶代忘るべからず

9. Do not forget the ferry-boat fee and tips.
Do not mention other people's weaknesses and your own strong points. Reviling others and praising yourself is an exceedingly vulgar thing.

Apart from poetry, do not gossip about all things and sundry. When there is such talk, take a nap and recreate yourself.

Do not become intimate with women haiku poets; this is good for neither teacher nor pupil. If she is in earnest about haiku, teach her through another. The duty of men and women is the production of heirs. Dissipation prevents the richness and unity of the mind. The Way of Haiku arises from concentration and lack of distraction. Look well within yourself.

You must not take a needle or blade of grass that belongs to another. Mountains, streams, rivers, marshes,—all have an Owner; be careful about this.
VI
Oriental Art and Haiku

The relation of oriental art to haiku is a very deep one. It is direct, in so far as a haiku poet may express his understanding pictorially as well as verbally, and the resultant haiku and haiga stand side by side on the same scrap of paper. It is indirect, in that the pictures he sees teach him how to look at and feel and listen to the world of nature. They show him where the value and meaning of things is, so that he may say in words what the pictures say in lines, concerning that mysterious interplay of the simple and the complicated, the general and the particular. For it must never be forgotten, that simplicity and brevity have meaning only because this is a world of multifariousness and complexity. The ukiyoe of Hiroshige would have no significance, were the scenery of Japan as plain and clear in outline as they.

Biological development is a gradual specialization of functions; it is the same with painting, and with poetry. Western landscape gradually detached itself from portraits and became independent; in the course of time, all the different forms of literary expression, novels, dramas, essays, and so on, fell into separate categories. In just the same way, as is to be explained later, haiku separated itself from renga, and at about the same time, haiga, or haiku painting, became a certain type of artistic expression, doing in mass and line what haiku attempted to do in word and cadence.

We say "at about the same time," but it seems as if painting often precedes poetry in its grasp of the nature of
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things. When we compare the history of English landscape and that of nature poetry, we see how difficult it is to determine in the history of culture, which has been in advance, painting or poetry. It is best perhaps to assume a more or less alternating progress of both. In English nature poetry and landscape painting, we can follow a parallel course of development. Thomson’s Seasons was published in 1730, but it was not until the middle of the century had been passed that the English landscape painters took over the work of the foreigners (chiefly Dutch) who were Thomson’s contemporaries. Richard Wilson, 1714–82, a Welshman, has in his pictures, for example “The Summit of Cader-Idris”, or “A Welsh Valley with Snowdon Hill”, a loneliness, serenity and majesty of mountain scenery which Wordsworth did not excel forty years later. Ruskin says of him,

I believe that with the name of Richard Wilson
the history of sincere landscape art, founded on
a meditative love of nature, begins in England.

Gainsborough, during the Bath (portrait) period, 1769–74, painted the “Market Cart,” and the “Harvest Wagon”. In his landscapes (one-fifth of all his paintings) he appeals somehow to the emotions. Constable says,

On looking at them we find tears in our eyes,
and we know not what brings them.

David Allan, 1744–66, John Robert Cozens, 1752–99, Thomas Girtin, 1775–1802, George Moreland, 1773–1804, all antedate Wordsworth and the other Romantic poets, whose contemporaries were J. S. Cotman, 1782–1842, J. S. Crome, 1768–1821, Turner, 1775–1851, Constable, 1776–1837. These have a
intimacy with nature, an appreciation of detail, and grasp of the elementary and universal hardly attained by the poets.

In the case of Japanese painting and haiku, the matter is much more complicated. We find in the Chinese painters of the Tang and Sung Periods, in Sesshu and Hakuin Zenji something that does not appear in Japanese poetry at all until the advent of Bashō. Again, in theory, haiga should be something as original and remarkable as haiku, but in fact this is not altogether so. As stated above, paintings in the spirit of haiku may be found during the millennium preceding the death of Bashō, and haiga is rather an appendage than a companion art with haiku.

In Japanese literature, we have, roughly speaking, three types of poetry, *shi*, or Chinese poetry, *waka*, and *haiku*; and to them naturally correspond three types of painting, the poetical Chinese style, the lyrical or Japanese, and the intuitive. By "intuitive" is meant the kind of painting in which the nature of a thing, of a tree or flower or season or mood of a human being is implicitly expressed. From the most ancient times, in China, it was the custom to combine painting and poetry, these being the work of one man or of two, and it was quite natural that the same thing should take place when haiku became an independent poetic form.

Haiga are small sketches, either in indian-ink, black-and-white, or in simple colours, that endeavour to express in pictures what haiku do in words. Haiga as such seem to have begun their independent existence about the time of Sōkan, 1458–1546, it is to say when haiku began to be separated from renku.

1 To speak more precisely, it is three attitudes towards the world, of which will more or less predominate in any given picture.
By the age of Teitoku, 1570-1653, they already had their rather innocent, unprofessional air, as pictures by poets, not artists. We can find elements of haiga in many of the greatest Japanese artists, from Sesshu onwards, but one of the first to paint what can be called specifically haiga, was Shōkadō, 松花道, who died in 1640, four years before the birth of Bashō. He was a learned monk of the Shingon sect, and, it may be said, united the Bashō school of haiku which was about to rise, with the school of Zenga, or Zen paintings of the monks of the Ashikaga Period, 1338-1573, Ikkyu, Hakuin, Takuan, etc. Half a century after his death, Bashō wrote his famous haiku:

枯枝に鳥の止りけり秋の暮

On a withered branch,
A crow is perched,
In the autumn evening.

This was to be a kind of standard for all haiku to come. (Bashō learned painting from one of his own pupils, Kyoroku). Among other painters of the time, Nonoguchi Ryuho, 野々口立園 who died in 1669, learned haikai from Teitoku, and in his hands haiku was seen in pictures. From his time onwards, until the present day, haiga has had an existence as a special form of painting.

The qualities of haiga are rather vague and negative. The lines and masses are reduced to a minimum. The subjects are usually small things, or large things seen in a small way. The simplicity of the mind of the artist is perceived in the simplicity of the object. Technical skill is rather avoided, and the picture gives an impression of a certain awkwardness of treatment that reveals in hiding the inner meaning of the
thing painted. The aim of haiku, according to Buson, is to express in ordinary language the inner poetical philosophy of all sublunary things. That is to say, the most delicate feelings and profound meanings of things are to be portrayed as though they were every-day occurrences. Exactly the same is to be said of haiga. Moments of deep significance in our perceptions of the outer world are shown in crudeness, brevity, humour, with a certain inartistic art, an accidental purposefulness.

The combination of haiku and haiga is perhaps the most important practical question. One may spoil the other; but in the case of a complete success, how does one help the other? There seem to be two main ways of doing this. The haiga may be an illustration of the haiku, and say the same thing in line and form; or it may have a more independent existence, and yet an even deeper connection with the poem.

The illustrations of this book have been chosen to form, when chronologically surveyed, a pictorial parallel to the chart on page 3. In other words, we may trace in them the development from the philosophico-mystical Indian and Chinese origins of Japanese culture to the simplicity and nonchalance, the apparent crudeness and matter-of-factness of haiku.

The picture of Dainichi (Vairocana) in a Wheel of Sovereignty, facing page 5, is of the Kamakura Era, but it represents the Indian Buddhism which China, and finally Japan, brought down into daily life. Dainichi dwells in the heaven beyond form, and is the essence of wisdom and of absolute purity. Compare this with the screen, facing page 137, Kusumi Morikage, a contemporary of Basho. We see family of three cooling themselves in the evening under an
arbour of evening-glories. There seems to be little connection between the picture of Dainichi aloof and glorious, and the poor family, but it has been the work of the Japanese to bring the calm of the Buddha into the evening, to transform the golden lotus into the humble convolvulus, so nearly a weed, the elaborate trappings of the Buddha into the human nakedness. This is not a degeneration or retrogression, but an incarnation, a re-making in blood and flesh of what was formed of thought and intuition. And strangely enough, the circle of Vairocana is still there in the full moon. To get the contrast in landscape, parallel with the above of persons, one should compare the two pictures facing pages 46 and 360.

The picture of Enō's enlightenment, facing page 207, is a very strange one, in that it lacks the violence and grimness of Zenga, Zen paintings. It is not fanciful, I think, to see in the quiet sweetness of this picture, so inward in its quality, something which was to develop into haiga, something that belongs rather to Jōdō and Shin than Zen. We may contrast his enlightenment with that of St. Paul. The subdued feeling of Shuai Weng's picture is far from the thunder and lightning on the way to Damascus. Yet Enō's listening to the words of the Diamond Sutra meant as much for the culture and religion of Japan as did St. Paul's conversion for those of Europe. Enō gave to Chinese and Japanese Zen its direction towards practicality which resulted in their application to haiku and the Way of Haiku in daily life. The verse is;

檐子全肩荷負，
目前歸路無差，
心知應無所住。
知柴落在誰家。
The bundle is carried firmly on his shoulder;
Before him, the way home has no obstructions.
“Awaken the mind without fixing it anywhere”,
And he knows the house where the firewood burns.

The first line is the practical life, the second has a symbolic meaning. The third is the line of the *Diamond Sutra* that he hears as he stands outside the house to which he has brought the firewood. The last again has a symbolic meaning, but the literal and symbolic are not really different here.

The handwriting, facing page 73, says,

*Form is emptiness.*

This remarkable sentence, summing up in three words (four Chinese characters) the whole of Mahayana Buddhism, comes, like that of “Awaken the mind without fixing it anywhere”, from the *Diamond Sutra*. The writing is by Takuan, a Japanese 17th century master of Zen. This “Form is emptiness” is the invisible seed which grew into what we call Eastern Culture. This is illustrated by Takuan’s calligraphy itself. Writing, like everything else, when it is being done perfectly, is performed with the awakened Mind, without any desire of perfection, without any aim; it is done “meaninglessly”. When we look at the handwriting, when we follow, dynamically and creatively the course of the brush, so definite and yet so yielding, we realize that the form of the characters is a no-form. Statically nothing exists at all; there is no writer, no brush, nothing written, only movement. And this movement is a no-movement, for to paraphrase Rōshi:

A movement that can be moved (in speech or thought) is not an eternal movement.
Again, we have in this handwriting a perfect example of the "law" of "liberty". The form of the characters is absolutely fixed; yet the writer is absolutely free.

The interesting thing about Sengai's picture of Tokusan and Ryutan, facing page 178, is the lack of beauty in the faces of the two people, and in the picture as a whole. Even if they had actually been a handsome couple, it would have been necessary to show them thus, because the picture is insisting on their deadly earnestness, on their souls, not on their appearance. We see a fundamental difference here between general Buddhism (and Christianity) and Zen, when we compare the face of Christ or Buddha and those of the Zen worthies. And just as Zen is more important than beauty, so the significance of the subject is more important than skill or technique in haiga.

The verse is:

日本節心,
過去未来,
吹滅紙燭,
金剛為灰。

Enlighten the Mind
Of the past, the present, the future.
Blow out the paper lantern,
And Mt. Kongo turns to ashes.

This means that the Mind is boundless, devoid of qualities; and when we are aware of It, when we are enlightened, the hardest and strongest thing in the world is as soft and weak as ashes. It is a practical application of the quotations from

---

1 A celebrated painter-monk of the Rinzai branch of Zen. Died 1837.
the *Kongokyô, The Diamond Sutra*, on page 92.

The picture of A Papa on a Pine Branch, facing page 172, ascribed to the Chinese artist Mokkei¹, shows the direct application of Zen to art. Dr. Suzuki writes of this picture²:

Is the pa-pa bird a kind of crow? It perches on an old pine tree symbolic of unbending strength. It seems to be looking down at something. The life of the universe pulsates through him, while quietness rules the enveloping nature. Here truly asserts the ancient spirit of solitude. This is when God has not yet given his fiat to the darkness of the unborn earth. To understand the working of the spirit in this, is not it the end of the Zen discipline?

It is rather another, a lighter yet still warm side of Mokkei which the Japanese artists have appreciated. For example, the picture of a monkey by Tôhaku, 1539-1610, facing page 52, is based on one of the three members of Mokkei’s triptych in Daitokuji Temple. Haiku and haiga avoid the grim, the violent, the dramatic and intense. They aspire to be deep without depth. Tôhaku portrays the old tree, pine-needles, bamboo leaves and monkey in the same spirit as Bashô’s verse written about a hundred years later:

Haiku and haiga avoid the grim, the violent, the dramatic and intense. They aspire to be deep without depth. Tôhaku portrays the old tree, pine-needles, bamboo leaves and monkey in the same spirit as Bashô’s verse written about a hundred years later:

はつしぐれ猿も小みのをほしきなり
First winter rain;
The monkey also seems to wish
For a small straw rain-coat.

The Chinese were aware not only of the vaster aspects of nature, but saw the peculiar value of the small and appar-

¹ 13th Century.
² In *Essays in Zen Buddhism, III.*
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ently insignificant. Such a picture as *Apples and a Small Bird*, by Chôshô, facing page 62, must have impressed the Japanese mind deeply. The painter is celebrated for his power of delineating fruit, but here he has adopted the Eastern method of hiding what it is wished to show. The apples are hidden in space by the leaves, and in composition by the bird, and yet they are the one essential of the picture. Chôshô, a painter of the 13th century, is recorded as getting up early every morning, and, going out into the flower garden, using the dew to paint the flowers, leaves, and insects.

The sketch by Sesshu, 1450-1506, facing page 120, was evidently a study for a larger, more ambitious work; this is shown by the absence of seals. It is too brilliant, too good for haiga, it lacks something, the warmth and human sentiment that infuse the more clumsy and less slick pictures by those who are concerned with the things represented rather than with the representation. The sketch shows, however, a simplification and grasp of essentials which are the aim of haiku.

Miyamoto Musashi, 1582-1645, who died one year after the birth of Bashô, continues the unconscious development towards haiga. His Shrike Screeching on a Dead Branch, facing page 271, has the quietness of eye, and the penetration into the nature of bird and bough, but it still aims at a perfection which for haiga and haiku is limited and finite. The great problem here is how to combine art with nature, nature that is always incomplete, never finished. It is the same paradox everywhere, to "reject people" yet value above all things "the human warmth"; to love and hate; to hold and to renounce; to be oneself alone and be all things; to paint the form and yet be conscious only of the spirit of the thing.
The Zenga, by Hakuin, 1683–1768, facing page 234, has strangely enough, a haiku added to it:

Spreading out
The reeds of good and evil,—
Cooling in the evening.

This picture has a concentration and intensity quite alien to haiga. It is a spiritual portrait, in the style of Blake, of Daitō Kokushi, 1282–1337, one of the greatest of early Zen priests in Japan.

A remarkably good haiga (though it may be called a Zenga) by Hakuin, who is perhaps the greatest of later Zen monks, is the painting of a misosazai, or wren, facing page 307; the tailless bird has the peculiar Zen flavour about it. The verse runs:

Its appearance
Is that of a nightingale,—
But it's a wren!

The verse is a kind of criticism of his own painting; it prevents the whole thing from being too lyrical, for the bough that is used for stirring the miso in the earthenware mortar seems to have a few leaves remaining on it, denoting a person of artistic sensibilities. The mortar is painted with great skill. Its irregular shape, the six dots that represent the grating inner surface, the white patches on the outside that represent the reflection of light on the glazed surface, the open beak of
the tailless bird, all these things are well done. But it is a fact, the bird is too slender for a wren, and Hakuin rectifies this in his verse. The whole thing is an aiming at something of more value than perfection, through imperfection willingly chosen.

Basho's sketch of the morning-glories, facing page 26, is real haiga. We feel the morning freshness; the dew is still on the leaves, and glistening down the slender reed that supports them. The verse is:

朝顔におわれはめし喰ふおとこ哉
I am one
Who eats his breakfast
Gazing at the morning-glories.

The frontispiece, a picture of Basho saying good-by to his disciple Sora, is by Buson. It is quite imaginary, in that Buson never met Basho, but better so, since Buson is free to show us how he wished to see him. It is no romantic figure. Basho is plain of countenance, simple in dress, an amiable, frail-looking creature, nothing about him to show him to be what he was, the greatest man Japan has produced. The picture illustrates Buson's transcription of Oku no Hosomichi, a short diary of travel, which breathes through it and in this illustration, Basho's warm, unaffected simplicity.

The picture by Senna, 1650-1723, facing page 347. The Slope of Osaka, east of Kyōto, has grasped the spirit of the place, its mountains and cherry blossoms and pine trees. It has also something peculiarly Japanese, something that is

1 The fact that the Japanese poets had disciples, the English poets not, is one of the most significant things in the comparative study of the two literatures.
almost unique to haiga, a certain childishness, a quality that we see, but can never reproduce, in children's pictures. The verse is:

合坂のかたまるところや初さくら

At the time when
The Slope of Osaka hardens,
The first cherry blossoms.

In Kōrin, 1661–1716, contemporary of Bashō, we see the opposite tendency, a delight in painting for its own sake; he corresponds to Swinburne in English literature. So the picture of azaleas, facing page 114, has a superficial resemblance to haiga, but is utterly different in spirit and technique. The azaleas are only the excuse for a brilliant exhibition of significant form, but, form of what?

The following is an interesting use of pictures instead of words by Buson:

「一つ埋み残して若葉かな」

Alone remains
Above the green leaves.

In the second example, the picture is found in the middle of the haiku:

日の光今朝や頭より

The light of day,—
From the head of the__ it came
This morning.

¹ A picture of Mt. Fuji.
² 鯖, a pilchard. This illustration is too good.
The haiku and picture by Ryūta, 1707–87, facing page 192, is an example in which the connection between the two is rather distant. The verse is:

ともしびを見れば風あり夜の雪
Looking at the light,
There is a wind,
This night of snow.

The wind is seen, not felt, and the mind trembles with the flame in the darkness surrounding it. The snow is falling, silent and invisible. This is the verse, but the picture is of the basket of charcoal, that is half out of the picture. It is black, but shines in the light of the lamp that is to be seen in the verse only.

Another haiga, facing this page, which has something rather Korean about it, portrays a boat by the shore at night. The verse is by Seira, died 1791:

羽をとさへ闇へてさむし月の夜
Even the sound
Of the wings is heard,—
A cold, moon-lit night.

The wild geese are seen, but not the moon, that shines down on the roof of the boat and on the reeds of the bank. The verse is one of sound, the picture of sight; it is the sound of birds, the sight of the reedy shore and moored sampan. It would have been better still, perhaps, to have omitted the wild geese from the picture.

Issa’s picture of the morning-glory, facing page 344, has this verse:

朝顔の花でふいたる春かな
My hermitage
Is thatched
With morning-glories.

When he went out in the early morning he found that the whole roof was covered with the flowers of the convolvulus; his house was "roofed" with them. The verse is rather simple, but the sketch, by being even more so, is in perfect harmony with it. There is only one flower, which is used instead of the word asagao, and a short piece of vine, but everything is there. All the flowers sway in the morning breeze, and Issa also is there, though his back is turned to us, gazing at them.

In Issa's verse and illustration, facing page 365, we see another side of his character, an invariable one perhaps, in persons of strongly critical, cynical nature. The verse is:

又むだに口あく鳥のまゝ子か나
    Opening its mouth
    Uselessly still,
    The step-child of the bird.

Under the bird, it says: Both the Swallow and Issa.

We see here the self-pity into which tender-minded people easily fall. (Herein lies the necessity for such haiku poets as Kikaku, and for senryu). Issa was a step-son, and suffered deeply because of his sensitive and love-desiring nature. He compares himself to a baby swallow. The sketch of the swallow is rather poor, but its angularity suggests, perhaps, the unkindness of the foster-mother.

The verse by Tanehiko, 1782–1842, facing page 291, is rather obscure, being based on an anecdote:
The cherry blossoms,
Returning at dawn from the Yoshiwara;
Was it the goddess of Mt. Katsuragi?¹

In the picture we feel the early spring morning; the willow tree gives the feeling of wantonness and enervation; the towel round the head of the man going home suggests the slight chill in the air; and the lantern the vagueness of the period between night and day. There is a balance between the four objects; the poem is one of them, and floats like an exhalation in the morning air.

For the treatment of pine-trees in haiga we may take the two illustrations facing page 243. In Ryōto's² picture, the tree is simplified to its farthest limits; it is to be noted how the ten, 十, of the poem joins the picture and the verse, which is:

十の指さるへて松のみどりかな

The pine-tree,
Ten fingers in a row:
How green it is!

Gij'en's pine-tree is also original in vision and expression. The verse runs:

松脂をはなれかねてやせみの聲
Can't it get away
From the pine-tree resin?
The voice of the cicada!

This means that there is something frantic in the sound of the crying of the cicada, as though it were stuck on the gum of the pine-tree, and could not extricate itself.

¹ There is a No play on this subject, Katsuragi.
² Died 1717.
The haiga on the inside of the covers of this volume is by Chora, 1729-1781. The verse, also by him, is:
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Today, the Aoi Festival:
We greet again the many-jointed bamboos,
Generation after generation.

There is here a very complicated play on words, *yōyo* meaning "successive generations", and the part of the bamboo between the joints. *Ao* means "meeting day" and "Hollyhock", the name of the festival held at the Kamo Shrine in Kyōto on the 15th of May. The picture, however, is very simple and child-like, characteristic of many of Chora's other verses.

To get clearly into our minds the difference between haiga and classical painting, we may compare the two illustrations on pages 46 and 360. The landscape by Shōkei, a Japanese of the later 15th century, is typical of Chinese romantic treatment. The mountains in the distance are the impossible creations of the dreaming artist, the pine-trees tragic in their intensity, the sage and attendant, the fisherman in his boat almost negligible among the overwhelming forms of nature. The haiga, by Isshō, died 1707, seems at first sight a mere smudge by comparison, a travesty of the other, but when we wait a little, the reeds in the water, the fisherman poling his boat, are seen to be something alive. There is no doubt who is the greater artist or which is the better picture, but the haiga has something which the other has not;

The something that infects the world.

As an example of contemporary work, we may take the haiga of Shimada Tadao. The *kappa* or water-imp, portrayed
Kappa Swimming

Shimada Tadao
facing page 103, sits gazing intently at the moon. There is a melon by his side. The verse is by Imozeni:

田や村や人聲もなき後の月
Not a voice
In field and hamlet:
The after-moon.

Another fine example is *Tilling the Field*, facing page 108.

The man is somewhat kappa-like. He is not seen as contrasted with nature, but as an ephemeral movement among the changing seasons; now it is spring. He has something unreal about him, and his work is a dream within a dream world. Haiku has some weakness, an avoidance of power; it agrees with Pater that the end of life is not action but contemplation.

Coming at last to the point of the matter, the relation between Japanese art, particularly painting, and haiku, we may consider the question like this: what kind of pictures did Basho see, in his first forty years, such as would have affected his creation of a new world of poetic life? The answer is that in Sesshu, Shōkadō, Kōetsu, Kōrin, Chokuan, Miyamoto Musashi, Itchō and so on, he must have seen done in art what he wanted to do in verse. He may have seen many great Chinese paintings of the Tang and Sung Dynasties, especially those of Mokkei and Gyokukaku, brought to Japan in the 15th century, who influenced greatly the painters of the Muromachi Period, 1334-1573, e.g. Kano Motonobu.

What Basho wanted to do, however, was to condense without heaviness, to refine without dilettantism, to philosophize without intellection. This he could find done in pictures already. The trenchancy and unselﬁshness of Zenga, their paradox and humour, must also have impressed him, but the priests
were concerned with the expression of their own spiritual life, whereas Bashō wished rather to make manifest in a short compass the inner life of the things of the world. No doubt the life of the poet and the life of birds and trees and clouds are one, but there is a difference of emphasis, a certain gentleness, pathos, passivity in haiku which appears also in haiga as distinct from Zenga. To put it another way, Zen, that is, Zen as a body of religious experience, tends to underestimate the importance of love, of what Byron calls

the quiet of a loving eye.

Summing up, we may say that haiga justifies its existence in two ways, by its humour and by its roughness. The insistence on the fact that humour is to be seen everywhere, under all circumstances, which is the special virtue of haiku, is also the distinguishing quality of haiga, and one which keeps it most closely connected with this world and this life. Art comes down to earth; we are not transported into some fairy, unreal world of pure aesthetic pleasure. The roughness gives it that peculiar quality of sabi without age; unfinished pictures, half-built houses, broken statuary tell the same story. It corresponds in poetry to the fact that what we wish to say is just that which escapes the words. Haiku and haiga therefore do not try to express it, and succeed in doing what they have not attempted.
Before we deal with the relation of waka and haiku, let us consider the relation between waka and Chinese poetry. The Manyoshu consists of more than four thousand pieces, the great majority being waka, and the rest naga-uta, or long poems. Two or three hundred years before, Wani, 王仁, a Korean, had brought the Analects and the Senjimon, 千字文, (A Thousand Characters, written about 525 A.D.) to Japan, and the influence of Chinese thought and literature had begun. The Kokinshu, completed about 922, contains only five naga-uta, and this may well have been partly due to the influence of Chinese poetry, which, however long the poems may be, is meaty to the eye as well as the mind, whereas a long Japanese poem tends to be flimsy and vague.

As evidence of the way in which Chinese poetry was studied and compared to waka, we may take the Roeishu, 和漢朗詠集, or Collection of Clear Songs, Japanese and Chinese. The date of publication is not known exactly, but the compiler, Fujiwara Kintō, 966-1041, son of Yoritada the famous poet, gave the two volumes to his daughter's bridegroom, Norimichi, as a wedding present in 1103. For a long time before this, Chinese poetry and waka had been sung or intoned by the people of the palace and the nobility in general. The Roeishu consists of two parts, the first divided into seasons and subdivided into subjects, the second divided into subjects irrespective of seasons. For each subject, part of a Chinese poem, usually that of Hakurakuten, a part of a Chinese verse
by a Japanese writer, and waka are given, the number of each varying. For example, in the first part, under Winter Evening, we have two lines from a poem by Hakurakuten:

One cold lamp at night among the clouds;
Many cups of warm wine is spring in the snow.

Several old cronies are gathered together in a house high up in the mountains, and the cup passes round, gladdening their hearts as though spring had come.

The next is two lines of a Chinese poem, Sitting up Alone at Night in Winter, 冬夜獨起.

The years passing with the guttering of the lamp,
The traveller’s grief only grows at his pillow.

This verse describes the increasing loneliness of the traveller; as the night draws on the lamp goes out at his bed-side. It is by a Japanese poet, Aritsura, who afterwards became a monk, and took the name Sonkyō. Last, there is a waka by Ki no Tsurayuki, 紀貫之, 883–946, author of the Tosa-nikki, a classic of travel diaries, and one of the compilers of the Kokinshu. He is one of the greatest masters of waka.

Filled with longing,
I go to her I love;
The river wind is chill tonight,
Plovers crying.

These three poems show how waka and Chinese verse were compared and contrasted by the Japanese poets. It is
my own opinion that the direct effect of the one on the other was relatively small, owing partly to the great difference of form, and partly to that of national spirit.

One more point may be noted, the influence which Buddhism was exerting upon waka, even more indirectly than Chinese poetry. In the Rōeishu we find a comparatively long section entitled Buddhist Affairs, 佛事, and another called Monks, 僧. Besides many Chinese verses, there are waka by such famous priests as Kuya, 空也, 903-972, and Dengyō Daishi, 伝教大師, 767-822, the founder of the Tendai Sect of Buddhism, and by the Emperor Murakami, died 967. Included is a piece of prose by Hakurakuten, in which he says that all his works are profane, but since everything, the mountains and valleys, the crying of birds and insects, is the Voice of the Law, he hopes that his verses may be included among the sutras and be a cause of his becoming a Buddha in the next world. Many of the literati of both China and Japan took this light view of Buddhism intellectually, but they could not avoid being deeply affected by it emotionally, in so far as they were poets.

In dealing with the relation between waka and haiku we may begin by describing Bashō’s attitude and then give a more general account of the differences between the two.

Bashō, the spiritual founder of modern haiku, lived during the second half of the seventeenth century. In the first half of the century, the Teitoku school was flourishing. A typical example of his work is the following:

花よりも隠子やありて帰る雁 貞徳

1 1644-94.
2 1570-1653.
HAIKU

Dumplings
Being better than flowers,
The geese are returning there?

Teitoku has taken two elements and combined them, first the popular saying *Hana yori dango*, meaning, something to eat is better than something to look at, the material than the spiritual. The other is a poem from the *Kokinshu*:

産霧立つを見ても行く雁は
花なき里に住みやならへろ

They see the spring mist rising,
But the wild geese depart,
Wont to dwell
In flowerless villages?

Teitoku has taken a quite beautiful old verse, abbreviated it, and inserted in it a popular but not very elevated proverb.

Another example is the following, also by Teitoku:

まんまるにいづれどながき春日かな

It arose a perfect sphere,—
But how long it is,
This spring day!

The word for sun and day are the same in Japanese, thus making the pun and the verse possible. The sun is round, but long in its passing through the sky. If we look at this superficially, it is devoid of worth, but a historic feeling of an organic kind, will allow us to see faint stirrings of religion and poetry here, in the endeavour to attain a unity, or to see variety in oneness, though with such inappropriate, intellectual means.

Towards the middle of the century, the Danrin' school

* The name is taken from that of a disciple's house.
under S’in arose. This also made puns, combinations of scraps of learning, and wit, its chief objects. For example:

What would I not give
For the scenery of Autumn?

There is, in the original, an untranslatable play on words. Sōin composed the verse in praise of the island of Ojima at Matsushima. The name Ojima or Oshima is hinted at in oshima no. The two expressions, “What is there not worth giving for the scenery of autumn?” and “The autumnal scenery of Oshima,” are telescoped together. The rhythm of this verse is graceful, but the punning and artificiality, its unpoetical, unimaginative, one might say, irreligious character is evident.

A little later, that is, in the second half of the seventeenth century, there were great movements in haikai circles; Bashō and Onitsura appeared. The reasons for this phenomenon may be adduced in the social and spiritual conditions of the age, but the fact is that such matters are as inscrutable as the mystery of life itself. In any case, there was a general desire to raise haiku from its low state of punning and joking, to the high literary and spiritual level of waka. But just as Wordsworth, in contrast to the artificiality of the eighteenth century, inaugurated the “return to nature” of the nineteenth, so Bashō wished to make haiku something that waka was not, an expression of popular feeling, in the sense that it should express the intuitions of daily life. Another striking
similarity in the work of the two is in the matter of language. As Confucius says,

不言無以知人也 (論語二十、二)

If you do not know words, you cannot know man, and conversely, when language is changed, the hearts of men are changed with it. Wordsworth wished to make use of a selection of language really used by men, and the aim of Bashö was to continue what began with the Teitoku school and increased with the Danrin school, the employment of popular phraseology, Chinese expressions and other foreign words. Haiku poets wanted somehow or other, by the use of 俳言, haiku words, to make haiku something different from a short waka. This new vocabulary could be used instead of 雅言, poetic diction, to express something they felt in the poems of Ritaihaku, Tôhô, Hakurakuten, and in the Zen poems of Kanzan, 空山. Here was the spirit which, in the case of Bashô, was more important than the form in which it was to be expressed. In The Empty Chestnut, 虚栗, edited by Kikaku in 1683, we read of

季社が心酒を嘗めて空山が法粥を喫る

tasting the wine of the hearts of Ritaihaku and Tôhô, supping of the gruel of the law of Kanzan.

白氏が歌を仮名にやって初心を叙ふた よりならんとす

Writing in kana the poems of Hakurakuten is a means of helping beginners.

Bashô was more interested in the spirit of Chinese poetry than its form, but an example of his imitation of one of the "tricks" of Chinese poetry, is seen in the following:
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Who is it that grieves,
The wind blowing through his beard,
For late autumn?

In one of his *Eight Poems on Autumn*, Tōhō says:

誰が子そ

香稻咏餘 蠻鵌粒
碧梧挾老 鳳凰枝

This is his affected version, “The corn pecks the parakeet,” with the same meaning, of

鸚鵡咏餘香稻粒
鳳凰挾老碧梧枝

The parakeet pecks at the remaining grains of fragrant corn;
The phoenix dwells long on the branches of the green paulownia.

Bashō has imitated this kind of thing in transposing the words, so that just as Tōhō says the grains are pecking the parakeet and the green paulownia lives in the phoenix, Bashō’s verse actually says:

His beard blowing the wind.

This figure of speech of inversion, 例装法, paralleled in English literature by the transferred epithet, was probably tried by Bashō less as an ornament than as part of that effort of all mystical poets to convey the idea of one in all, all in one.

It will be as well at once to indicate briefly the difference between haiku and waka or renga. In *Three Volumes*, 三冊子, by Tohō, 土芳, a countryman and disciple of Bashō, the following interesting passage occurs:
Spring rain falling on a willow tree is, generally speaking, renga; a raven catching mud-snails is simply haïkai.

Renga, waka made by two or more people, deal with "poetical" things; haïkai, which replaced the mere pastime of renga with aesthetical motives, and from which haiku broke off, treat of "interesting" things.

In haiku, the gentlest, most melancholy and quiet aspects of things are grasped with an energy, a concentration, an élan, which seventeen syllables and no more are fitted to express. [Bashô occasionally tried to do in haiku what could be better done in waka.] The lyrical vague, the cloudy emotional, the dreamy forlorn,—this is the realm of waka, and length is necessary for it.

No one lives at the Barrier of Fuha;
The wooden penthouse is fallen away;
All that remains
Is the autumn wind.

Upon this, from the *Shin Kokinshu*, Bashô composed the following:

The autumn wind:
Thickets and fields also,
Fuha Barrier.

This is much inferior to the waka. It omits the most poetical
part, the thought in the last two lines, and requires the waka as a proscript, to enable the haiku to be understood at all. We can expand the original and the translation:

What was once the Barrier of Fuha,
Now only fields and thickets:
The autumn wind.

Here we get what Bashō had in mind, at the back of his mind, it may be, which is not in the waka.

All that remains
Is the autumn wind,

has something a little false in the sentiment; the autumn wind is not all that remains. The waka is aiming at this melancholy which is the truth but not the whole truth. The haiku says that the autumn wind is the Barrier, is the fields and thickets, is the very soul of the poet who gives the scene its meaning and value. Bashō’s verse is a failure, but it is a failure of creative imagination struggling with the material and the form.

Another verse which shows Bashō’s preoccupation with waka:

芋洗ふ女西行ならば歌よさん
A woman washing potatoes;
If Saigyō were here,
He would write a waka.

Bashō realized the lyrical quality of his sensations and felt that it required the form of waka.

Coming now to the general differences between waka and haiku, we may say once more that waka aim at beauty, a somewhat superficial beauty sometimes, that excludes all ugly things. The aim of haiku is not beauty; it is something much
deeper and wider. It is significance, a poetical significance, "a shock of mild surprise", that the poet receives when the haiku is born, and the reader when it is reborn in his mind. It would be impossible, for example, to rewrite the following as waka:

The flying squirrel
Is crunching the small bird,
On the withered moor. Buson

Haiku finds intensely interesting states of mind that have no relation to beauty at all:

After it was dark,
I began to want to change
The way I grafted it. Issa

Waka is what we may call in terms of pictorial art, decorative. In terms of music, it reminds us of the Songs without Words; for example:

transition from the cry of the plovers to a melancholy, and a consequent recollection of old, unhappy, andings is conventional but not insincere. This waka partly because it does not aim very high. In this an say that a poor haiku is better than a good

Ah, plovers, when you cry
On the evening waves of Omi,—
How I grieve,
Remembering things of long ago!
Hitomaro
waka. Nevertheless, the mere length of waka enables the poet to say things, to do things that cannot be done in haiku. There is a natural sequence, a gradation of explicitness, for example, that requires the thirty one syllables of waka. This is illustrated in the previous verse, and in the following:

願くは花の下にて赤死なむ
その二月の望月のころ 西行

My desire
Is that I may die
Beneath the cherry blossoms,
In spring,
On the fifteenth night
Of the second month. Saigyō

This is the day of Buddha's entrance into Nirvana, when the cherry blossoms are at their best. The next verse is a kind of after-echo of it:

仏に花の桜を奉献
我がのちの世を人とふらはゞ

Lay cherry blossoms
Before the Buddha,
Should you wish to pray for my soul
In the world to come. Saigyō

Let us take a much later, but still famous waka:

うらうらとのどけき春の心より
にほひ出たる山ざくら花 賀茂貞源

From the heart
Of bright and balmy spring
Are wafted forth
These mountain cherry flowers.

Kamo Mabuchi1

1 1697–1769.
When we say the last line, the pathos of parting with the unknown, to the unknown, is lost. What we gain in lyrical sweetness and historical associations, we lose in scope and freedom of imagination. It is like an illustrated novel, in which the pictures do not gibe with our visions of the characters. The same in true of the following verse:

ひさかたの天の香具山このゆふべ
霞たなびく春たつらしも

Evening mist is trailing
Over Mount Kagu
In the ageless sky;
It must be
That spring is here.

Hitomaro

Compare this to the following, by Bashō:

春なれや名ななき山のうす霞
Spring has come;
A nameless hill
Is shrouded in thin mist.

Here the historical association is not merely avoided, the point of the haiku lies in the very avoidance.

Other differences between waka and haiku besides the less lyrical approach, are the stronger grasp of essential poetry, a less general presentation and a more detailed and impressionistic method. Compare the following:

ひんがしの野にかげろひの立つ見えて
かへり見すれば月かたぶきぬ

Seeing the heat waves
Over the eastern moor,
I looked back,
And there was the moon,
Sinking.

Hitomaro
Hitomaro's verse is subjective, and has something trailing, lingering in its tone and cadence, partaking of the lyrical and vague. Buson's haiku is objective, descriptive, has no untidy edges, is abrupt in ending, with a conciseness that is almost harsh by contrast.

Bashô said,

俳諧は萬葉集の心なり

Haikai is the heart of the Manyôshû,

and this is undoubtedly true, but elimination of unessential elements means such a tremendous increase of power and significance that it is indeed "a new creation".

俳諧は俗談平話を正さんが為なり

Haikai has for its object the setting to rights of common parlance and ordinary language.

This is one of those profound sayings which can and should be interpreted in a variety of ways. Bashô wanted our daily prose turned into poetry, the realization that the commonest events and actions of life may be done significantly, the deeper use of all language, written and spoken. Our lives are slovenly, imitative. We live, as Lawrence said, like the illustrated covers of magazines. Comfort is our aim, and dissatisfaction is all we achieve. The aim of haiku is to live twenty four hours a day, that is, to put meaning into every moment, a meaning that may be intense or diffuse, but never ceases.

Haiku often turns the weak subjectivity of waka into an
objectivity which is a more subtle subjectivity, or rather a region where “subjective” and “objective” lose their meaning and validity. Take for example the following waka by Ryókan:

むらぎもの心たのしも春の日に
鳥のむらがり遊ぶを見れば

My heart rejoices,
This day of spring,
To see the birds
That flock to play.

Compare this with Bashô’s,

桜島に花見顔なる雀哉
Sparrows,
In the field of rape,
With flower-viewing faces.

Both haiku and waka have a simplicity that belongs to the original character of the Japanese race, and is hardly to be paralleled in other literatures. It is sometimes rudely termed “playing on the soft pedal”, and does in fact require a certain patience and repression of all desire for purple passages and poetic thrills. Even so, the simplicity of haiku is more gaunt and bare than that of waka.

六月や雲おくれらし山
In the Sixth month,
Mount Arashi
Lays clouds on its summit.  Bashô

There is something at once simple and sublime about this verse. The simplicity is self-evident. Bashô’s verse lacks both the feeling of movement and the artificiality of Milton’s
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River Scene (detail)  Sesshu
Mountains, on whose barren breast
The labouring clouds do often rest.

It has not the strength and flow of the Manyōshū:

あしびきの山河の淵の鳴るたなべに
ゆづきが嶺に雲立ちわたる       人 墨
As the shallows
Of the mountain stream sound louder,
Clouds gather over
Yuzuki Peak.       Hitomaro

But Bashō’s verse has attained a vastness and aloofness that belongs to the subject. The simplicity is that of nature.

When we try to separate waka and haiku, we come across that law mentioned before, the law that the more the mind endeavours to distinguish two things, the closer they insensibly become; the more we assert their unity, the more they separate. Both waka and haiku are the activity of the spirit of man, and we must not exaggerate the differences between them. In general, we may say that waka is the feminine and haiku the masculine side of Japanese poetry, though haiku lacks the sterner, Miltonic elements. The “flavour” of haiku, is rural, pastoral, bucolic, but not idyllic, in the sense of ideal, unreal. We may also say that in contrast to waka, haiku is popular, democratic, plebeian.

Waka has a history of one thousand three hundred years, haiku has four hundred at most, two hundred and fifty from Bashō. Waka began as literature, haiku as a kind of sporting with words. Bashō made it literature, and yet something beyond and above literature, a process of discovery rather than of creation, using words as means, not ends, as a chisel that removes the rock hiding the statue beneath.
When the early haiku poets compared their verses with waka, they found in them the material they wanted, and yet somehow felt that it could have been given a more appropriate form, more condensed, and by saying less, meaning more. Such verses as the following especially must have inspired them to express the same insight more deeply in fewer words:

Lonely,
I left my hut;
Gazing around,
Everywhere the same
Autumn evening.  

Ryōsen

The wind in the rice leaves
Wakes me at midnight;
I listen to the distant cry of the deer
In the mountain village.  Morotada

Unexpectedly you came,
And I let you go back,
The frogs of the River Saho
Unheard. Kuratsukuri no Masahito

1 11th Century.
2 11th Century.
3 8th Century.
Flower-viewing,
Shadows of evening fall,
But unawares,
Through the trees,
The moon over the mountain! Masatsune

山遅より帰る我身を送りきて
あくれば門を月も入りけり

The moon,
Coming back with me
From the mountains,
Entered the gate
Together with me. Kotomichi

A last example with the haiku that it must have inspired:

Looking
Where the hototogisu
Had cried,
Only remaining
The moon of dawn. Gotokudaiji Sadaijin

Occasionally we come across haiku that should have been waka, for example:

1 1170–1221.
2 1798–1868.
3 A kind of nightingale.
HAIKU

In the dusk, the breeze is fragrant:
A maiden bringing the tea
To the football garden. Otsuji

As an example of the way in which haiku sometimes drew from several sources at once, Chinese poetry, waka, and Japanese history, we may take the following verse by Buson:

青柳や我大君の草か木か
The green willow,
A tree or grass
Of our great Emperor.

This appears both simple and devoid of any poetical meaning, but some study of it will bring out unsuspected values. It has a proscript, 禁城春色晩花々, which is the second line of an eight-line poem by Koishi, 齋氏, 718-772. The poem is entitled “Going early to the Taimei Palace, and Presenting it to Colleagues of Both Offices.”

早朝大明宮呈兩省僚友
The first four lines are:

銀熖朝天紫陌長
禁城春色晩花々
千條弱柳垂青縛
百轉流鶯遙建幸

In the dawn, while the silver tapers are yet alight,
the road in the capital is long;
In the Palace, the spring scenery of early morning is bright and clear.
A thousand drooping branches of the willows hang over the green inscriptions on the wall;
A hundred voices of nightingales are heard around the Kesho Palace.
Buson possibly read this in the *Tôshisen*, a selection of poetry of the Tó (Tang) Dynasty, which came to Japan early in the Edo Period, 1603–1867.

Then in the *Taiheiki*, 太平記, annals of Japanese history from 1318 to 1363, written by Kojima, 小島, a priest of Hiezan, who died in 1374, we have the following:

Again, in the reign of the Emperor Tenchi, there was a man named Fujiwara Chikata, who employed four kinds of demons,......Because of these creatures, ordinary people being unable to withstand them, in the provinces of Iga and Ise, there was no one who obeyed the Imperial Rule. A man named Ki no Tomotake receiving an Imperial order, went to these provinces, and, composing a waka, sent it among the demons:

```
Even trees and grasses
Are the kingdom of Our Lord;
Where can there be dwellings
For demons?
```

The four kinds of demons, reading this verse......dispersed in every direction, and disappeared, losing their power everywhere, at last overcome by Tomotake.

Combining these two references, Buson has made poetry out of literature by getting us to perceive that the willow of its nature combines the beauty of the tree with that of the grasses. He has taken a Chinese poem of palace life, and the fantasies of the Japanese historian, and thus reinforced, added overtones to the willow that stands there with such slender grace.
A haiku consists of seventeen syllables which may be broken into three parts, five, seven, five. It is the first part of a short poem, or tanka, which contains thirty one: 5, 7, 5; 7, 7. Haiku were first separated from the following fourteen (seven, seven) syllables during the 14th century. They are found in *The Tsukuba Collection*, 筑波集, compiled by Nijō Yoshimoto, 二條良基, 1320–88.

In the earliest times we have Long Poems, 長歌, and Short Poems, Tanka, 短歌. Then at a later date, at the beginning of the Christian Era, Short Poems began to be composed by two poets, one making the 5, 7, 5, the other the 7, 7. There is a legendary account of Yamato-takeru no Mikoto, 日本武尊, (81–112 AD), the third son of the Emperor Keikō, 景行, composing a Short Linked Poem, 短連歌, that is, a tanka or Short Poem made by two people. In the Manyōshū, a compilation made in the middle of the 8th century by Tachibana Moroe, 桃茲兄, 684–757, we find such short Linked Poems in the eighth Book. Long Linked Poems 長連歌, that is, a succession of 5, 7, 5; 7, 7; 5, 7, 5; 7, 7. etc. for fifty or a hundred or a thousand verses begin to appear in the later part of the Heian Era, 794–858. In the early part of the Kamakura Era, 1186–1339, such linked poems became exceedingly popular, and two schools arose, the serious, 有心派, Ushinha, and the comic, 無心派, Mushinha. The Mushinha gave the name Haikai Renga, "sportive linked poems", abbreviated to Haikai, 俳諧, to their compositions, and this became used of all such poetry and
SPIRITUAL ORIGINS

poetical exercises. The word haiku is a mixture of this expression, haikai, and hokku, 結句, the first poem of the Long Linked Verses, haikai plus hokku becoming haiku, about the middle of the 18th Century. "Haikai" sometimes means haiku, and some old people still use the word "hokku."

Haikai or renku has practically died out in Japan. The contempt of Shiki, 1866-1902, for this form of literary composition is often given as the reason for it. More probably, the inherent difficulty finding four or five real poets, in sufficient harmony of character and mood to accomplish the difficult task of writing one poem between them, may have caused the practice to stop.

The relation of haiku to renku is a little like that of ancient Greek statues to the temples in which they were enshrined. Only gradually did the statue begin to be carved for its own sake. Historically, and also for the purpose of understanding their mood and standpoint, the study of haiku needs to be preceded by some acquaintance with the nature of linked verses. It will be readily understood that linked poems themselves underwent development and changes both of form and spirit during over a thousand years. It is not possible to treat of this matter here, but we can give a short account of the way haiku developed out of waka through renku, (the name used for "renga" from about 1750).

In the age of the Manyōshū, waka were composed on the subjects of war and love, all the aspects of human life being included. To the simplicity of feeling was added the beauty of poetic expression. Some change took place by the time the Kokinshū was made in the 10th century. The poems are more "witty", have more polish, are more indirect than the
earlier ones, but there is a common lyricism and subjectivity, a borrowing from nature to express the poet's feelings.

Coming to renku, or linked poems, we find in this common composition of several poets something more playful and artificial. People took to renku because it was easier than waka, and something free and easy came into them which is one of the marks of haiku. Renku reached the zenith of their popularity in the Muromachi Period, 1392-1490; Sōgi, d. 1502, was the greatest exponent of this form of verse. Waka itself gradually came to look for yugen, a mysterious subtlety, and seijaku, tranquillity of spirit, but it still relied on words to produce its effects and often fell into mere vagueness. The aims of waka and renku were not different, but the fact that renku had two or more authors, and that there was an opposition of the two parts of the verse, 上句, the upper, 5, 7, 5, and 下句, the lower, 7, 7, caused a clearness of atmosphere, an independence of the two parts, and a need for condensation and brevity in each. Again, this division made renku tend to become descriptive and objective rather than lyrical and subjective, since identity of mood is more difficult than similarity of subject. Further, in contradistinction to waka, which had a courtly origin, renku were practised by monks and recluses, and this ultimately gave haiku its Buddhistic, slightly pessimistic and escapist flavour, a certain passive "spectator" attitude to the world which it has never lost.

After Sōgi, renku began to lose its originality and power; rules increased and became more complicated. At the time of Sōkan, 1465-1553, new material was introduced, everyday words, unexpected thoughts, contradictions of form and matter, witty and humorous elements, which were ultimately to
give haiku its different "meaning" from that of waka. By the time we reach Teitoku, 1570-1653, renku or haikai had become freer still, and this is his chief claim to fame, for his actual work consisted mainly of plays on words, and purely intellectual puns. Against this arose the Danrin Style, under Sōin, 1604-82, which tried to make this humour more spiritual and less verbal. When poetry was again in heed of new life, Onitsura, 1660-1738, and Bashō appeared. All his life Bashō wrote renku, or haikai, and an example follows of Bashō's treatment of linked poems with his disciples in the mature period of his life.

A few of the most relevant rules may first be given. The hokku, 5,7,5, or starting verse has a season word in it, and sets the ball rolling; the ball indeed rolls just where the instinct of the participants wish it. The second verse 7,7 fulfils the feeling of the hokku, fills out the picture, but the third verse, again 5,7,5, brings about a change, translating it to some new realm of poetic experience or imagination. It usually ends with キ, -ing, thus leading the poem away from the hokku into pastures new. The season changes according to the will of the poets, but certain rules also govern it.

The example chosen is a chain of verses made in 1690, four years before Bashō's death, between Bashō, Kyorai, Bonchō and Shiho,\(^1\) and found in a collection called 猿蓑, The Monkey's Straw Coat, edited by Bonchō and Kyorai. It contains haiku, renku, a travel diary by Bashō. The present selection is a series of renku called The First Winter Rain, Hatsushigure, 初時雨.

\(^1\) Also read "Fumikuni".
HAIKU

はとすたる去年のねどぞのしたるく
芙蓉の花のはらはらと散る

吸物は先づ出来されし水前寺
三里あまりの道かへける

この春も舞同が男居なりにて
さし木つきたる月の闇夜

苦なるから花に並ぶる手水鉢
ひとり直りし今朝の腹だち

いちどきに二日の物も喰ぶて置く
雪に寒き嶺の北風

火ともしに葬れば登る峯の寺
ほとうぎす皆鳴き仕舞ひたり

瘦骨のまま起直る力なき
麗をかりて車引きこむ

うき人を枝枝垣よりくららせむ
いまや彼れの刀さし出す

せはしじは楅でかしらをかきちらし
おもひ切つたる死ぐるひ見よ
THE FIRST WINTER RAIN

Kyorai: Its feathers
The kite has preened
In the first winter rain.

Bashô: A gust of wind blows the leaves;
They are quiet.

Bonchô: The breeches wet
From morning,
Crossing the river.

Shihô: A bamboo bow
Threatening the badger.

Bashô: Ivy creeps over
The wooden door,
Under the evening moon.

Kyorai: They keep from others
The famous pears.

Shihô: Dashing off
Indian-ink sketches,
Autumn passing pleasantly.
Bonchô: Comfortable Knitted socks.

Kyorai: Everything In the Silence, Is full of peace.

Bashô: The hamlet first seen, The couch of noon is blown.

Bonchô: The frayed sleeping mat Of last year, Is damp and grimy.

Shihô: The petals of the lotus flower Fall down by ones and twos.

Bashô: The soup Of Suizenji laver Is the first success.

Kyorai: Seven miles and more Yet to journey.

Shihô: This spring also, Rodô’s man-servant Remains at his post.

Bonchô: The graft is taking Under the hazy moon of night.

Bashô: The mossy stone basin Stands beside The cherry blossoms.

Kyorai: I am better of myself, Though this morning I was angry.

Bonchô: At one meal Eating Two days' food.
Shihô: As if it is going to snow,
The north wind of the cold islands.

Kyorai: When it darkens
They climb up to the temple on
the peak
To light the lantern.

Bashô: The *hototogisu* have all
Sung their last song.

Shihô: Bony thinness;
Strength to rise,
Not yet.

Bonchô: Pulling the carriage
Into the neighbour's.

Bashô: She will let through
The fence of quince bushes,
Him who gives her the pains
of love.

Kyorai: "Well then, we must part;
Here is your sword."

Bonchô: Flurriedly
She combs
Her disordered tresses.

Shihô: See her, brooding
And frantic.

Kyorai: In the cloudless sky
Of dawn,
The wan moon.

Bashô: Autumn; in Lake Biwa,
The first frost of Mount Hira.
Shihô: A rustic door;  
His buckwheat stolen,  
He sings it in verse.

Bonchô: In the wind of evening,  
Used to wearing wadded cotton clothes.

Bashô: Packed in and sleeping with others,  
Again getting up  
From this night's lodging.

Kyorai: The sky is still red  
From clouds of the bellows.

Bonchô: A house making saddles;  
Outside the window,  
Cherry blossoms.

Shihô: In the old leaves of the loquat tree,  
The buds are bursting.

I think few could make head or tail of this composite poem; it is much worse than Blake's prophetic books. Nevertheless, it must be remembered that four living people once sat down and composed this poem, one of them the greatest poet Japan produced, and in his maturity. It is therefore worth while to see what was going on in their minds as they made this succession of verses. It is like a scroll picture that is slowly unrolled before us. We are not supposed to look at the whole thing as such, except in so far as it remains in our minds as a record of changing scenes and moods. Each verse is related to the verse before and after, but not to those at a distance. Let us go through it again, verse by verse, explaining the obscurities and noting the association of ideas.
The season of each of the thirty six is given instead of the author. "Mixed" means that it is of no particular season.

Hokku: Its feathers
Winter The kite preens
In the first winter rain.

The emphasis is on the feathers rather than on the bird itself. It arranges its feathers already slightly wet with the rain.

Side Verse:
Winter A gust of wind has blown the leaves;
They are quiet.

This verse fills in the scenery behind the kite, which stands out clearly. In a way, this verse precedes the hokku in time.

No. 3 The breeches are wet
Mixed From morning,
Crossing the river.

Having shown us the forest with its almost bare branches on which a single kite is perched in the falling rain,² man is now drawn into the picture. A villager is seen fording the river, indifferent to wetting his breeches so early in the morning. Grammatically this verse is incomplete, leading to a change of subject, or a new aspect of the old one. The cold waters of the river flow across the page.

No. 4 A bamboo bow,
Mixed Threatening the badger.

A bow was often hung near the thickets, on the edges of the fields to frighten away badgers, deer and wild boar. This

¹ Some take the subject of "preens" to be the winter rain.
² Actually, we are not to take, for example, the first three verses together as a poetic unit.
bow is a kind of scarecrow, but of course a superstitious one, in the sense that it is a product of the mind of the farmer. This bow is hanging near the river that the poor farmer is crossing.

No. 5        Ivy creeps over
Autumn  The wooden door,
           Under the evening moon.

A mairado is a kind of door in which there are a great number of small cross-pieces of wood nailed on the planks. At the end of the field where the badger-threatening bow is hung, stands a mansion. Ivy creeps around the door, and the moon slants over it. Some think that this is the gate of a mountain temple.

No. 6       They keep from others
Autumn  The famous pears.

This verse seems to have a reference to passage from the Tsurezuregusa, Section II, by Kenkô, 1283–1350, in which he tells of coming across a lonely, silent hermitage, that won his admiration until he notice a fence all round an orange tree in the garden, showing that the one who lived there still had greed and selfishness in his heart.

No. 7       Dashing off
Autumn  Indian-ink sketches,
        Autumn passing pleasantly.

This portrays the life of a hermit who paints what he likes, as he likes. The not giving pears is evidently taken as due to being so far away from mankind, and the meaning is therefore quite different from that of the anecdote in the Tsurezuregusa.
Plate 11

The Evening Cool

Morikage
No. 8  Comfortable Winter  Knitted tabi:

This symbolizes a quiet self-sufficient life of poverty which is nevertheless not grinding. This verse is somewhat Wordsworthian in its plainness and homeliness. It is hardly poetry, but is part of it. Tabi are Japanese socks, with the big toe divided.

No. 9  Everything, Mixed  In the Silence, Full of peace.

This verse does not lead us anywhere or develop the thought of No. 8. It turns the apparent unpoeticality of the previous verse into vagueness and abstraction, the arch-enemies of poetry.

No. 10  The hamlet first seen, Mixed  He blows the conch of noon.

It is said that there was a practice by Yamabushi, 山伏, followers of the Shugendō, 修験道, an association formed by the Shingon and Tendai Sects, of ascending mountains and performing religious rites on the summit. When noon came, a conch-shell was blown and the devotees descended the mountain. The connection between this and the previous verse is that the ascent to the peak was done in silence. This verse, by Bashō, is most dexterously appended to No. 9, which seems to have come to a blind end. As we read on, we can very easily see the difference of poetic calibre in the four participants.

No. 11  The frayed sleeping mat Mixed  Of last year, Is damp and grimy.
This is related to the hamlet of the last verse, in connection with midday, when the inhabitants are having a nap. It also suggests travelling in poor inns, and leads on to verse 14.

No. 12 The petals of the lotus
Summer Fall down by ones and twos.

There is a pool beside the inn, or temple, or poor farmer's house, and into the water suddenly fall the petals of the lotus flowers.

No. 13 The soup
Mixed Of Suizenji laver
Is the first success.

"Suizenji" means the sea-weed which is grown at this place in Kumamoto, Higo. In the small pavilion by the lotus pool, the guests praise the taste of the soup with this seaweed in it. Bashō again pulls the poem back to the common things of ordinary life.

No. 14 Seven miles and more
Mixed Yet to journey.

That is to say, the sun is high in the heavens and we must leave, for we have still far to go.

No. 15 This spring also,
Spring Rodō's man-servant
Remains at his post.

Rodō, otherwise known as Gyokusen, 玉川, was a poet of the Tō (Tang) Dynasty. He was also a Tea-man, and wrote a book called The Tea Sutra, 茶経. His servant is mentioned here as an example of faithfulness. He does not go home on
a holiday, but remains at work. The connection here with
the travelling of No. 14 is rather slight.

No. 16 The cutting is taking,
Spring Under the hazy moon of night.

The connection between this and the previous verse lies in
the remaining of the man-servant and the continuing to live
of the slip that is planted. Also, the diligent servant of a
poet will go out at night in the moonlight to see how things
are living. A poet's servant may well become poetical like
his master.

No. 17 The mossy stone basin
Spring Stands beside
The cherry blossoms.¹

The slip is putting forth its buds; the hazy moon above it
shines into the water of the old stone basin, used for rinsing
the hands, outside the verandah.

No. 18 Better of myself,
Mixed This morning I was angry.

Looking at the cherry blossoms, the mind is unconsciously
quieted, not so much by their beauty, as by what their beauty
arises from, mindlessness, thusness, being what one is, without
affectation or self-seeking.

No. 19 At one meal,
Mixed Eating
Two day's food.

Sometimes we are to eat nothing, and like it, and at others
we make up for this meagre fare. This may be from caprice,

¹ Some take this "hana" to mean only flowers of some kind, a
flowering bush.
but it is better to take it as from necessity, from the nature of things, and then we see a connection between this fact and becoming calm of No. 18. When he was angry he missed a meal.

No. 20 As if it is going to snow,
Winter The north wind of the cold islands.

Fishermen are boldest and make as large catches ("two day's food") as possible, in the season just before the snow begins to fall. Shihó's task of continuing No. 19 has been most successfully performed.

No. 21 When it gets dark
Mixed They climb up to the temple on the peak,
                          To light the lantern.

We may think of this temple as being on the top of one of the mountains on the islands where the cold wind is blowing. No one lives in this remote place, and they must climb up there every evening to light the stone lantern.

No. 22 The hototogisu1 have all
Summer Sung their last song.

On the road to the top of the peak and back again, through the forests that clothe the mountain, the cuckoos were heard from the beginning of summer, but now, after going so many times up the mountain to light the evening lamps, their voices are heard no more. Bashó had given us here, indirectly, a feeling of the passing of time, which in renku is most necessary to join the gaps between pictures and poetic ideas.

1 Cuckoos.
No. 23  Bony thinness;  
Mixed Strength to rise,  
    Not yet.

The *hotologisu* have ceased their song with advancing summer, and the sick man is like them, unable to regain his former strength.

No. 24  Pulling the carriage  
Mixed Into the neighbour's.

This association of ideas is connected with the chapter Yugao of the *Genji Monogatari*, where Genji visits Daini's wet-nurse when she is ill. The gate being shut, he puts his carriage against the fence of Yugao's garden. In Bonchô's verse there is some alteration, but through it the connection is established between the "bony thinness" of No. 23, and the "neighbour" of No. 24.

No. 25  She will let through  
Mixed The fence of quince bushes¹  
    Him who gives her the pains of love.

This continues the love-motif of the previous verse, and shows us a woman who has repulsed her lover, but now regrets it, and desires to meet him.

No. 26  "Well then, we must part;"  
Mxeid Here is your sword."

The change of this verse is from meeting to parting. She gives him his sword as he goes.

No. 27  Hurriedly  
Mixed She combs at random  
    Her tresses.

¹ Thorny bushes.
While she is saying good-bye, she remembers, as only a woman can in the most trying circumstances, her personal appearance.

No. 28 See her, brooding
Mixed And frantic.

She seems determined to take her own life. (Some commentators take this verse as referring to the man after he has left the house.)

No. 29 In the cloudless sky
Autumn Of dawn,
               The wan moon.

There is a sudden change here from man to nature, from the relative to the absolute, from motion to rest.

No. 30 In Lake Biwa, in autumn,
          Autumn The first frost of Mt. Hira.

Hira is the name of a mountain north of Hieizan, north-east of Kyōto. It is famous for its view of snow in the evening, being one of the Eight Views of Omi. This verse continues the description of scenery of the previous verse, making the location more definite. The mountain is reflected in the lake.

No. 31 A rustic gate;
          Autumn Having his buckwheat stolen,
                      He sings it in verse.

poet can alchemize all his troubles into poetry, living on the slopes of Mount Hira on the shores of Lake Biwa.

is a waka by Chōkei Sōzu, 澤栄僧都, composed on that the buckwheat of his neighbour had been
The thief
Must have worn long *hakama*,
(Tucking them up at the sides,)
(Taking the buckwheat,
As he ran away.

The bracketed part shows the pun on *soba wo torite*. *Hakama* are a kind of skirt-like trousers.

No. 32  In the wind of the evening.
Winter Learning to wear wadded cotton clothes.

The poet who is sensitive to the poetry of losing his buckwheat, is sensitive to cold also, and puts on his warm winter clothes sooner than other people.

No. 33  Packed in and sleeping with others,
Mixed Again getting up
From this night’s lodging.

Bashō describes, from his many years of experience, the miserable life of an itinerant poetry-teacher in Old Japan.

No. 34  The sky is still red
Mixed From clouds of the bellows.

This red light from the forge is what the traveller sees when he rises early in the morning after an uncomfortable night’s lodging. Some think that “*tatara*” refers not to a bellows but to some place, for example, 多々良壁, north of Fukuoka. It may be best to take it as merely an ornamental adjective of sky, another way of saying “the burning sky”.
No. 35  A house making saddles;  
Spring    Outside the window,  
         Cherry blossoms.

This continues what the traveller sees on the outskirts of the town, an artizan making saddles.

No. 36  In the old leaves of the loquat tree  
Spring    The buds are bursting.

This also is in the garden of the harness-maker. The poem ends with spring, and the particular.

If the reader will read once more the whole thing on pages 131-4, he may well find that it is still almost as obscure as before. This is partly because of the inherent difficulty of this kind of literature, partly due to lack of training, and to reading it too quickly. At all events, we have here a kind of communistic poetry, and in it we may see the poetic life being lived by four old Japanese poets, both individually and in communion. Part of its worth lies precisely where we cannot grasp it, in the overlapping, in the interpenetration of one scene with another, of man with nature. It was on this kind of thing that Bashô, Buson and Issa were brought up, and it is not only the historical origin of haiku, but must have influenced greatly the separate haiku which these and lesser poets composed. Every haiku, that is, has a kind of fluidity which is different from vagueness. This fluidity makes it less static, less circumscribed; we see things in their manifold relations, at the same time as we see them as solitary objects,
There are three arts, No, Ikebana (Flower Arrangement) and Cha no Yu (The Art of Tea) which have played some part in the origin and development of haiku. Their direct influence was slight, but their indirect influence perhaps correspondingly great, for each in its own sphere had already done what haiku was to do in its seventeen syllables. They could be, and were, drawn upon as material for haiku, but their most significant relation to haiku was the analogy that Bashō and the early writers of haiku must have seen in them. It should be noted once for all, that art and poetry and drama, learning and religion, architecture and music, are far closer to one another in the East than in the West. In this sense, the East is easy to understand; if you know one properly, you know all,—but an understanding of western architecture is no guarantee of an appreciation of Bach, nor that of Kantian metaphysics. The multifarious incoherence of the various forms of Western culture gives them a kind of vitality and indeterminate direction of development which makes Eastern culture seem a little monotonous, a little lifeless in comparison. The truth is that the East knows how to live, but does not do it; the West does not know. As D. H. Lawrence said,

Life and love are life and love, a bunch of violets is a bunch of violets, and to drag in the idea of a point is to ruin everything. Live and let live, love and let love, flower and fade, and follow the natural curve, which flows on, pointless.
The clear water of a stream
Flows beneath the shade
Of a willow by the roadside;
It was long indeed
That I stood there.

The old man thinks that if such a saint were to lift up his voice and intone the sutra, even trees and plants would become Buddhas. He disappears and the Yuyyô reads the sutras all night. Later the old man reappears in a more august form; he was really the spirit of the willow tree. He dances to express his pleasure at being able to go to Paradise, and his first words are:

青柳に舞つたふ風の舞
柳花苑とぞ思ほえにける

The windy-feather dance of the uguisu from the willow,—it calls to mind the court music called Ryukaen.

Kikaku has taken this, and changed the uguisu into a bat, something less beautiful and poetic, but more odd and humorous, and therefore more significant. Humour is found in Nô, but separated from the main body of the play in comic farce, interludes called Kyôgen. The humour of haiku is found everywhere, even where least expected or noticed; perhaps chiefly there.

Ikebana

Flower Arrangement is building a world of grace in world of nature. Ten-chi-jin, heaven, earth, and man, are ought together in asymmetrical harmony in the simple-
elaborate forms of branch, leaf and flower. There is a selection from the multifariousness of nature, and an infusion of mind in matter. For but a few hours (in theory, the whole thing should be dismantled in the evening, and not allowed to gather the dust of succeeding days) it is the free spirit and not merely the blind forces of nature which decides the length of the branch and the force of upthrust, the relation of variety to uniformity. The branch grows according to its own nature and according to the will of man.

As in haiku, the aim is to reduce the complexity, the wild lawlessness of the material, to that point, and not beyond it, where the true nature of the thing is revealed to the poetic eye. A simplicity is reached in which there is a perfection of balance between law and example. Truth strikes us dumb with astonishment in the particular thing, and the particular thing is seen as itself, and yet as all things. We see heaven in a wild flower, wherever it may be, for after all, heaven is within our hearts, but we see it best when alone, before the alcove, deprived, it is true, of its natural life, but endued with a supernatural, a Natural one which surpasses the other:

鶴の真似を鶴より功者な子供哉　一茶

The children imitating the cormorants,
Are more wonderful
Than the real cormorants.  Issa

Flower Arrangement began about the same time as Cha no Yu, 1478, with the Eighth Ashikaga Shōgun Yoshimasa, 1435-1490, at the end of the Civil War of Onin, when he built on a hill east of Kyōto a palace called Kinkaku-ji. Here he enjoyed for the next ten years a quiet and secluded
life of art. Flower Arrangement and Cha no Yu are thus entirely aristocratic in origin, though later they spread throughout all classes of society. It is worth nothing that Yoshimasa lived a kind of semi-Buddhist life during this time. Soami, one of the Shōgun's favourites, painter, poet, and Tea-man, was especially interested in Flower Arrangement and Gardening.

Ikenobō Ono, a monk of Rokkakudō, and a friend of the Shōgun, was the founder of the best-known school of Flower Arrangement. One of his most famous successors was Ikenobō Senkō, of the seventeenth century, and during this time, the Emperors took great interest in Flower Arrangement; in the Shishinden, all the best Flower Masters showed their skill. The Emperor Gomizu-no-o, who died in 1680, fourteen years before the death of Bashō, lived in retirement for fifty years after his abdication, devoting much of his time to the art. He also left his mark on the Detached Palace and gardens of the Shugakuin of Kyōto.

Flower Arrangement has changed much during the four hundred and fifty years of its history. Cha no Yu and No have changed very little, if at all, and this difference is due to the significant fact that Flower Arrangement is very close to the life of people, varying according to the age, the living conditions, the size of the room, and every alteration in daily life, whereas the other two are held in separate rooms of fixed size and special purpose, and no change is felt necessary or desirable.

The early Tokugawa Period, when Bashō was living, was marked by the aristocratic patronage above mentioned, rikka, the formal style, being in the ascendant during this time.
Towards the end of the century, however, the Nageire,1 or informal, natural style, was beginning to spread throughout the country, and in the succeeding century, the eighteenth, this tendency increased.

The difference between the formal style and the natural is worth noting. The formal style, usually called Seika, with its (later 19th century) ten-chi-jin, heaven-earth-man branches, fixed positions and lengths, its centrally balanced asymmetry and faintly artificial air, is rather far from nature. It is the world seen under the aspect of law. In Nageire, the branch is put in the vase and allowed to go more or less where it will, and changes are made in accordance with the original nature of the branch; nothing is forced or twisted. Nageire is especially associated with Cha no Yu, where the straight lines and formal patterns of the room make the Seika style unsuitable. Another difference of the greatest importance in itself, and also in its relation to haiku, is that Seika has a kind of perfection attained in it, whereas Nageire is incomplete, leaves something for the imagination.

Rikyu, the great Tea-man, whom Bashō admired so much, was also great at Flower Arrangement. What is interesting, however, and relevant to the connection between haiku and Flower Arrangement, is the fact that he wrote nothing about either, believing that such matters could not be conveyed through words. It is here that we see the greatness of Bashō, who found a way (also incommunicable in words) of expressing in words, in seventeen syllables, what Rikyu portrayed

1 Nageire means "something flung into", and describes the way in which the branch lies as if fallen in the vase or vessel. It was originally used of a branch arranged in a boat-shaped container suspended from the ceiling.
in Tea and in Flowers. However, Rikyu is reported by a pupil of his, Minami Bō, as teaching that the worst thing in Nageire is the attempt to show something artistic. This is exactly paralleled in haiku, where it is the thing itself, and not poetry about it, which is portrayed, and again in Zen, for the desire of enlightenment is the greatest of all obstacles to it.

A verse that shows Bashō’s deep understanding of the real meaning of Flower Arrangement, its raison d’etre, is the following, written about 1685:

米のなき時は瓢に女郎花
When there is no rice,
The ominaeshi flower
In the gourd.

The gourd is a kind of flower-vase used in Flower Arrangement. Bashō’s verse may be taken as the poet’s version of the saying:

武士は食はねと高揚枝
The samurai, though he has not eaten, picks his teeth.

The illustration shows an Ikenobō arrangement of plum and senryō by Ichimura Sōshin, in a bamboo receptacle. The plum and the senryō are quite separate in their shape and form, but by the genius of the arranger, the natural material has an inner unity. It is a splendid example, in flower arrangement, of

Difference is identity,
Identity is difference.
Raidenboku  Arranged by the author's wife
Cha no Yu

Bashō's practice of the Art of Tea is important in the history of culture, for the qualities of mind which it exemplifies are also precisely those which he wished to express in the seventeen-syllabled verse then at his disposal as an instrument of joy and power. *Wa, kei, sei, jaku,* the four qualities of the Art of Tea, harmony, 和, respect, 敬, purity, 潔, and tranquility, 寂, are those of the state of mind of the writer and reader of haiku. The harmony of the guests, and of them with the sound of trickling water, the pine trees, the simmering of the kettle; the sight of simplicity and orderliness, the touch of the bowl and the bitter flavour of the tea. The respect of the guests for each other, (all men are equal in the tea room,) the respect for the master of tea, Rikyu, (this is a kind of hero-worship,) and the writer of the picture hanging in the alcove; respect for the flowers standing there in all their simplicity and perfection, for the tatami and the posts and the roof, and for the motes that play in the sunlight. Purity is in the body, in the things round us; but above all in the mind. When there is any feeling of competition, of enmity with nature, of desire to use things, instead of having them be, when anything is wanted,—purity is no longer there. Tranquility comes from nature to us, and we return it to nature. We may say that tranquillity is something that man gives to things, but this presupposes a non-existent division between the two, to remove the illusion of which is the function of the Art of Tea. The relation of all this to haiku may be illustrated by a verse of Bashō:

白菊の目にたて、見る塵もなし
The white chrysanthemum;
Not a speck of dust
To meet the eye.

According to the *Oi no Nikki, The Diary of the Travelling Altar*, this verse was written in praise of Sonojo and the beauty of her poetic life. However, it applies equally to both poetess and flower in its expression of harmony, respect, purity and tranquillity.

The way the Tea-master walks even, his unconsciousness, his walking-as-if-he-were-not-walking, this practical "living" of the Mahayana philosophy, is what Bashô wanted to do and at last succeeded in doing in haiku. The thing is seen neither objectively nor subjectively; it is both itself and all things, everything and nothing; here in the mind and there under the sky. This was the extraordinary discovery-invention of this very ordinary man. He had only to put the spirit of Rikyu, of Sôshi and Rôshi, of Buddha and Enô, of Saigyô and Hakurakuten into the form given to him, the 17 syllables,—but what a feat it was. The apparent inanity and meaninglessness of things was once more conquered by the spirit of man.

Tea in Japan was from the beginning associated with Zen, for the cultivation of tea was introduced into Japan by Eisai, 茶西, 1141-1215, the founder of the Rinzai Branch of Zen, who composed a book called *Kissayôjôki*, 笑茶養生記, in praise of the its health-giving qualities.

The founder of the Tea Ceremony was Jukô, 珠光, died 1502. There is a story of Jukô's *mondô* with Ikkyu Zenji, in which we may see the deep connection between Zen and Cha no Yu, so deep that it cannot be brought out into the light of our intellectual day.
Ikkyu asked him what was the essential element of his Tea-drinking. Jukô replied that it was according to the Quiet Mind of Tea-drinking, 喫茶穏心法 of Senkô (Senkô is Eisai). Ikkyu then asked about Jôshu’s Tea-drinking (“Have a cup of Tea”) and Jukô was silent. Ikkyu then had a cup of tea brought and presented to him, and just as he was about to drink it, shouted “Kwatz” and smashed it with his iron rod; Jukô remained quite still and unperturbed, thus showing his power to drink tea-less Tea.

The actual relation of Bashô to Cha no Yu is as follows. The young lord whom Bashô served, Tôdô Shinshirô, was devoted to the Art of Tea. When he died, Bashô went to Kyôto, where Tea was being practised everywhere. It was here that Jukô and Jôô taught the principles of Tea. In 1671 Bashô went to his native place, Iga, and stayed with his brother. There he produced the Kai-ô, 賝おほひ. Here is his comment on a verse by Fukutsu, 不屈:

掃除して瓢箪たいきや炭はほとり
Cleaning the room,
When the gourd is flicked,
Charcoal dust arises.

炎とりへうたんをたいきて掃除したるは、
手もまめなる處あらはれて、奇麗なる愛句也。
“Doing the cleaning, and flicking with a duster
the charcoal-container made of a gourd,”—this
shows energy; the hokku is a beautiful one.

A large gourd used to contain charcoal is one the articles of the Tea Ceremony. The above passage is the first in which we see Bashô’s interest in and understanding of Cha no Yu.

After this, Bashô returned to Edo, and spent five painful but not useless years there, 1673-1677, part of the time as water-works superintendant, the rest struggling to make a living as a haikai teacher. He published collections of verses by himself and his pupils, and among these renga and kasen,¹ occur a remarkably large number of verses by Bashô with references to Tea. It was about this time that Bashô's poetical growth, so tardy up to now, suddenly underwent a remarkably quick development. From now on to the end of his life, there are various references to Tea in verses and letters which show that Bashô did not lose interest in it. There have been few who understood the Way of Tea as well as Bashô did, and there can be little doubt that it played an important part in the origin of real haiku at his hands.

In a letter, written within a year or two of his death, to Yôwa, 用和, inviting him to his own house, Bashô says:

此四五日以前に木節氏へ参候て風興存寄りて
此の一旬口すきま候

秋ちかき心よするや四畳半

Four or five days ago, I went to Mokusetsu's² house, and receiving much aesthetic pleasure, I hummed the following verse:

Autumn is near;
I feel drawn towards
The four-and-a-half-mat room.

"four-and-half-mat room" is the room for the Tea mony, and upon the approach of autumn, the most poetical

One of the many kinds of renku, a group of thirty six verses. A physician, and a pupil of Bashô's; he attended Bashô at his n Osaka.
season, the thoughts of Bashō turn especially towards the Tea Room where the mind is quiet, and yet entirely in this world of sound and sight and feeling. Bashō says later:

愚庵へも松風など御同道

Please come together with the wind in the pine-trees to my hut.

This language also is redolent of the Tea Ceremony, for the sound of the wind in the pine-tree is one of its many pleasures.

There is a verse by Ransetsu, one of Bashō's best disciples, that shows his interest in Cha no Yu:

松蟲のりんともいはす黒茶碗

The *matsumushi*1

Does not make a sound:

The black bowl.

This particular bowl was by Nonko, (sometimes written 能無賀茂, meaning “talentless and rejoicing”) one of the most famous Japanese potters. He died in 1657. He excelled in black bowls which have no immediate or popular appeal, but grow more and more meaningful as we gaze at and lovingly handle them. Ransetsu's verse means: the *matsumushi* has a sweet voice, but when it is silent, still it sings to the spirit ditties of no tone. In the same way, this black bowl, of no great worth to the casual eye, has a deep beauty that is enhanced when the moon is full, or the cherry blossoms are in bloom. The highest art of the artist is to hide rather than to reveal beauty.

---

1 A kind of cricket.
The relation of Shintō to haiku is a vital one, but owing to the obscurity of the nature of Shintō it is difficult to write clearly on this subject. With Shintō and its boring and repulsive mythology, haiku has little to do, directly or indirectly, but primitive, or crude Shintō, which still persists throughout Japan, both expresses the national character and affects it. As far as it concerns haiku, there are two aspects of this Shintō which we must describe, animism and simplicity.

Animism, the belief in indwelling spirits, together with animatism, or simple nature worship, was and is the essence of non-political Shintō. Waterfalls, great trees, deer, monkeys, pigeons, tortoises, crows and many other creatures are sacred at various shrines. Motoori\(^1\) says,

> The term Kami is applied in the Nihongi\(^2\) and Manyōshū\(^3\) to the tiger and wolf...... There are many cases of seas\(^4\) and mountains being called Kami. It is not their spirits which are meant. The word was applied directly to the seas or mountains themselves as being very awful things.

To the Japanese mind, there does not exist that tremendous gulf between us and God on the one hand, and animals,
trees and stones on the other. It is said with some truth that
they have a feeble grasp of personality, and haiku shows a
democracy among its subjects which derives from this. Take
the following as an example. The brush and the poet, all
have their own "personalities"; the spirit of life is working
in all of them:

The frozen brush
Was burnt
In the flame of the lamp. Tairo

The poet sits in poverty and solitude, holding the frozen
tip of his writing brush in the flame. Not a sound is heard.
He sits there "tasting" the cold, listening to the silence. But
see the faithfulness of matter. The frozen brush in the flame
may be momentarily forgotten by the poet, but God does not
forget it; he burns it.

If we are faithless, he abideth faithful, for
he cannot deny himself.

Simplicity is an even more important factor in haiku. In
Shintō there is no reference to a future life, nor is there a
sense of sin, a sense of the guilty past, once purification is
performed. And this purification is a physical, not a moral
or spiritual one. We have such sayings as:

To do good is to be pure; to commit evil is
to be impure. The gods dislike evil deeds, be-
cause they are impure.¹

These, however, date from far later times. The simplicity of
Shintō, like that of Zen and of haiku, is entirely non-moral.

¹ Shintō Gobusho, 13th Century.
It resembles that of the children whom we are to be like if we wish to enter the kingdom of heaven. The simplicity of Shintō, in its teachings, its ceremonies, and its buildings, its directness and lack of moral and intellectual complications, undoubtedly had a great effect upon the composition of haiku. When a Japanese, in sincerity of heart, in cheerful godliness, goes to a shrine, claps his hands and bows to the spirit of place, to his ancestors, to the powers and the power of nature, he is not far from the state of mind which sees the thing as it is, and records it in the simplest and fewest words:

雨に折れて穂麦に狭き徑かな

Bent over by the rain,
The ears of barley
Make it a narrow path.

Aston’s Shintō, the Way of the Gods, a work full, it is true, of the most disparaging and doubtful statements, concludes with the following words:

Shintō will long continue to survive......in that lively sensibility to the divine in its simpler and more material aspects which characterizes the people of Japan.
SECTION II

ZEN,
THE STATE OF MIND FOR HAIKU
Zen as it is related to the mind of the haiku poet is dealt with under thirteen headings:

1. Selflessness.
2. Loneliness.
3. Grateful acceptance.
4. Wordlessness.
5. Non-intellectuality.
6. Contradictoriness.
10. Simplicity.
11. Materiality.
12. Love.

These are some of the characteristics of the state of mind which the creation and appreciation of haiku demand.
I

Selflessness

It is a condition of *selflessness* in which things are seen without reference to profit or loss, even of some remote, spiritual kind.

He who loves God will not desire that God should love him in return with any partial or particular affection.

Misty rain;
Today is a happy day,
Although Mt. Fuji is unseen. Bashô

Carlyle too expresses this idea of not demanding anything from life, from nature, in his own boisterous way:

My brother, the brave man has to give his life away. Give it, I advise thee—thou dost not expect to *sell* thy life in an adequate manner?... Give it, like a royal heart: let the price be Nothing. Thou *hast* then, in a certain sense, got all for it! The heroic,—and is not every man, God be thanked, a potential hero?—has to do so, in all times and circumstances.

The courageous spirit that inspired Carlyle to write this enabled Hayashi Gahô, a Japanese Confucian scholar of the mid-seventeenth century, to see the fundamental likeness of the scholar, or poet, and the warrior. In the *Sentetsu Sôdan*, 先哲叢談, eight volumes relating anecdotes of Japanese Confucian scholars, edited by Hara Zen, 原善, we read:

1 Died 1820.
Hayashi Gahō was strong of will and a remarkable character. He loved learning, was widely read, and of great knowledge. He once said, “The warrior takes up his weapons and fights; dying, he attains a glorious renown. The scholar, studying books, establishes his own views, for which, of course, he is willing to lose his life.”

This losing of one’s life, when attained in the will, is a state of rest and ease:

To enjoy true happiness, we must travel into a very far country, and even out of ourselves; for the Pearl we seek for is not to be found in the Indian, but in the Empyrean Ocean.

When we are in this condition, we can look at anything and everything and see with its eyes, hear with its ears, fly with its wings:

The butterfly having disappeared,
My spirit
Came back to me.

It was in this same condition that Shelley was able to write, in Prometheus Unbound:

As the sharp stars pierce winter’s crystal air,
And gaze upon themselves within the sea.

In this state Blake said, of the skylark, when it begins to sing,
All nature listens to him, and the awful sun
Stands still upon the mountain looking on this little
bird
With eyes of soft humility, and wonder, love and awe.¹

In this selflessness there is only nature and the bird, but in
the following verse, the song of the bird alone remains, nature
and skylark all swallowed up in its thrilling notes:

The skylark:
Its voice alone fell,
Leaving nothing behind. ²

One more example from Emerson, where it is the in­
sentient things whose own Buddha nature stirs within them.

And the poor grass shall plot and plan
What it will do when it is man.²

The grass, the stars, the skylark are thus

The human soul of universal earth,
Dreaming of things to come,³

and at the same time, the poet himself. The artist has the
same object, and the same means to attain it. In Modern
Painters, Ruskin tells us of the youth of Turner, how he
strove to enter into the very being of things, their boundaries
and curves and angles, their weight and stress and movement.
Below London Bridge among the ships and boats he “studied”
their essential nature.

¹ Milton.
² Bacchus.
³ The Excursion.
That mysterious forest below London Bridge—better for the boy than wood of pine, or grove of myrtle. How he must have tormented the watermen, beseeching them to let him crouch anywhere in the bows, quiet as a log, so only that he might get floated down there among the ships, and round and round the ships, and with the ships, and by the ships, and under the ships, staring and clambering;—these the only quite beautiful things he can see in all the world, except the sky; but these when the sun is on their sails, filling or falling, endlessly disordered by sway of tide and stress of anchorage, beautiful unspeakably.

How near this is in fact, yet how far distant in time and place, in feeling and expression, from Ippen’s simple waka:

唱ぶれば我も佛もなかなりけり
南無阿弥陀佛，南無阿弥陀佛

When uttered,
There is no I,
No Buddha:
“Namuamidabutsu, Namuamidabutsu.”

and in the calm night of late spring, in their own re, the frogs say the same thing:

とすめば遠くも聞ゆ蛙かな。 蕗村

Standing still,—
The voices of frogs,
Heard in the distance too. Buson

—島上人.
In truth, the frogs are silent; it is the frog nature of the poet which is suddenly heard speaking in his breast.

This selflessness is the immediate and sufficient cause of Selffulness, interpenetration with all things. Sōshi says,

Only “he who has arrived” knows and understands that all things are one. He does not take himself as separate from things, but identifies himself with them in their essential activity.

唯達者知通為一。為是不用。而寓諸庸。
（內篇，齊物論第二）

For some, self-identification with their fellow man is the easier path. William Morris points to the obscure workings of this instinct, in the following passage:

Do you know, when I see a poor devil drunk and brutal, I always feel, quite apart from my aesthetical perceptions, a sort of shame, as if I myself had some hand in it.

St, Paul says the same thing:

Who is weak and I am not weak?
Who is offended and I burn not?

Bashō, with the same spirit that uttered the words,

Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself,
asks.

秋深き際は何をする人ぞ
It is deep autumn:
My neighbour—
How does he live, I wonder?

For some, the realization of the self-lessness of things comes through a realization of non-ego, 無我.
HAIKU

In nature
Non-existent:
No final destination,
Nothing of any value.

For others, however, self-identification with nature, with animals or "with rocks and stones and trees," comes easier. Sōshi speaks of a man Tai Shi:

He was quietness itself when asleep, in perfect repose when awake. Now he became a horse, now an ox.

This same state is hinted at in various parts of the Old (not the New) Testament, for example in Job:

Thou shalt be in league with the stones of the field, and the beasts of the field shall be at peace with thee.

It is expressed more familiarly by Keats in Meg Merrilies:

Her brothers were the craggy hills,
Her sisters larchen trees;
Alone with her great family,
She lived as she did please.

This state is expressed yet more intimately, because only the poet's willow tree nature is felt, in the following:

Five or six,
Drooping down together,—
Willow trees.
For yet others, this self-identification is felt in a vaster, more general way with all life, with life as a whole, with Buddha, with God. The fifth of the seven short sentences found in the rubbish heaps of the Nile in 1887, and ascribed to Christ, is,

Jesus says: Smite the rock and thou shalt find me; cleave the wood and there am I.

All sounds are the Voice of God,

For it is not ye that speak but the spirit of your Father which is in you.¹

Sōtōba says,

The voice of the mountain torrent is from the one great tongue;  
The lines of the hills—are they not the Pure Body of Buddha?

溪騫便是廣長舌。山色豈非清淨身。

All things, loving and dying, are God living and dying:


Eckhart speaks of the identity of Man and God in the strongest possible terms:

„Ihm gleich“ bezeichnet noch immer eine Fremdheit und Ferne. Zwischen Gott und der Seele ist aber weder Fremdheit noch Ferne. Darum ist die Seele Gott nicht gleich, sondern vielmehr ist

¹ John, 10,4.
sie mit ihm "allzumal gleich," und dasselbe das er ist.

Spinoza speaks also of the illusion of our individual separateness, and says, with that purity and warmth that characterize him.

The greatest good is the knowledge of the union which the mind has with the whole of nature.

This "knowledge," however, is not one of the head, because the whole of nature, its wholeness, could not possibly be known except by the whole of our own nature, by its complete emptiness and selflessness.
Another aspect of the state of Zen is loneliness. The underlying rhythm of thought rather than the thought itself, of the following lines from *In Utrunque Paratus*, expresses Matthew Arnold's feeling of this state:

The solemn peaks, but to the stars are known,
But to the stars, and the cold lunar beams;
Alone the sun arises, and alone
Spring the great streams.

At some time in our life we must come to know with Sue,
I am one of the eternal Virgins, serving the eternal fire,¹
and to feel with the arisen Christ,
How good it is to have fulfilled my mission and
to be beyond it.
Now I can be alone, and leave all things to them-
selves, and the fig-tree may be barren if it will,
and the rich may be rich. My way is my
own alone.²

This is the real loneliness, but needs to go one step further
beyond this

Noli me tangere,

into the realm of
And yet I am not alone, because the Father is
with me.

¹ St. Mawr.
² The Man who Died.
It may be well here to note the use of words in Zen, the way in which silence and speech are one thing. In all true Zen language and conversation, that is to say, whenever two minds are really in communion, any given word connotes its logical opposite as well. So if we say "selflessness," it means, in conjunction, "selffulness." "Loneliness" is also a state of interpenetration with all other things. Thus Bashō says, aspiring to be in this state:

うき我を淋しがらせよかんと鳥
Ah, kankodori,
Deepen thou
My loneliness.

The kankodori is a bird which lives among the mountains far from the haunts of men, so that its very shape and form are almost unknown. Its voice is somewhat like that of the wood-pigeon and is always heard in the distance. It is said to announce by its cry the approach of rain and of its coming cessation. In haiku the season is summer.

Sabishisa, loneliness, is the haiku equivalent of Mu in Zen, a state of absolute spiritual poverty in which, having nothing, we possess all. It is a state in which we

rejoice with them that do rejoice, and weep with them that weep,

rejoice with the joy of the murderer and weep with the relatives of the murdered man. It is not a state in which we pick and choose what we are to rejoice and weep with. It is not a state of Olympian indifference in which positive and negative feelings cancel out. Take the following well-known lines:
So the two brothers and their murdered man
Rode past fair Florence to where Arno’s stream
Gurgles through straiten’d banks......
......They passed the water,
Into a forest quiet for the slaughter.¹

All men are dead men, and I who write this. And in so far as we are one with God we not only acquiesce in this murdering, but are ourselves the murdering brothers of Isabella, and her murdered lover.

But there is a danger here, when we take examples from poetry or drama, that we may persuade ourselves that it is not the actual murder with which we sympathise but with the artistic elements of the whole. The following remarks of Stevenson, in A Gossip on Romance, give us a hint of how we are to look at things:

One and all. at least, and each with his particular fancy, we read story-books in childhood, not for eloquence or character or thought, but for some quality of brute incident. That quality was not mere bloodshed or wonder. Although each of these was welcome in its place, the charm for the sake of which we read depended on something different from either...... ......Crusoe recoiling from the footprint, Achilles shouting over against the Trojan. Ulysses bending the great bow, Christian running with his fingers in his ears, these are each culminating moments in the legend.

These “culminating moments” are broken points in the line of Mu; they are moments of “Loneliness,” of selflessness, of universal life in which nevertheless the individual is not

¹ Isabella, XXVI.
swamped, but still stands clear and distinct.

How is this state of loneliness to be attained? How is the ordinary state of solitary sadness, in which Bashō still found himself, うき我, to be changed into that in which we can also say, of all things and all persons, as Virgil says to Minos,

Non impedir lo suo fatale andare:
    vuolsi così colà dove si puote
    ciò che si vuole, e più non dimandare.

Impede not his fated going:
    Thus is it willed, where can be done
    What is willed; and ask no more.

Bashō tells us that for him it is the kankodori, its cooing voice in the distance, that can work this miracle of grace in his heart. Wordsworth says the same thing:

    Though babbling only to the Vale
    Of sunshine and of showers,
    Thou bringest unto me a tale
    Of visionary hours.

    Thrice welcome, darling of the Spring!
    Even yet thou art to me
    No bird, but an invisible thing,
    A voice, a mystery!

Nature says of Lucy,

    The floating clouds their state shall lend
    To her, for her the willow bend;
    Nor shall she fail to see
    Even in the motions of the Storm
    Grace that shall mould the Maiden's form
    By silent sympathy.
In his *Journal*, in 1840, Thoreau writes of himself and a raindrop:

> While these clouds and this drizzling weather shut all in, we two draw nearer and know one another.

The Chinese poetic expression of loneliness may be exemplified by the following poem of Hakurakuten:

**EVENING QUIET**

Early cicadas stop their trilling;
Points of light, new fireflies, pass to and fro.
The taper burns clear and smokeless;
Beads of bright dew hang on the bamboo mat.
Not yet will I enter the house to sleep,
But walk awhile beneath the eaves.
The rays of the moon slant into the low verandah;
The cool breeze fills the tall trees.
Letting loose the feelings, life flows on easily;
The scene entered deep into my heart.
What is the secret of this state?
To have nothing small in one’s mind.

Hakurakuten makes here the Wordsworthian mistake of saying too much. This is where the genius of haiku comes in, with its apparent poverty of form and material. Haiku are
lonely in their very appearance and lack of richness of tone and rhythm.

牛つんで渡る小舟や夕しぐれ

With a bull on board,
A small boat passes across the river
Through the evening rain.

Loneliness and poverty, the poverty of "Blessed are the poor in spirit," are almost synonyms. It is for this reason that Socrates is reported to have said, and exemplified in his life and death,

Those who want fewest things are nearest to the gods.

St. John of the Cross, d. 1591, in The Ascent of Mount Carmel, gives instructions as to how to mortify and calm the four natural passions of joy, hope, fear, and grief:

Strive always, not after that which is most easy, but after that which is most difficult. Not after that which is most pleasant, but after that which is most unpleasant. Not after that which giveth pleasure, but after that which giveth none. Not after that which is consoling, but after that which is afflicting. Not after that which ministers to repose, but after that which ministers to labour. Not after great things, but after little things. Not after that which is high and precious, but after that which is lower and despised. Strive not to desire anything, but rather nothing.
The loneliness of haiku is not that of the poet as a recluse, not that of desolate places and forgotten men, though it may be induced by them or be in resonance with them:

裂さくやいくさのあとの崩れ家
By a house collapsed,
A pear tree is blooming;
Here a battle was fought.

It is in the absence of things that never were:

菜の花や鯨もよらず海暮れぬ
Flowers of rape:
No whale approaches;
It darkens over the sea.

It is in the painful things that happen when we are happy, in the pleasant things that happen when we are sorrowful:

苦の婆娑や僕が咬けばさいたとて
A world of grief and pain:
Flowers bloom;
Even then....

It is above all in a nameless realm where the human and the non-human, love and law, meet and are one:

秋の暮灯ともさと人と問ひに来る
An autumn eve;
She comes and asks,
"Shall I light the lamp?"

Compare this to the case of Tokusan:
Tokusan was sitting outside doing Zazen. Ryutan asked him why he didn't go back home. Tokusan answered, “Because it's dark.” Ryutan then lit a candle, and handed it to him. When Tokusan was about to take it, Ryutan blew it out. Tokusan prostrated himself.

Etsujin's enlightenment is weak, diffused, temporary, in one part of the personality only, but it is still a perception of truth in its living form, non-abstract, wordless, inexpressible but unmistakable. It is an entering into Loneliness through the loneliness of evening, the loneliness of autumn. Let us provide an explanation of the haiku,—not that this will give the poetic experience to one who has not had it.

The poet sits looking out at the fast-dying day, the last of all days, that so quickly, so slowly is passing. The autumn evening darkens, and the poet's wife comes to ask him if she shall bring a light; she does not carry it with her, but only comes to ask. She bows, and as she raises her head and looks at him with her mild eyes, he thinks of the lamp with its feeble light in prospect. The faint, everyday kindness and tenderness of his wife, the irreversibility of the fall of day are seen in the flame that is not yet present, but must come. Also is warm and yet aloof, and in the light that enlightens mind, the poet perceives, as one thing, the inevitability of re, and the loving-kindness of man.

The common or garden loneliness that we all feel is nothing entirely different from the "loneliness" that we have been here illustrating. It may be a prelude to the it may be the cause; it may be the other, when the

-shan, 779-865.
トクサンと良圀
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感深に
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霊那身心

Tokusan and Ryutan  Sengai
energy of the religious and poetic life suffuses it.

And Jesus said unto him:

The foxes have holes, and the birds of the air have nests, but the Son of Man hath not where to lay his head.

此の道や行く人なしに秋の暮

Along this road

Goes no one,

This autumn eve.

Bashō
III
Grateful Acceptance

It is a statue of grateful acceptance of all that is inside us and outside us, our own shortcomings as well as those of others. This is the thought George Herbert is getting near, when he says that often he is

Not thankful, when it pleaseth me,
As if Thy blessings had spare days.

In everything we are to take cheerfully the inevitability of

First the blade, then the ear,
After that the full corn.

何吹ばひがしにたまる落葉哉
Blowing from the west,
Fallen leaves gather
In the east.

What Christ points to in the growth of the grasses of the field, and Buson in the fallen leaves of winter, Dante represents in the tones and cadences of the following passage:

‘Questo misero modo
Tengon l’anime triste di coloro
Che visser senza infamia e senza lodo.
Mischiate sono a quel cattivo coro
Degli angeli, che non furon ribelli
Nè fur fedeli a Dio, ma per sè foro.
Caccianli i Ciel per non esser men belli,
Nè lo profundo inferno gli riceve.
Che alcuna gloria i rei avrebber d’elli,’
Ed io: ‘Maestro, che è tanto greve
a lor, che lamentar gli fa si forte?’
Rispose: ‘Dicerolti molti breve.
Questi non hanno speranza di morte,
E la lor cieca vita è tanto bassa,
che invidiosi son d'ogni altra sorte.
Fama di loro il mondo esser non lassa,
misericordia e giustizia gli sdegnà;
non ragioniam di lor, ma guarda e passa.’
_Inferno_, III, 34-51.

In this passage we feel, quite apart from the morality, probability or truth of the facts reported, the inevitability of whatever happens. Religion, poetry have to do with the actual goings-on of the universe. False religion, which is nothing more than magic disguised, twists the past, present and future, builds them nearer to the heart's desire. False poetry does the same thing, though with less disastrous results. It also is a world of escape, a world of literature, but not of life. If this is so, it might seem that science can be our only salvation from unreality. This is true up to a point. It can indeed save us from what is unreal, but it cannot give us more than a mechanically correct universe in place of phantasy. It cannot tell us what life is, nor can it give it to us more abundantly. This is the function of poetry, but as in the passage from the _Inferno_ above-quoted, we have to look for poetry, that is, for reality, in the most unlikely places also, in the mere sounds of the lines, in the perverse denial of truth, and the impossible desires of human beings, in the tremendous castles of intellectual air that they have erected, in the lies and sophistries which are only inverted truths.

But at all extremes of thought and feeling there arises
the perception that the active acceptance of the inevitable is life, the life of perfection. In the following passages, from every race and time, in every mood and verbal incarnation, we feel the same cheerful attitude which alone marks the saint and the sage:

Das Notwendige verletzt mich nicht: amor fati ist meine innerste Natur.

The year draws to its close; 
But I am still wearing 
My kasa and straw sandals. Bashō

He whose mind is fixed upon true being, has no time to look down upon the little affairs of men, or to be filled with jealousy and enmity in the struggle against them; his eye is ever directed towards fixed and immutable principles, which he sees neither injuring nor injured by one another, but all in order moving according to reason: these imitates, and to these he would, so far as he can, conform himself.

The grasses of the garden,— 
They fall, 
And lie as they fall. Ryōkan

To bear all naked truths, 
And to envisage circumstance, all calm: 
That is the top of sovereignty.

1 Nietzsche, Ecce Homo.
2 Plato, Republic, 500.
3 Keats, Hyperion II, 203.
A free man thinks of nothing less than of death; and his wisdom is a meditation not on death but on life.¹

He sees things under a certain species of eternity.²

Whether he comes into his own now, or in a thousand years, he sits content.³

Even so, even so,
Submission before Yonder—
The end of the year. Issa

Speaking of the necessity of death:

"Well, gov’ner, we must all come to it, one day or another."
"So we must Sammy," said Mr. Weller the elder. "There’s a providence in it all," said Sam. "O’course there is," replied his father, with a nod of grave approval. "Wot’ud become of the undertakers without it, Sammy?"

Lost in the immense field of conjecture opened by this reflection, the elder Mr. Weller laid his pipe on the table and stirred the fire with a meditative visage.⁴

When Rōtan⁵ died, Shinshitsu came to offer his condolences. He (simply) raised his voice in lamentation three times and went away. A disciple asked, "Were you not a friend of his?" "I was!" "Then was it right for you to offer condolences in that way?" "Formerly I took him to

² *Ib. II*, 41.
³ Whitman.
⁴ *Pickwick Papers*.
⁵ Literally, "Old Lobeless Ears", that is, Rōshi.
be a Man; now (I realise) he was not. I went in and offered my condolences. Old men were weeping as though for their own children, young people were lamenting as if for their own mother. The reason for this must have been that he uttered uncalled-for words, wept uncalled-for tears. This was fleeing from Heaven, multiplying emotions, forgetting whence he had received (his nature). The ancients called this, "the punishment of not being in accordance with Heaven." It was the right time for the Master to come; it was the right time when he went:"

老聃死，弟子吊之，三號而出。弟子曰，非夫子之友邪。曰然。然則弔恐若此可乎。曰然。始也吾以知其人也，而今非也。向吾入而弔焉。有老者哭之。如哭其子。少者哭之，如哭其母。彼其所以會之。必有不言而誠。不言而哭者，是遻天情，忘其所受。古者謂之遻天之刑。適來夫子時也。適去來夫子順也。

Underneath are the everlasting arms.\(^3\)

His detachedness and his acceptance of something in destiny which people cannot accept. Right in the middle of him he accepted something from destiny which gave him the quality of eternity.\(^3\)

......such as, that a red hot poker will burn you if you hold it too long, and that if you cut your finger very deeply with a knife, it usually bleeds.\(^4\)

---

1 Sôshi.
2 Deut. 33, 27.
3 St. Maur.
4 Alice in Wonderland.
The heavy wagon
Rumbles by:
The peonies quiver.

Wilt thou have me govern, or live privately, or stay at home, or go into exile, or be a poor man or a rich? For all these conditions will I be Thy advocate with men—I will show the nature of each of them, what it is.¹

When Chi-tzu of Godasan became enlightened, he expressed his understanding of Zen by saying, "Nuns are naturally women."

It may be that what Father says is true; If things are so, it does not matter why.²

One of the many lessons that one learns in prison is, that things are what they are, and will be what they will be.³

¹ Epictetus.
² Charlotte Mew, The Quiet House.
³ De Profundis.
HAiku

Summer lightning!
Yesterday in the East,
Today in the West.

Kakaku

A man is on duty here; he knows not how or why, and does not need to know; he knows not for what he is here, and must not ask.1

It loved to happen. (ϕιλεῖ τουτῷ τινὲς θαλει.)

Zen, like haiku, is an attitude of mind. Though expressed negatively, we may say, "Never refuse to give anything. Never refuse to receive anything." Whatever it is, take it, "for it is all God offers." It is this manner of doing things, or not doing things, in which the poetical, the religious life comes to be lived. Eckhart therefore says:

Gott sieht nicht an, was du für Werke tust, sondern nur, welche Liebe, welche Andacht, und welche Gemut du bei deinen Werken hast.

Once, when Ikkyū went to Sumiyoshi (which means "Good-to-live-in") and saw a funeral there, he said,

来てみればこそも火宅の宿なるを
なれ住吉と人のいぶらん

When we come and see,
Here also
Is the burning house,—
Why do people say
"Good-to-live-in"?

But to this an old man replied,

1 Stevenson.
2 Marcus Aurelius, x, 21.
ZEN

Get rid of the mind that thinks
This is good, that is bad;
Simply live
Without such thoughts,
And it is Good-to-live-in.

This feeling of thankfulness is a rare thing in the world. There is no greater difference between men than between grateful and ungrateful people. Johnson says in his Tour to the Hebrides.

Gratitude is a fruit of great cultivation; you do not find it among gross people.

When this feeling of gratitude is applied to things, this is poetry; when it is applied to all things as a whole, it is called religion, but haiku and Zen are different from both in this respect, that they deal with every thing as all things.

When one thing is taken up in the mind, all things are to be present there. The feeling that is attendant upon such a state of mind is gratitude. In the expression of it, we speak as though we and the universe were two distinct things:

The world which was ere I was born,
The world which lasts when I am dead,
Which never was the friend of one,
Nor promised love it could not give,
But lit for all its generous sun,
And lived itself and made us live.¹

But this word "generous" expresses a warm feeling of unity in which the giving and receiving are one. The sun which

¹ Arnold, A Wish.
HAIKU

shines without us lives within us; our warmth of feeling is not something different from the heat of the sun. And so quite rightly we can command the sun to shine and the flowers to bloom. We not only gratefully accept but gently order those things to happen which must happen. When Michizane stood in his garden for the last time before his exile to Kyushu, in 901, he said:

東風吹かばにほひおこせよ梅の花
あるじなしとて春を忘るな

When the wind blows from the east,
Send out your perfume
O plum flowers;
Though the master be not there,
Forget not the spring.

This lyrical feeling towards nature, Issa converts into a closer and more everyday experience:

山水に米をつかせて晩寝かな
I take a nap,
Making the mountain water
Pound the rice.

We get the reverse action in the following, also by Issa:

扇にて尺をとらせる牡丹かな
The peony
Made me measure it
With my fan.

We see then that this grateful acceptance may and must rise from a merely passive to an active cooperation with something that is in reality not different from our own essential nature. There are indeed four attitudes to the world,
(depending on our attitude to our own being): opposition, resignation, cooperation, and domination. We are continually moving among them. Zen is the last. It is the spirit in which Wordsworth says to the already singing birds and frisking lambs of spring-time,

Then sing, ye Birds, sing, sing a joyous song!
And let the young lambs bound
As to the tabor's sound!
IV
Wordlessness

It is essentially a wordless state, in which words are used, not to express anything, but rather to clear away something that seems to stand between us and the real things which (in being not in fact separate from ourselves) are then perceived by self-knowledge.

There was an old man who supposed,
The street door was partially closed,
   But some very large rats
   Ate his coat and his hats,
   While the futile old gentleman dozed.¹

This is a time

   When the light of sense
   Goes out, but with a flash that has revealed
   The invisible world.²

Another example from Lear:

Ploffskin, Pluffskin, Pelican jee!
We think no birds so happy as we!
Plumpskin, Ploshkin, Pelican jill!
We think so then, and we thought so still!

This illustrates what Thoreau says at the end of Walden:

The volatile truth of our words should continually betray the inadequacy of the residual statement. Their truth is instantly translated; its literal monument alone remains.

¹ Lear.
² Prelude VI, 610.
Eckhart says,

Gott hat keinen Namen... In ihrer Namenlosigkeit sind Gott und Seele eins.

Christ, in trying to find a name for what is essentially nameless, calls himself a gate, a king, a vine, a shepherd, a thief in the night. This danger of mistaking words for things is coupled with that of being killed by the words of life themselves:

Woe is me,
The winged words on which my soul would pierce
Into the heights of Love's rare universe,
Are chains of lead around its flight of fire.¹

Lawrence expresses the same thought with a different metaphor:

A world dark and still, where language never ruffled the growing leaves, and seared their edges like a bad wind.²

Christ regrets his preaching and teaching:

What a pity I preached to them. A sermon is so much more likely to cake into mud, and close the fountains, than a psalm or song.³

It is asserted by two of the most eloquent men of genius that the world has ever produced, that the truth is inexpressible:

If the abysm
Could vomit forth its secrets... but a voice
Is wanting, the deep truth is imageless.

(Said by Demogorgon in answer to Asia asking about the origin of evil.)

¹ Epipsychidion.
² The Man Who Died.
The Great Way does not express itself;
Perfect Eloquence does not speak.¹

夫大道不稱，
大辯不言。

If this be so, how is it possible for us to convey to one another the fact of our perception of the same truth? In his essay on Wordsworth, Matthew Arnold says,

Poetry is nothing less than the most perfect speech of man.

What kind of speech is this?

They spoke no word.
The visitor, the host,
And the white chrysanthemum.

Ryōta

Yet it may be words just as much as silence:

This evening,...the happiness,
While I washed my feet,...
Those two or three words. Kaito

Haiku take away as many words as possible between the thing itself and the reader. English poetry too often uses words as the vice-gerents of God. This is dangerous, and the words may become the fetters of the spirit. When a haiku fails, we are left with the bare object devoid of significance, because of insufficiently powerful selection and rejection. When an English poem fails, we are left with mere words, nonsense syllables. Some haiku, for all their brevity, are too long:

¹ Sōshi.
Plate 15

The Charcoal Basket
Ryôta
How pitiful!
Among the insects,
A solitary nun.

The first line is not only redundant, the pathos of the scene disappears when we mention it, when we think of it. The chirping of the insects in the autumn field, and the nun standing there alone, this is enough, and anything more is too much. But mere brevity is not poetry. This is especially true when intellectual elements are omitted. For example,

There are hamlets
That know not sea-bream or flowers,
But all have today’s moon.

This, by Saikaku, is literally “Sea-bream, flowers, not-seeing villages there are also, today’s moon.” This is not poetry because the intellectual elements are not subdued into the poetic attitude. There is a hiatus, the words come between us and the object. We can say of the good haiku what Alcott says of the good teacher, and what people should bear in mind in their imitation of Christ,

The true teacher defends his pupils against his own personal influence. He inspires self-distrust. He guides their eyes from himself to the spirit that quickens him. He will have no disciples.

Certain poets, certain kinds of poetry have a browbeating effect upon us, and this is an illustration of the power words exert. We must never allow them to be anything but tools and servants. Humpty Dumpty says,
“There’s glory for you!”
“I don’t know what you mean by glory,” Alice said. Humpty Dumpty smiled contemptuously. “Of course you don’t, till I tell you. I meant there’s a nice knock-down argument for you.”
“But glory doesn’t mean a nice knock-down argument,” Alice objected. “When I use a word,” Humpty Dumpty said in rather a scornful tone, “it means just what I choose it to mean... neither more nor less.”
“The question is,” said Alice, “whether you can make words mean so many different things.”
“The question is,” said Humpty Dumpty, “which is to be Master... that’s all”.¹

An illustration of this may be taken from *Dombey and Son*. Dickens shows how the word “considering” is used to reveal a whole world of the mind, a soul-state:

“‘I am pretty well, considering’”. Mrs Pipchin always used that form of words. It meant considering her virtues, sacrifices and so forth.

We must use the strongest language, and say that nothing is more dangerous, more octopus-like and insidious than words. A man says, “The proper place for a dog is outside the house!” and I hate him for it, not realizing that he and I are talking about two entirely different things with the same name. Or to put it more exactly, what he is looking at and what I am looking at are not the same thing at all. What he dislikes, I would also, if I were looking at it. What I love, he would too, could he see it. But it is the crude and vague word “dog” that misleads us, makes us misunderstand and

¹ *Alice in the Looking-Glass*, Chap. VI.
feel antipathetic to each other. If the word “dog”, apparently so clear and concrete, is thus ambiguous and unmanageable, how much more so are such words as God, liberty, mankind, music. It may be said that increase of wisdom means a freeing of oneself from the chains with which we are increasingly bound as our vocabulary enlarges.

Shades of the prison-house begin to close
Upon the growing boy.

There are times when words lose their own power and serve us in humility and truth, when our thoughts peacefully arrange themselves in conformity with the order of things. Yet it still remains true that the squeaking of the nib I write with it has more meaning and less error in it than anything I can write down. What after all do all those years of Buddha’s teaching amount to? As Dōgen says,

山の色、谷のひどきもみなながら
我が釋迦牟尼の聲と姿と

The colours of the mountains,
The echoes of the valleys,...

All, all are
The form and voice
Of Shakamuni.
Zen is non-intellectual.

Philosophy will clip an angel’s wings, says Keats, and Eckhart gives the reason for this:

Der Mensch soll sich nicht mit einem gedachten Gott begnügen; wenn der Gedanke vergeht, so vergeht auch der Gott.

What a man knows, and the only thing he knows, is God. In so far as he knows God, he is God, since all knowledge is self-knowledge. This is what we mean when we say that all men have the Buddha-nature. What we think about things is quite different from what we grasp as the thing itself. Again Eckhart says:

Alles was man von Gott erdenken kann ist all zusammen nicht Gott.

Thought, like passion, deepens intuition, but can in no way substitute for it. Through this comes the inexplicability of life, of poetry.

From this fact of the non-intellectuality of Zen and haiku, we can see a deep meaning in the proverb

Comparisons are odious,

and this explains the failure, as poetry, of such haiku as the following:

名月や草木に劣る人の影

The bright autumn moon:
The shadows of tree and grass,—
And those of men!    Baishitsu
Notice further that, naturally enough, the moonlight has no (poetical) connection whatever with the shadows that are being contrasted with one another. There is the same mistake in another verse by the same author:

さではあの月が鳴いたか時鳥
Why, was it the moon
That cried?
A cuckoo!

There was the intuition of identity, the first thought, 第一念, that allowed itself to be overridden by the second. When we use the intellect alone, we arrive nowhere. As Alice says,

"I'm sure I'm not Ada, for her hair goes in long ringlets, and mine doesn't go in ringlets at all, and I'm sure I can't be Mabel, for I know all sorts of things, and she, oh! she knows such a very little! Besides, she's she and I'm I and—oh dear, how puzzling it all is!"

Poetry has as its (unconscious) philosophic basis, the fact that all things are changing, unfixed, unfixable, contradictory, that a mountain is not a mountain, and yet at the same time it is a mountain.

He holds the handle of the hoe, but his hands are empty;
He rides astride the water-buffalo, but he is walking.

Hence poetry and science, religion and science are truly antipathetic. Science objectifies, abstracts and generalises.
Poetry identifies, lives in and through the thing, ultimately particularises. As part of this paradox, the poet unites himself with the object, which like Baalam’s ass, speaks with the voice of a man.

Further, there is the question of whole and part. The intellect can understand any part of a thing as a part, but not as a whole. *It can understand anything which God is not.* The divinity of a thing is manifested in its wholeness. So since love is the personality as a whole, we love God and he loves us; we know a thing, and the thing knows us; we know each other as wholes. Any partial understanding, the understanding of part of a thing, is evil, though not always what we call specifically moral evil. So the scientific knowledge of a thing is, in its divorce from the suchness, the wholeness of that thing, potentially bad, and bad in actuality when the thing is used without regard to its suchness, but scientifically, partially, intellectually. Eckhart says,

Gott willi wohl dass die Seele auch das wahrnehme, was Gott selbst nicht ist. Er will aber nicht dass sie etwas liebhabe ausser ihn, denn er hat sie zur Einung mit sich geschaffen.

And here, in connection with the question of the misuse of the intellect, we may sound a note of warning. The intellect not only complicates but generalises. *Beware of oversimplification.* If we cannot keep our balance, as life does, between variety and unity, let us choose, if we must, variety, as being the less dangerous, the less intellectually tempting. If we try to force all poetry into some one theory (which we may call Zen, but is not) we shall find ourselves servants, not masters of the intellect; we shall be twisting meanings,
trying to twist life, and be twisted by it. Poetry can make use not only of Zen, but of the absence of it:

田を賣りていと春晩の蛙哉
I sold the field,
And all the more could not sleep,
For the frogs.    Hokushi

We do the will of God even in disobeying Him. Enlightenment and delusion are not two different things. The ordinary man is Buddha. Let us take poetry in the same way that we must take life, as it comes, regardless of theories and prepared explanations. The unity will be there, never doubt it, but should we try to force it, our dead interpretations will be condemned by the life of the poetry itself. This is the point of the opening paragraphs of Pater's essay on *Style*, and of

Judge not, that ye be not judged.

And just as poetry cannot be explained, but only repeated, religion, that is, life in perfect accord with reality, cannot be verbally interpreted:

逆順縱横時、佛亦不能辨。    (禪林句集)
When moving in all directions,
Even the Buddha cannot discourse upon it.

The Psalmist said,

The Lord is my Shepherd: I shall not want.

Whenever we read this we know, deep down in our hearts, that it is true. But when we think about it, above all, when parsons preach upon it, we know, intellectually speaking, that it is false. Religiously speaking, it is self-evident. But what
we know by intuition is far more subtle than any explanation we can give of it. St. Augustine says,

Si nemo me quaerat, si quaerenti explicari velim nescio.¹

The words of David do not refer to material things, since we may and do lack food and warmth and are deprived of even the bare necessities of life. Does it then refer only to spiritual matters, to the love of God in vacuo, or to some other such abstraction? This can hardly be so, for what sort of shepherd is it that loves his sheep but will not give them grass, or protection from the elements? What kind of protector is it that showers down on us indiscriminately, plague, earthquake, whirlwinds and all the forms of sudden death by land and sea, not to speak of madness and lingering death in life?

The truth, the deep and painful, the almost unbearable truth that we intuitively realize when we read the words of the Psalm, is that we want nothing. All the joys and sorrows, the triumphs and agonies of mankind are ours. They are our heritage as man, as sons of God, as Buddha!

Joy and woe are woven fine,
A clothing for the soul divine.

To desire happiness only is the cardinal error of man. To accept all

With plain, heroic magnitude of mind,²

is the Way. And as for explanations of it all,

¹ Confessions, XI, 14.
² Samson Agonistes.
If you know the meaning within the lute,  
Why trouble about the sound of the string?

The following is an example of an "explanation." Gaunt says:

All places that the eye of heaven visits  
Are to a wise man ports and happy havens.  
Teach thy necessity to reason thus;  
There is no virtue like necessity.  
Think not the king did banish thee,  
But thou the king: Woe doth the heavier sit  
When it perceives it is but faintly borne.  
Go, say I sent thee forth to purchase honour,  
And not the king exiled thee. Or suppose  
Devouring pestilence hangs in our air,  
And thou art flying to a fresher clime.  
Look! what thy soul holds dear, imagine it  
To lie that way thou go'st, not whence thou com'st.  
Suppose the singing birds musicians,  
The grass whereon thou tread' st the presence strew'd;  
The flowers fair ladies, and thy steps no more  
Than a delightful measure or a dance;  
For gnarling sorrow has less power to bite  
The man that mocks at it, and sets it light.¹

With the exception of the first two lines, which have some Zen in them, this is all true enough,—yet false. The most momentary experience of the goodness of evil, the indifference of circumstances, the willing of destiny, is worth all the philoso-

¹ King Richard II, 1, 3.
phizing in the world. The mistake is visible in the words: reason thus—, think not—, suppose that—, say—, imagine—. Again, Gaunt goes on too long. In the teaching of Zen, as with the sermons of Christ, the brevity is part of their power;

The water a cow drinks turns to milk;
The water a serpent drinks turns to poison.

Blessed are the pure in heart,
For they shall see God.

These, like Gaunt's
There is no virtue like necessity,
convince, without attempting to persuade. Bolingbroke answers Gaunt in the following lines:

O, who can hold a fire in his hand
By thinking on the frosty Caucasus?
Or cloy the hungry edge of appetite
By bare imagination of a feast?
Or wallow naked in December snow
By thinking on fantastic summer's heat?
O! no, the apprehension of the good
Gives but the greater feeling to the worse.
Fell sorrow doth never rankle more
Than when it bites, but lanceth not the sore.

There is perhaps a limit then, to the scope of

Nothing is, but thinking makes it so.

No amount of thinking, that is, faith and belief of the profoundest kind, will make a sharp knife blunt or a dead man alive. Faith cannot remove mountains. But it can keep the mountains in their place, (so long as they stay there,) and
as they move, it moves them. This following of events, not leading them, is instantaneous; is in the will. It does not make painful things pleasant or vice versa:

長者長法身, 短者短法身。 （禪林句集）
A long thing is the long body of Buddha;
A short thing is the short body of Buddha.

When the mind is quiet, it can accept such statements and be satisfied with them, but once we elaborate them, the mind, the intellectual part of the mind, is aroused to work by itself. In the Essay on Man, Pope says,

All nature is but art, unknown to thee;
All chance, direction which thou canst not see;
All discord, harmony not understood;
All partial evil, universal good;
And spite of pride, in erring reason's spite,
One truth is clear, whatever is, is right.

This is all true enough, but dead, cold truth that has not life enough to enter into the heart. When Shelley repeats this we feel a great difference:

The One Spirit’s plastic stress
Sweeps through the dull dense world; compelling there
All new successions to the forms they wear;
Torturing th’unwilling dross that checks its flight
To its own likeness, as each mass may bear;
And bursting in its beauty and its might
From trees and beasts and men into the Heaven’s light.

In these words the truth slides unresistingly into our minds, because that truth is sublimed into the form which

1 Adonais, 43.
can be instantly received by our deepest instinct. The problem for haiku, both in composition and in appreciation, is the same as for life itself: how to retain and assimilate the intellectual elements that distinguish the upper from the lower animals, into the instinctive life common to all. Sometimes, indeed, we are able to express what we mean better by our silence than in any other way:

New Year’s Day:
What I feel, has been too much
For the words.

Daiō

The incohate, chaotic mass of thought-emotion, which is the fount of our existence, sometimes emerges, crystallized into words. In being expressed, it loses something of its primitive vitality. If only we can express by not expressing, we can have our cake and eat it too, and this is what the poet has tried to do in the above verse. Nevertheless, merely to say a thing is inexpressible is not to express it. But our feelings on New Year’s Day are peculiarly difficult to put into words, though so insistent. Look at the following advice of an old Cambridge professor to a young student, who told him of some difficulties and doubts that tortured him:

“Difficulties! Doubts!” echoed the old gentleman. “Take a couple of glasses of port. If that don’t dispel them, take two more, and continue the dose till you have found ease of mind.”


This “ease of mind” is what Spinoza calls man’s “eternity” as distinct from his immortality in time, which Spinoza denies:
If we pay attention to the common opinion of men, we shall see that they are conscious of the eternity of their mind; but they confuse eternity with duration, and attribute it to imagination or memory, which they believe will remain after death.

_Ethics, V, 34, note._

In other words, when men think, when they use their intellects, they suppose that the eternity they feel at certain moments is a promise, a foretaste of their immortality in time. This is why St. John of the Cross says, in _The Dark Night of the Soul_,

> If a man wishes to be sure of the road he treads on, he must close his eyes and walk in the dark.

Bashô says the same thing in a less poetic way:

> 稲妻にさとらぬ人の貴さよ
How admirable,
> He who thinks not, “Life is fleeting,”
> When he sees the lightning!
VI
Contradiction

Zen is often conveyed by some intellectual contradiction, explicit or implicit, expressed in the form of paradox or dilemma, that is somehow resolved by a living experience. In the Bible and elsewhere, these contradictions are applied to the great problems of human life.

Is there a God?
He that cometh to God must believe that he is.¹

What is man?
God said, Let us make man in our own image, after our likeness.²
As for man, his days are as grass.³

Who am I?
Lear, speaking of himself, says,
His body is perfectly spherical,
He weareth a runicible hat.

Have we free will, or is everything predetermined?
Thou shalt love.
The perfect law, the law of liberty.⁴

How can we attain eternal life?
To preserve life, it must be destroyed;
When it is completely destroyed, for the first time there is rest.

誕生須是殺、殺盡始居安。 (禪林句集)

¹ Heb. 26.
² Gen. 1, 6.
³ Ps. 104, 15.
⁴ Jas. 1, 46.
The Enlightenment of Enô
Shuai Weng
What is the nature of God?
It is like a tiger, but with many horns;
Just like a cow, but it has no tail.

How can we have faith?
Lord, I believe; help thou mine unbelief.¹

How can we become enlightened?
'Tis heaven alone that is given away,
'Tis only God may be had for the asking.²

What is the most important thing we possess?
Nothing is so precious that we cannot afford to throw it away.³

How can we be saved?
And he asked himself a last question; from what and to what, could this infinite whirl be saved?⁴

What shall a man do now, and what shall be his recompense hereafter?
His reward is with him, and his work before him.⁵

How shall we avoid suffering?
Bear ye one another's burdens, and so fulfil the law of Christ.⁶
For each man shall bear his own burden.⁷

What is the relation of God to the Universe?
Wer die ganze Welt mit Gott nähme, der hätte nicht mehr, als wenn er Gott allein hätte.⁸

¹ Mk. 9, 24.
² Lowell.
³ Jacks, Religious Perplexities.
⁴ The Man Who Died.
⁵ Is. 40, 0.
⁶ Gal. 6, 2.
⁷ Gal. 6, 5.
⁸ Eckhart.
What should our relation be with our fellow man?
        Let the dead bury their dead.¹
        Love thy neighbour as thyself.²

Blake’s *The Clod and the Pebble* tells the same story; it is the theme of Keats’ *Song of Opposites*, but comes out most clearly in the following famous dilemma:

The executioner’s argument was that you couldn’t cut off a head unless there was a body to cut it off from...... The King’s argument was, that anything that had a head could be beheaded, and that you weren’t to talk nonsense.

The Queen’s argument was, that if something wasn’t done about it in less than no time, she’d have everybody executed all round. (It was this last remark that made the whole party look so grave and anxious.)³

What must a man believe?

The man asked him, ‘Why do you carry a cock?’ “I am a healer,” he said, “and the bird hath virtue.” “You are not a believer?” “Yea! I believe the bird is full of life and virtue.”⁴

Paradox and contradiction are only so to the intellect, to the mature, civilized man. The child, the savage, the poet, the visionary, take these in their stride. And therefore it is not strange but characteristic of poetry that we feel no call to “understand” it, to explain it. There is nothing special about it, nothing mysterious, nothing separate from ourself,

¹ *Matt. 8, 22.*
² *Matt. 5, 43.*
³ *Alice in Wonderland.*
⁴ *The Man Who Died.*
nothing separable in our experience. Rinzai was asked what the esoteric teaching of Daruma was. He replied,

若有意，自救不了。
“If there is any meaning in it, I myself am not saved.”

And when the interlocutor grumbled,

既無意云何二祖得法。
“If it was meaningless, how was it the 2nd Patriarch (Eka) received the law?”

Rinzai could only bawl,

得者是不得。
“This receiving is a non-receiving.”

If we aim at consistency, which Emerson calls “the hobgoblin of little minds”, all the life and virtue in us will dry up. At one New Year, Issa said:

めでたさも中位なりおらが春
A Time of Congratulation;
About average for me,—
This is my spring.

This has the spirit of Matthew Arnold's lines. Issa felt himself, like the solemn hills, the mute turf, the stream, the lonely sky,

to bear rather than rejoice.

But on another occasion Issa says quite the contrary:

我が春も上上吉ぞ梅の花
Plum blossoms:
My spring
Is an ecstasy.
This is Browning's

God's in his Heaven;
All's right with the world!

The truth is not between the two, or an alternation of them; the truth is the very contradiction itself. Thus Coleridge, in speaking of the poet, tells us that he brings all the contradictory faculties of mankind into subjection by the imagination, and this power is shown

in the balance or reconciliation of opposite or discordant qualities; of sameness, with difference; of the general, with the concrete; the idea, with the image; the individual, with the representative; the sense of novelty and freshness, with old and familiar objects; a more than usual state of emotion with more than usual order.¹

This is indeed eminently true of haiku, and has its counterpart in the Incarnation, where, however, the immanence of the "all-men-god" and "all-things-god" of Mahayana philosophy is restricted to the transcendence of the "god-man" conception of Christian theology.

It is true greatness to have in one, the frailty of a man and the security of a god.²

For the poet, his frailty belongs to the breaking and jolting of things, the destruction of art and culture, the paradoxes of morality, the necessity of suffering and death; his security is in the free-flowing of life itself within all those phenomena.

There is a beautiful paradox hidden in a well-known

¹ Biographia Litteraria, XIV.
² Quoted from Seneca by Bacon in Of Adversity.
passage from Traherne's *Centuries of Meditation*, a passage that is a poetical elaboration of Buddha's

Above the heavens, and below them, I only am the Honoured One,

uttered by him when he was born.

You never enjoy the world aright, till the Sea itself floweth in your veins, till you are clothed with the heavens, and crowned with the stars, and perceive *yourself to be the sole heir of the whole world*, and more than so, because *men are in it who are every one sole heirs as well as you*.

Paradox is the life of haiku, for in each verse some particular thing is seen, and at the same time, without loss of its individuality and separateness, its distinctive difference from all other things, it is seen as a no-thing, as all things, as an all-thing. Coleridge, in his definition of poetry adumbrates this paradoxical state when he says that a poem proposes to itself

such delight from the whole as is compatible with a distinct gratification from each component part.

Just as one part of a long poem is to be enjoyed while the whole is held in the mind, so a haiku is to be read with the object clearly before the eye, while the season, the world in one of its four aspects, is occupying the whole of the mind. This is because every object, every flower, every creature is in itself all that is, while at the same time it is itself and nothing else.
The power of the imagination is the power of our Buddha nature, our profoundest instinct, a state of what the Indian mystics call *samadhi*. It is this condition of activity which Enô describes in the *Rokusôdangyô*:

勤静無心，凡聖情忘，能所俱泯，性相如
如無不定時也。  (第 七)

In activity as in quiescence, to let your mind abide nowhere, to forget the difference between sage and fool, not to discriminate between subject and object, to see the essence and the form as one,—this to be ever in *samadhi*.

How different they sound, Thoreau's words:

*Sometimes, as I drift idly on Walden Pond*
*I cease to live and begin to be.*

Yet this is also *samadhi*.

Here are some couplets by Angelus Silesius, (Johann Scheffler), 1624-1677. He became a Catholic in 1663, and composed both religious lyrics of sentiment and nature, and verses in which he expresses with the utmost boldness the philosophic intuitions of Eckhart. In them the paradoxical element is so strong, or rather, so obvious, that the poetical feeling suffers. In other words, the discord is over-emphasized at the expense of the harmony, yet it is a deep spiritual pleasure sometimes to hear these clashes of powerful intellectual counterpoint.

Bist du demütiglich wie eine Jungfrau rein,
So wird Gott bald dein Kind, du seine Mutter sein.
Mensch, werde Gott verwandt aus Wasser, Blut und Geist, Auf dass du Gott in Gott aus Gott durch Gott selbst!

O Wesen, dem nichts gleich! Gott ist ganz ausser mir, Und inner mir auch ganz, ganz dort und ganz auch hier!
Humour is an indispensable element of poetry and religion that has been so often laid aside and forgotten, with disastrous results. (The Roman Catholic religion is an honourable exception to the rule that Christianity is humourless in all its creeds, and general attitude). It was not a mere accident that haiku grew out of the sportiveness of poetasters, and became serious, became literature in the hand of Bashō. The lightness, directness, lack of sentimentality (the deadly enemy of all true laughter), the central paradox that lies somewhere concealed in every haiku comes, not from, but through, such early verses as the following:

まん丸に出づれど長き春日かな 宗頼
Emerging a perfect sphere,
And yet how long it is,—
The spring day.¹

Sōkan (1458-1546)

月に柄をさしたらぼやき囲扇かな 宗頼
A handle
On the moon,—
And what a splendid fan! Sōkan

歌軍文武二道の蛙かな 貞堂
The frog
Has both arts,—
Of song and of battle.
Teishitsu (1609-1673)

¹ There is is a pun here on 日 = "sun," and "day."
Even the storm of breath
Is white,
This winter morn. Shō-i (17th Cy.)

The women planting the rice,—
Everything about them dirty,
Except their song.
Raizan (1653-1716)

Haiku betrays its origin in one of its subjects, a strange and undignified one for literature, the Loves of the Cats.

Having slept, the cat gets up,
And with great yawns,
Goes out love-making. Issa

Loves of the cat;
Forgetful even of the rice
Sticking on his whiskers. Taigi

How awful!
They have broken the stone wall,—
Cats in love! Shiki

Lear says:
There was an old man who said “Hush!
I perceive a young bird in this bush!”
When they said, “Is it small?” he replied, “Not at all;
It is four times as big as the bush!”
This states the general ununderstandability of things, due to their being apparently spacial in essence, but not really so; but when we state it in words, no one, not even the writer, knows what he is talking about. When we read Lear's verse, we know something immediately, something that cannot be put into words of sense and logical validity, but that requires some quite other expression.

In the following verse of Lear, the cow is the universe, whose heart we try to soften in so many different ways.

There was an old man who said, “How Shall I flee from this horrible cow? I will sit on this stile, And continue to smile, Which may soften the heart of the cow.”

Senryu originated in the eighteenth century with Karai Hachiemon, 1718-1790, whose pen-name was Senryû. They are more cynical and less refined than haiku, but what is more important, they lack the element of interpenetration which is the religious aspect of all haiku. There are lines of Lamb's *The Housekeeper* which may be written out as a senryû. The subject is the snail:

Where so'er he roam,
Knock when you will,
He's sure to be at home.

The following are rather above the average in poetic value, especially the first. It is after all, to some extent a personal matter. If you emphasize the humour, it is a senryû; if you look more at the poetry it is a haiku:

道間へば一度にうごく田植笠
Asking the way,  
All the bamboo hats  
Move together.

The fan-seller  
Pulled one out,  
Showing how to fan oneself.

Imitating thunder,  
At last managing  
To get his vest on.

It was once thought that if anybody was naked, the thunder would carry off his navel. A mother uses this saying to get her wilful child to put on his undershirt, making a noise imitating thunder.

Taking out the gelidium jelly,  
Is like picking up  
Nothing.

This jelly is transparent, and usually kept in water, and when we try to take it out, it looks as if we were picking up something invisible, non-existent.

The humour of haiku and Zen is far more fundamental than this rather obvious kind. It goes down to something deeper than the unconscious where repressions wait with ill-concealed impatience. It goes beyond this into the realm where a thing is and is not at the same time, and yet at the very same time is. Let us take an example, a difficult one:
Shake, oh grave!
The autumn wind
Is the voice of my wailing

This verse was composed upon the death of Isshô, a contemporary poet. We may think about it like this. Our faith moves mountains. Our love moves the sun and the other stars. With our violent grief nature itself is compassionate, and the tomb trembles in the autumn blast that is one with our sighing.

The wind may blow, and we may weep in extreme anguish, but the grave will not move. Our faith does not move a mole-hill, let alone a mountain. The sun shines upon the just and upon the unjust. This contradiction, like that given before, .

The Lord is my shepherd:
I shall not want;

with the actual facts of human life, owes its power to the truth, and the very untruth, that it exposes. To put it another way, every truth has in it a kind of untruth that draws us by its very absurdity, the incongruousness of the fact in its self-contradictory nature. We know in our bones that there is something odd, something queer about everything, and when this contradictoriness has a deep, religious, poetical quality, when the whole thing stands revealed and we see right through it to this side, we weep with uncontrollable joy, or laugh with irrepressible grief.

All real laughter, all laughter from the belly, is to some extent a realization of truth, truth that the normal mind with
its diffused consciousness and prominent intellectuality can not only never attain, but can and does continually avoid or obscure. The strange thing is that this world which we wish to be free from, is yet the one that we really desire to live in, somehow or other. And when you come to think of it, is not the strength of the paroxism of delight (we even speak of a man “dying of laughter”) that we have in jokes and wit, an evidence that we are momentarily enlightened, Buddhas, raised above morality and religion, beyond life and death, into a timeless, spaceless realm that overflows with perpetual happiness, which is nevertheless this world of hopes and fears, remorse and apprehension?

All the varieties of humour may be paralleled by Zen experiences and by haiku. Here follow some examples that the reader is to make out for himself:

1. The laughter of disillusionment.

When Rinzai became enlightened at the hands of Obaku, he said,

“There isn’t much in this Buddhism of Obaku’s.”

黄葉佛法無多子。

If 見れば頭筋赤きほたるかな 芭蕉

By daylight,
The nape of the neck of the firefly
Is red. Bashô

2. The laughter of studied idiocy.

Bashô said to the assembled monks, “If you have a stick, I will give you it. If you have not a stick, I will take it away from you,”
HAIKU

巴蕉:和証示衆云僞有柱杖子我與僞柱杖子。僞無柱杖子我奪僞柱杖子。《無門關第四十四》

(Compare the problem of beheading the bodiless cat in *Alice in Wonderland*, page 208).

The snake slid away,
But the eyes that glared at me,
Remained in the grass. Kyoshi

3. Spontaneous idiocy.

As the roof was leaking, a Zen master told two monks to bring something to catch the water. One brought a tub, the other a basket. The first was severely reprimanded, the second highly praised.

柴の戸や鎖の代りにかたつむり — 茶
A brushwood gate;
For a lock,
This snail. Issa

4. Hyperbole.

盡大地搬來如粟米粒大。 (君毅録, 五)

(Seppo) picked up the terrestrial globe between finger and thumb, and found it no larger than a grain of rice.

乞食かな天地を着たる夏衣
The beggar,—
He has heaven and earth
For his summer clothes! Kikaku

† This is a Korean monk, not the haiku poet.
5. Dilemma.

A monk asked Fuketsu, "Speaking and silence belong to the absolute and the relative worlds; how can we escape both these errors?" Fuketsu said,

"I always think of Kônan in March;
Partridges chirp among the scented blossoms."

風穴和尚因僧問。語默渉離微如何通不犯。
穴云，長憶江南三月裏，鶯鶯啼處百花香。
(無門関，二十四)


A monk asked Unmon, "What is the Buddha?"
"A dry shit-stick," replied Unmon.

雲門囘僧問、如何是佛。
(無門囘、二十一)

鸚鵡の小枝に處をして
The uguisu
Poops
On the slender plum branch.
Onitsura

7. Dry humour.

A certain monk said to Hôgen,
"I, Echo, ask you, 'What is the Buddha?'"
Hôgen answered, "You are Echo!"

蝸牛そろそろ登れ富士の山
O snail,
Climb Mt. Fuji,
But slowly, slowly!
Issa
I cannot forbear quoting from *Reminiscences of Scottish Life and Character*, by Dean Ramsay:

A gentleman sitting in the stage-coach at Berwick, complained bitterly that the cushion on which he sat was quite wet. On looking up to the roof, he saw a hole through which the rain descended copiously, and at once accounted for the mischief. He called for the coachman, and in great wrath reproached him with the evil under which he suffered, and pointed to the hole which was the cause of it. All the satisfaction, however, that he got was the quiet, unmoved reply, "Ay, mony a ane has complained o' that hole."

8. Breaking with conventionality.

When Hyakujo called the monks before him, he set a water-bottle on the floor, and telling them not to call it a water-bottle, asked them what they would call it. Isan came forward and kicked it over.

(See *Mumonkan*, 40)

即使にも編笠ぬがぬ楽山子かな
Even before His Majesty,
The scarecrow does not remove
His plaited hat.

Dansui

9. Dropping from the sublime to the ridiculous.

A monk once said to Jōshu, "I have just entered this monastery. I beg of you to give me some instruction and guidance."

Jōshu said, "Have you eaten your breakfast?" The monk replied, "I have." Jōshu said, "Then wash your bowls." The monk came to a realization.
The young girl
Blew her nose
In the evening-glory.

Issa
VIII

Freedom

The freedom of Zen comes out in a great variety of ways. What is real freedom?

But what am I to do?” said Alice. “Anything you like,” said the Footman, and began whistling.

Freedom is not doing what you like, but liking what you do. When we are in pain, grief and loneliness, we are safe. We can think as Satan did in Hell,

Here at least
We shall be free; th’Almighty hath not built
Here for his envy, will not drive us hence.

This is the freedom that Buson felt one day, sitting alone in the darkness, remembering his father’s face, his mother’s voice:

父母の事のみ思ふ秋の暮
It is evening, autumn;
I think only
Of my parents.

It is freedom from likes and dislikes, not in the sense that we become indifferent or insensitive, but that likable things are not sentimentalized or falsified:

遣羽子にきけし美人の怒かな
Beaten at battledore and shuttlecock,
The beautiful maiden’s
Anger!

Shiki
In the same way dislikable or ugly or disgusting things are found interesting and meaningful. Haiku endeavours to take away what Coleridge called

The film of familiarity and selfish solicitude.

Harder to overcome and be free of are the customs of language and the associations of words. The word "snore," with its humorous connotation, overwhels the poetry of the following two haiku, the first with its strange mixture of the immaterial, the human, and the insect world; the second with its pathos:

秋の夜や夢と鼾ときりぎりす    水田
An autumn night;
Dreams, snores,
The chirping of crickets.    Suiō

其人の鼾さへなし秋の蟬    共角
Even his snores
Are heard no longer:
Autumn cicadas.    Kikaku

Written on the death of Kōsai, 工齎, pupil of Bashō, the second verse means that though the cicadas are still singing on into autumn, the least intelligent and intelligible of human sounds, his snores, are now inaudible in death.

It is freedom from what men ordinarily consider possible and impossible.
“Does a Real Man know what profit and loss is?” Ogei said, “The Real Man is a spiritual being—an absolute entity, above relativity. If the Great Ocean were dried up with heat, he would not feel hot; were the Milky Way frozen solid, he would not feel cold. Did thunderbolts rive the mountains, and tempests shake the seas, he would remain unmoved. Such a man can mount the clouds, ride upon the sun and moon, sport beyond the four oceans. Life and death cannot change him. How then should profit and loss get at him?”

Such a man is like God, with whom all things are possible. In such an enlightened mood Christ shouted,

I say unto you, that God is able of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham.

Confucius, with all his sobriety, has a passage in harmony with the spirit of Christ’s words:

Only he who has attained to (perfect) sincerity under Heaven can exhaust (the infinite possibilities of) his nature. He who does this, can exhaust the nature of man, and thereby the nature of (all other) things, thus attaining (the power of) taking part in the transforming and life-giving (activity) of Heaven and Earth, and as Man, making a Third with them.

There is freedom from fear as to the results of one’s actions:
Alive, I will not receive the Heavenly Halls; Dead, I fear no Hell.

There is freedom from the bounds of time and place.

Alles was noch künftig ist in tausend und aber tausend Jahren—wenn denn die Welt so lange steht—, das hat Gott jetzt gemacht, und alles was manch tausend Jahr vergangen ist, das soll er heute noch machen.¹

How are we to attain to this?

God is not tyed to Time or Place, who is everywhere at the same time; and this we shall know, as far as we are capable, if wherever we are, our Desires are to be with Him.²

"To be with Him" does not mean to be in any kind of Heaven in space or time. It means to feel pain and pleasure just as God does, but having no fear that pain will come or pleasure flee away, since they are the web and woof of our temporal and spacial existence. It means to be free of life and death, in the sense that we know we begin to die when we live, and long life is but a prolongation of death.... Whatever hath no beginning may be confident of no end.³

This is the freedom earnestly desired by the Stoics, expressed by Virgil in the words,

Felix qui potuit rerum cognoscere causas,
Atque metus omnes, et inexorabile fatum
Subjectis pedibus, strepitudque acherontis avari.⁴

¹ St. Augustine, quoted by Eckhart.
² William Penn.
³ Hydriotaphia.
⁴ Georgics, 2, 490.
But rather than in such solemn tones, this is better said by Stevenson in *Aes Triplex*, expressed with the very sprightliness and vitality, the buoyancy and fun that this freedom implies:

If we clung as devotedly as some philosophers pretend we do to the abstract idea of life, or were half as frightened as they make out we are, for the subversive accident that ends it all, the trumpets might sound by the hour and no one would follow them into battle—the blue-peter might fly at the truck, but who would climb into a sea-going ship? Think (if these philosophers were right) with what a preparation of spirit we should affront the daily peril of the dinner table; a deadlier spot than any battle-field in history, where the far greater proportion of our ancestors have miserably left their bones!

To be rained upon, in winter,
And not even an umbrella-hat,—
Well, well!   

Freedom from creeds general statements, -isms and -ologies, may seem to make the intellectual life so much the poorer. It may seem to strike at the root of Christianity. But this is not so:

The temple thereof, founded some eighteen centuries ago, now lies in ruins, overgrown with jungle, the habitation of doleful creatures: nevertheless, venture forward; in a low crypt, arched out of falling fragments, thou findest the altar
still there, and its sacred Lamp perennially burning.¹

Simply trust:
Do not the petals flutter down,
Just like that?  Issa

Freedom from morality, from notions of progress, from all abstract ideals, from preconceived values that the mind is supposed to bestow on things,—and what is left? Spengler says,

For the man who in these things has won his unconditional freedom of outlook beyond all personal interests whatsoever, there is no dependence, no priority, no relation of cause and effect, no differentiation of value or importance. That which assigns relative ranks amongst the individual detail-facts is simply the greater or less purity and force of their form-language, their symbolism, beyond all questions of good and evil, high and low, useful and ideal.²

We must have freedom from the idea, and freedom from the fact of seeking for happiness, seeking for beauty or significance. So Buson says,

An autumn eve;  
There is joy too,    
In loneliness.

To escape from the feeling that happiness is an end in itself is a lifelong task. But we can at least be free of the

¹ Sartor Resartus.
² Introduction, 11.
notion, implanted in us indirectly from our earliest years, that we have a right to certain things, among them and perhaps chief, happiness. In *Sartor Resartus*, Carlyle says:

But the whim we have of Happiness is somewhat thus. By certain valuations, and averages, of our own striking, we come upon some sort of average terrestrial lot; this we fancy belongs to us by nature and of indefeasible right. It is simple payment of our wages, of our deserts; requires neither thanks nor complaint; on such overplus as there may be do we account Happiness; any deficit again is Misery.¹

Thomas Jefferson put this into words that never fail to move us, yet which are almost universally misunderstood:

We hold these truths to be self-evident,—that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

But Carlyle has a word which breaks through all the sophistries and hair-splitting:

Love not Pleasure: love God. Love all that was, is, and must be. Love things.

This is the Everlasting Yea, wherein all contradiction is solved: wherein whoso walks, it is well within him.

Matthew Arnold puts it more grimly and no less emphatically than Carlyle:

¹ Chapter IX.
Couldst thou, Pausanius, learn, how deep a fault is this;
Couldst thou but once discern thou hast no right to bliss.

When we know this without bitterness or regret, when we acquiesce, agree, or even perhaps desire that it be so, we understand for the first time the meaning of freedom.
IX
Non-morality

Zen is non-moral. About morality as such, pure morality, there is something hard and mechanical and dead that often makes us wish to do without it. So-called “good” actions are, as far as the morality of them is concerned, cold, and move us to a merely cold admiration. It is in the manner, rather than the action or its results, the manner of the person who does it, that the value lies. This has very little to do with the “manners” of the person, the amiability in which the action is clothed. In The Revolutionist's Handbook, Shaw says,

If you hit your child, be sure that you hit him in anger.

At bottom, this “manner” is the poetry of the action. It has nothing to do with the morality of it. For example,

むつとして戻れば庭に柳かな

Angry and offended,
I came back:
The willow tree in the garden.

Ryôta

This is not poetry at all. Yet here, as always, we come upon the same difficulty in the treatment of any problem. Poetry is one thing, morality is another, and yet they are one thing,... and yet they are two things. However we may treat the problem, whether we take poetry and morality as one thing or as two, we are bound to fall into some error or other, simply because, like you and I, this and that, is and is not,
they are both one thing and two things.

On the one hand, morality is of such importance that Eckhart in one of his sayings rates it above religion, above God himself:

Dem gerechten Menschen ist es so ernst um die Gerechtigkeit: wäre Gott nicht gerecht, sie achteten nicht eine Bohne auf Gott.

Thoreau in *Walden* says,

Our whole life is startlingly moral. There is never an instant's truce between virtue and vice.

Matthew Arnold says in his essay on Wordsworth,

A poetry of revolt against moral ideas, is a poetry of revolt against *life*; a poetry of indifference toward moral ideas is a poetry of indifference toward *life*.

Buddha taught that by fulfilment of the moral laws a man may enter into Nirvana. He never suggested that any other means was possible. Christ's teaching is exactly the same. The Rich Young Ruler fails as a candidate for the Kingdom of Heaven because his morality did not go far enough. The righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees must be exceeded, ...but by righteousness, not by anything supposedly transcending it.

On the other hand, there is the fact that morality has in it something against life. This was felt by Christ just as strongly as by Nietzsche. We see it in the cleansing of the temple, the cursing of the fig-tree, the abuse of his enemies, the wasting of precious ointment on his own feet.
Then said Jesus, let her alone, against the day of my burying hath she kept this. For the poor ye have always with you: but me ye have not always.¹

Engo puts the matter in a yet more striking way:

若是本分人，須是有耕夫之牛，
奪飢人之食底手腳。 (雲嶽録、第三則)

If you are a real man, you can freely drive away the farmer’s ox, or snatch the food from a starving man.

Machiavelli has said what all the teachers of morality and professional good men were afraid to say:

Among the wonderful deeds of Hannibal this one is enumerated: that having led an enormous army, composed of various races of men, to fight in foreign lands, no dissensions arose either among them or against the prince, whether in his bad or in his good fortune. This arose from nothing less than his inhuman cruelty, which, with his boundless valour, made him revered and terrible in the sight of his soldiers, but without that cruelty, his other virtues were not sufficient to produce this effect.²

Two chapters before this, Machiavelli has given us the general rule of which this is a particular illustration:

Hence it is necessary for a prince wishing to hold his own to know how to do wrong, and to make use of it or not according to necessity.³

¹ Jno. 3, 7-8.
² The Prince, Cruelty and Clemency. See also his essay on Castruccio Castracani.
³ Concerning Praise or Blame.
Daitō Kokushi

Hakuin
When a village dog barked at him on the way home, Taigi said,

犬を打つ石のさてなし冬の月

Not a single stone
To throw at the dog,—
The wintry moon.

This is poetry, however inhumane it may also be. The point lies in the fact that Christ and Engo were not hindered by their morality from doing the will of God. Eckhart says the same thing, gladly contradicting what he says elsewhere:

Wer aber Gott nicht allein sucht, liebt und im Sinne hat den hindert nicht nur schlechte Gesellschaft, sondern auch gute, und nicht allein die Strasse, sondern auch die Kirche und auch nicht nur böse Worte und Werke, sondern ich behaupte: auch gute Worte und Werke. Denn das Hindernis liegt in ihm.

This is the theme of St. Mawr, in which Lawrence speaks of people performing outward acts of loyalty, piety, self-sacrifice. But inwardly bent on undermining, betraying. Directing all their subtle, evil will against any positive living thing. Masquerading as the ideal, in order to poison the real.

A subtle example of this, Lawrence gives in *The Man Who Died*:

For the flicker of triumph had gleamed in her eyes: *the greed of giving*.

It is not a merely superficial view which considers this something which is above morality as destructive. Life is only possible through death.
HAIKU

Unless a grain of corn fall into the ground and die...

But the positive side of the matter is in life itself.

Try to hold fast to the living thing which destroys as it goes, but remains sweet.¹

The strange thing is that, because of, or in spite of, or irrespective of morality, all things work together for good, all that we behold is full of blessings:

We aim at a petty end, quite aside from the public good, but our act arranges itself by irresistible magnetism in a line with the poles of the world.²

The final word rests with Spinoza, who in his conversations with Blyenburg explains as well as he may his conviction that good and evil are both human distinctions:

......the absence of good exists only in respect of man's understanding, not in respect of God's.

"God's understanding" is what we have at moments of enlightenment, of inspiration. What follows, gives man's understanding (that is, mine), of the problem of evil, and the practical problem of how to adjust oneself to it.

If anything is to exist at all, if the universe is to be, there must be activity:

Im Anfang war die Tat.

For activity there must be time, one thing must follow another; there must be change. Comparisons thus arise in our minds between what is good and what is bad, the simple

¹ St. Maur.
² Emerson.
and the complicated, here and there, then and now. In a sense, all things are equal, undifferentiated. In another sense, more easily perceived, things are different, unequal; one is long, the other is short, one black, the other white. If we like one colour and dislike another, the one we dislike is painful to us, we wish it out of the universe, we strive to explain it, to explain away its presence.

Still standing for some false, impossible shore.

So with moral judgements. What is distressing morally is thought away as an illusion, or dismissed as a holy mystery. What of course we should do is to get into the state in which we wish to happen all that does happen. But pain and grief for ourselves, for those we love,...how can they be desired as happiness and joy are desired? The answer is that they are not, cannot be, should not be so desired.

There are two kinds of desiring,¹ one with our superficial, temporal minds, another with our deepest self, which, because it is originally, in essence, one with the nature of all things, desires that that which was, which is, and which shall be, should be thus. On the one hand we desire that we should be able to over-eat without paying the penalty; on the other, we desire (with our body) what must happen, the consequent discom-

¹ Matthew Arnold, *A Summer Night*.
² Compare the two meanings of "enjoyment". When Schiller says,

> All art is dedicated to enjoyment,

he means something quite different from the ordinary enjoyment, rather what Wordsworth expressed in

> Thought was not; in enjoyment it expired,

and Spinoza in

> Beatitudo non est virtutis pretium sed ipsa virtus.
fort and ill-health. This importance of the body is realized in Christian dogma. Froude says, in *The Philosophy of Christianity*:

> The carnal doctrine of the sacraments... has long been the stumbling-block to the Protestants. It was the very essence of Christianity itself. Unless the body could be purified, the soul could not be saved, or rather, as from the beginning soul and flesh were one man and inseparable, without his flesh, man was lost or would cease to be.

Spinoza emphasizes the body in a way quite unexpected in such a purely intellectual philosopher:

> We do not yet know what body can or cannot do, or what would naturally follow from the structure of it... The fabric of the body exceeds infinitely any contrivance of human skill, and an infinity of things, as I have proved, ought to follow from it.

The desire of the body is the real desire, what God desires, and this desire must seep through, break through from the body into the reluctant mind.

> The flesh is willing, but the spirit is weak.

The only way to get into the state of willing acceptance of all things is through suffering. This suffering may be voluntary or involuntary; it may be the cause of illumination or not. Profound suffering may be the pedagogue "that leads us to Christ," may bring us to the acceptance of the will of God, by which we become ourselves Buddha, and know the truth of the universe by mere introspection.

If, however, life has not yet racked us with such pain that enlightenment is thrust upon us, we must by some means
or other undergo that vicarious suffering that is symbolized in the *Yuimakyō* by the sickness of Yuima, who is sick because, and only because the world is sick.

Why should suffering be the one indispensable qualification for enlightenment? Such questions cannot be asked, because they concern the universe as a whole. We can only ask and be answered concerning the relation of the parts. A question "Why?" concerning the universe would imply something outside it. It is so, because it is so. This is why Christ hangs contorted on the cross, and must hang there forever. Either in the flesh, in time, or in the compassionate spirit, in eternity, man must suffer.

Hell hath no limits, nor is circumscribed
In one self place; for where we are is Hell,
And where Hell is, there must we ever be.¹

If man must suffer, God must suffer; this deepest suffering is the divinity of things. So Saigyō says,

心なき身にもあわれは知られけり。 
しき立つ澤の秋の夕ぐれ

Even in the mind
Of the mindless one
Arises grief,
When the snipe wings up
In the autumn evening over the marshes.

It is in this sense that we say, Zen is non-moral. It lives a deeper life than morality as such, not cast down by sin or elated by virtuous action, a life of painful joy without ceasing.

¹ Marlowe, *Faustus*.
Zen has an extreme simplicity, and the volubility of the Japanese language has been completely overcome in haiku. When we say "Eastern Thought", meaning the manner of apprehending the world by Japanese, Chinese, Koreans and Indians, we refer to a unity of Chinese practicality, Japanese simplicity and plainness, Korean independence, and Indian non-ego-ness. Such a simplicity, however, implies an extraordinary acuteness, such as we find, for example, in the following:

The best of all is, God is with us.¹

Whatever interests, is interesting.²

Ist Gottes Gottheit mir nicht inniglich gemein,
Wie kann ich dann sein Sohn und er sein Vater sein?³

People all over the world try to know what they do not know, instead of trying to know what they already know.⁴

Was Gott liebt das ist: was Gott nicht liebt, das ist nicht.⁵

¹ Wesley.
² Hazlitt.
³ Silesius.
⁴ Sōshi.
⁵ Eckhart.
Go out, and you meet Shakamuni;
Go home, and you meet Miroku.

出門逢釋迦，入門逢龐勲。 （謠俳句染）
Go where he will, the wise man is at home.¹

Gott ist namenlos.²

And if God dieth not for men, and giveth not himself Eternally for man, man could not exist.³

There is nothing mysterious, nothing subtle and complicated, nothing "poetical" in the world:

There was never mystery,
But 'tis figured in the flowers;
Was never secret history,
But birds tell it in the bowers.⁴

One of Bashô's recorded sayings is:

俳諧は三尺の童にさせよ
Get a three-foot child to write haikai.

As an example of this we may take one of Bashô's own verses:

道のべの木様は馬にくはれけり
The Rose of Sharon
By the roadside,
Was eaten by the horse.

What Bashô means is something that belongs to Zen, namely, that we must not wish to do something clever, write a fine poem, but do it as naturally, as freely, as unselfconsciously as

¹ Emerson, *Woodnotes I*.
² Eckhart.
³ Blake, *Jerusalem*.
⁴ Emerson, *The Apology*. 
a child does everything. Take, for instance, the verse Bashō composed one snowy day when Sora called on him:

君火たけ好き物見せん雪まろげ
You light the fire;
I'll show you something nice,—
A great ball of snow!

Compare this simplicity with that of Issa:

うまさせな雪がふればうらはりと
I could eat it!—
This snow that falls
So softly, so softly.

An example of *ne plus ultra*:

時雨れあたり入りけり晴れけり伽然
A shower came;
Running inside,
It cleared up. Yuinen

Spengler quotes Goethe as follows:

The highest to which man can attain is wonder; and if the prime phenomenon (the shower, running inside, and clearing up) makes him wonder, let him be content, nothing higher can it give him, and nothing further should he seek for behind it; here is the limit.

Spengler explains this "prime phenomenon", as

that in which the idea of becoming is presented net.¹

The life of Zen is that in which the idea of becoming is presented in activity, activity of mind or body or both. The

¹ *Physiognomic and Systematic.*
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aim of haiku is to express the prime phenomena in words. The idea of becoming is presented with as little material as possible. This paucity of material is the cause of the deceptive simplicity of haiku, and this simplicity is in itself an appeal to the reader for all his delicacy and depth of poetic feeling. As an example of this, we make take the following:

桜散る日さへ夕と思りにけり
This day on which
The cherry blossoms fell,
Has drawn to its close. Chora

All day long the cherry blossoms have been sifting down, and this faint sense of inevitable loss and dejection is deepened as the day begins to darken into night. In the twilight, the branches are almost bare; the ground is covered with the glimmering petals that once were so fair.

名月や只美しく澄み渡る
The full moon,
Only lovely.
Flawlessly clear. Chora

見れば夢か、見れば夢か月かな
Moon-gazing:
Looking at it, it clouds over;
Not looking, it becomes clear. Chora

A well known example by Bashô, in praise of the most beautiful place in Japan:

松島や、あゝ松島や松島や
Matsushima!
Ah, Matsushima, ah!

Bashô
Bashō and Chora are the greatest poets of simplicity:

初秋や海も青田の一ひどり
The beginning of autumn;
The sea and fields,
All one same green.  Bashō

このあたり日に見ゆるものは皆凉し
All around
That meets the eye
Is cool and fresh.  Bashō

Where there seems to be least Zen, there may be most.
Simplicity is the philosophic background of all Asiatic poetry as it is of all European science. Shiki says:

汽車すぎて烟うづまく若葉かな
The train passes;
How the smoke
Swirls round the young leaves!

This simplicity in the realm of thought and feeling appears as brevity in that of form. Silence is deeper than speaking; from silence springs speaking, and returns to it. How indeed should any symbol represent the activity which is beyond speech and silence? The brevity of haiku has its origin in the endeavour to appeal from the unconscious in one human being to the unconscious in others. Spengler gives for once a practical illustration of this in an old couple sitting in the evening sunshine in a complete harmony of silence:

The deeper and more intimate a spiritual communion, the more readily it dispenses with signs and linkages through waking consciousness. A real comradeship makes itself understood with
few words, a real faith is silent altogether. The purest example of an understanding that has again got beyond language is the old peasant couple sitting in the evening in front of their cottage and entertaining each other without a word being passed, each knowing what the other is thinking and feeling. Words would only disturb the harmony. From such a state of reciprocal understanding, something or other reaches back far beyond the collective existence of the higher animal world, deep in the primeval history of free-moving life. Here deliverance from the waking consciousness is, at moments, very nearly achieved.¹

Walter de la Mare has a verse which repeats this thought:

When all at peace, two friends at ease alone
Talk out their hearts, yet still
Between the grace-notes of
The voice of love
From each to each
Trembles a rarer speech,
And with its presence every pause doth fill.²

Simplicity of subject, which is one of the marked characteristics of haiku, the choice of the peaceful and temperate aspects of nature, the avoidance of all confused and violent things both in man and in nature, is due to a delicate sensi-
tiveness that cannot bear the dramatic and hyperbolic. It is in no wise due to shallowness:

The sea is as deepe in a calme as in a storme.³

¹ Peoples, Races, Tongues, IV.
² Silence.
³ Donne, Sermons, Mundus Marī.
As Johnson says, (what might be taken as the motto of all haiku),

Nothing is little to him who feels it with great sensibility.
XI

Materiality

Zen, like haiku, emphasizes the material, as against the so-called spiritual. There is no abstract arguing, no general principles. Everything is concrete. If we glance through a number of haiku we find they are entirely about things, snow, cherry blossoms, people dancing, frogs, the wind. Walden is the same. Speaking of himself, Thoreau says

I felt that some berries which I had eaten on a hill-side had fed my genius.

Lawrence tells us, in The Man that Died, that Christ realised, after his death, with regard to Mary Magdalene, that his teaching, his life itself, had been too spiritual.

I asked them all to serve me with the corpse of their love. And in the end I offered them only the corpse of my love.

It seems a far call from these words to those in which Chora describes himself, but there is a deep affinity between them:

夜はうれしく昼は静かや春の雨
At night, happiness;
In the day-time, quietness,—
Spring rain.

冷水にせんべい二枚松良が夏
Cold water,
Two biscuits,—
Chora's summer.
The second of these two poems reminds us of the words of reproof to Martha,

But one thing is enough.

It is a mistake to suppose that in poetry we are to perceive the absolute in the relative, the eternal, the infinite in the finite, the spiritual in the material. If there is any antithesis to Zen, it is this kind of Zoroastrianism, so easy to fall into, because it is of the very nature of the intellect to function dichotomously. Our poetic life, our religious life is one long, never-ending struggle against this tendency. The nature of the soul, however, is simple; the eye of the soul is single. Eckhart says:


The materiality of Zen comes out in the fact that the religious life is at its lowest ebb in church, where everything is arranged to incline the mind to some other place, Heaven or Hell, some other time, the past or the future. There is more religion in the public-house, on the battle-field. It is for this reason that, as Christ says,

the publicans and the harlots go into the Kingdom of God before you.

Men live by Zen. All they desire is to see it and feel it. They go to the cinema for it. The cowboy romances are full
of failures to attain it. When the "killer" advances into the
dance-hall with his hands ready for the draw, it is his Zen
alone that our eyes are fixed on. But this so-called "Zen,"
does not exist, as a thing. Nothing is symbolical of it.
Goethe says,

Alles Vergängliche ist nur ein Gleichnis.

But he does not tell us of what it is a symbol, because he
cannot. It is 'a symbol proper," as Carlyle calls it, which
means, as Rinzai would say, a no-symbol. In this sense we
may remind ourselves of Keats' lines:

It is a flaw
In happiness to see beyond our bourne,
It forces us in summer skies to mourn,
It spoils the singing of the nightingale.

In poetry, at least as the haiku poets understand it, we
simply cannot manage to do without things. Contrast the
first of the following verses with the other three, all on the
subject of the change of servants, which in olden times took
place on the fifth day of the third month of the lunar
calendar:

出がはりや幼心に物あはれ  龍雪
The change of servants;
The pathos
Of her childish heart. Ransetsu

出がはりや傘提げて夕ながめ  千六
The departing servant;
Umbrella in hand,
She gazes out at the evening.
Kyoroku
Poetry is greatly concerned with umbrellas, tatami, scraps of paper. Goethe, with characteristic courage, seems to contradict his own words concerning the "symbolical" nature of all things:

Do not, I beg you, look for anything behind phenomena. They are themselves their own lesson.
(Sie selbst sind die Lehre.)

In the following well-known American poem, *Little Boy Blue*, by Eugene Field we are told the lesson that things have for us, but the things, (the toys), are so strong they are able to overcome the dead weight of their lesson and speak for themselves:

The little toy dog is covered with dust,
But sturdy and staunch he stands,
The little tin soldier is red with rust,
And his musket molds in his hands.
Time was when the little toy dog was new,
And the soldier was passing fair,
And that was the time when our Little Boy Blue,
Kissed them and put them there.
"Now don't you go till I come," he said,
"And don't you make any noise."
So, toddling off to his trundle bed,
He dreamed of his pretty toys.
And while he was dreaming, an angel’s song,
Awakened our Little Boy Blue.
Ah, the years are many, the years are long,
But our little toy friends are true.
Ay, faithful to Little Boy Blue they stand,
Each in the same old place,
Awaiting the touch of a little hand,
And the smile of a little face.
And they wonder, as waiting these long years
through,
In the dust of that little chair,
"What has become of our Little Boy Blue,"
Since he kissed them and put them there.

(Puzzle; point to the line where the Zen is.) This is the
faithfulness of things, that which the Psalmist refers to so
indirectly in the often-quoted lines:

The Lord is my shepherd;
I shall not want.

It is seen in the following:

おろし置く笈に地震る夏野哉

The travelling altar just set down,
Swayed with an earthquake,
On the summer moor. Buson

夕風や水背鷺の脛をうつ

With the evening breeze,
The water laps against
The heron’s legs. Buson

蠅打に花さく草も打たれけり
Striking the fly,  
I hit also  
A flowering plant.  

Issa

Another American poet gives us a list of things that a poet, and preeminently a haiku poet, prizes most:

shadows, colors, clouds,  
Grass-buds and caterpillars' shrouds,  
Boughs on which the wild bees settle,  
Tints that spot the violet's petal.¹

This is the practicality of haiku. The practicality of Zen results from the constitution of the world we live in. Whatever beliefs we have are meaningless except in so far as they motivate our inner life, with the necessary expression in manner and act:

But indeed conviction, were it never so excellent, is worthless, till it convert itself into conduct.²

This "felt indubitable certainty of experience" must be felt in and through the body:

And he took the cup and gave thanks, and gave it to them, saying, Drink ye all of it.³

In the Tea Ceremony, it is the smell, the taste of the tea, the sound of the boiling water, the touch of the tea-bowl which give to the devotee the meaning which no abstract thought, no watching of the tea ceremony will ever convey.

¹ Emerson, Woodnotes, 1.  
² Sartor Resartus.  
³ Matt, 26, 27.
Doubt of any sort cannot be removed except action.¹

What kind of action is this? It is the physical activity of listening to the higurashi as the shadows fall across the sky. It was the smelling of the scent of the flower which Buddha held up before the congregation of monks. It is the taste of the tear that falls, we know not why, the touch of the dentist’s drill, the sight of ugly people whom we love.

We suppose that the body is a machine and that the soul drives it at will hither or thither, but the reverse is the case. Our boasted self-control, confession and penance, reformation, conversion, salvation,—all are determined physically, in our bodies. These have the true dark life. It is the root which in the cold and silent earth decides what flowers are to bloom in the wind and sun. This Spengler has portrayed in his own way, with his characteristic, almost physical thinking, his intellectual violence:

The plant-like cosmic being, heavy with destiny, blood, sex, possesses an immemorial mastery and keep it. They are life. The other only serves life. But this other wills, not to serve, but to rule; moreover it believes that it does rule, for one of the most determined claims put forward by the human spirit is its claim to possess power over the body, over "nature." But the question is: is not this very belief a service to life? Why does our thought think just so? Perhaps because the cosmic "it" wills that it shall. Thought shows off its power when it calls the body a motion, when it establishes

¹ Sartor Resartus.
the pitifulness of the body, and commands the voices of the blood to be silent. But in truth the blood rules, in that silently it commands the activity of thought to begin and cease.¹

Even when the subject does not admit of very gross or earthy treatment it is well to return to the material if only for a moment, lest we should lose touch with solid ground:

"Then tell me," I said, "Whence do you believe these moments come? And will you give me half your onion?"

"With pleasure," he replied, "for no man can eat a whole onion; and as for that other matter; why, I think the door of heaven is ajar from time to time, and that light shines out upon us for a moment between its opening and its closing."²

雷晴れて一樹の夕日蟬の聲

The thunderstorm having cleared up,
The evening sun shines on a tree
Where a cicada is chirping.

Shiki

By this materiality of aspect, animate and inanimate things lose much of their difference, as do also human and non-human. Man loses his dignity as lord of creation, things are seen with their Buddha nature fully displayed:

It was so large that she couldn't help feeling a little shy with it, as she had been with the mutton; however she conquered her shyness by a

¹ The Cosmic and the Microcosm.
² Belloc, The Onion Eater.
great effort, and cut a slice and handed it to the Red Queen.

"What impertinence!" said the Pudding.

"I wonder how you'd like it, if I were to cut a slice out of you, you creature!"

This equality of things and ourselves, our common nature, is reciprocal. We exist only if they do. They will not be lorded over and treated with contempt. We are equals and can live together harmoniously only if our independence and dependence, our separateness and continuity is recognized. Things have done their part; it is for us to do ours:

"Well, now that we have seen each other," said the Unicorn, "if you'll believe in me, I'll believe in you. Is that a bargain?" "Yes, if you like," said Alice.
XII

Love

Zen is love of the universe. Without this "love," joy is uncertain, pain is inevitable, all is meaningless. Othello says, When I love thee not, Chaos is come again.

This love must be complete, not that it aims at the universe as a whole, but that the personality as a whole is to be concentrated on the thing; the thing is to be suffused with the personality. Then we have the state, describe abstractly by Dr. Suzuki in the following words:

When an object is picked up, everything else, One and All, comes along with it, not in the way of suggestion, but all-inclusively, in the sense that the object is complete in itself.

Dickens gives us the same thing, but alive and palpitating:

‘Or cats and dogs is some men’s fancy. They’re wittles and drink to me..... lodging, wife and children...... reading, writing and rithmetic...... snuff, tobaker and sleep.

We get the same complete, self-abandoning love of the universe, concentrated in one thing described in the 19th Case of the Hekiganroku:

伽瓦和仏凡有所問只一旨。

Whatever question Gutei was asked, he simply held up one finger.

It must be without qualifications and reservations, taking the universe in good health and in bad health, for better and
for worse, without fear of death.

If I must die,
I will encounter darkness as a bride,
And hug it in my arms.¹

It must be without attachment to life. The classical example of this in English literature is the scene of the death of Old Euclio, by Pope:

"Your money, sir?"—"My money, sir, what, all?
Why—if I must—(then wept) I give it Paul"—
"The manor, sir?"—"The manor! hold!
Not that—I cannot part with that,"—and died.

In so far as we doubt the "fatherhood" of God, his love of the sparrow, the maggots and germs of disease, we have no peace of mind, for we cannot help loving Him for his beauty of leaf and flower, falling rain and misty mountains, and

Oh what damned minutes tells he o'er
Who dotes, yet doubts, suspects, yet strongly loves!²

The relation of love to poetry may be easy to make out, but that to Zen is much more difficult. Look at it like this. If we are without self-love, greediness, without desire of gain, of happiness, of life itself, all this energy must overflow somewhere. It overflows into all things, including oneself, so that now no actions are selfish or unselfish, good or bad, but are like the sunshine or the rain, but with mind instead of mindlessness. We say that we see the beauty of the fine drops of rain, the glittering of the leaves in the sun, the stars in their calm,—but what we really see is the mind of man,

¹ Measure for Measure, III, 1.
² Othello, 3, 3.
our own mind, in all these things. Through our activity and coöperation, these inanimate things acquire mind and affection. The waves drown the shipwrecked sailor regretfully, the sun scorches the weary traveller with remorse,

Holding their pocket handkerchief
Before their streaming eyes.

In return, we become windy, rainy, starry, sunny creatures living in all things, in all times and places. A man who exists thus, helps his neighbour as he helps himself; because it gives him the same pleasure. Asked to walk one mile he gladly walks two, yet also, as Goldsmith says,

The naked he clothes every day
That he puts on his clothes.

The fact is, as Bernard Shaw pointed out once, that we cannot persuade ourselves to love the unlovely, the inimical, those who injure us, whether they be things or persons. Here Christianity and Buddhism both fail. But what we can do is to empty our minds of self-love by the realization of a fact, the fact that there is no self to love, no lover to love. No one is praising us, no one is blaming, no one killing, no one killed. It is only countless Buddhas all bowing to one another, men and creatures and things all praising God with one accord.

This kind of “love,” then, is not the means, the first step, but the end and aim and consummation of our pilgrimage here. It is expressed in quite other ways than altruism and self-denial. It is effortless and continuous, unconscious and nameless, but we feel it and know it in ourselves and others as the health of the soul.
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Pumpkin

Mushakoji Saneatsu
Mountain persimmons;
The mother is eating
The astringent parts.

Zen cannot change a man's inborn character. (What we call “inborn character” is the universal and identical Buddha-nature in its physically limited and specific outworking). Nothing can do this. It cannot turn a cold, selfish heart into a warm loving one. What it does do is to change the direction of the inner energy, to bring out all the latent power, to show things to be interesting that were not noticed at all, or thought to be meaningless or repulsive:

Shutting the great temple gate,
Creak! it goes:
An autumn evening.

It walked with me
As I walked,
The scarecrow in the distance.

This love is of One who is as free as we could be ourselves, if only we wished it. We ask our solemn, futile questions, and get our replies, but they are hardly to our liking, but

I am not bound to please thee with my answers.¹

Yet in our secret heart we love the universe as it is, the short things so short, the long things so long, and inwardly

¹ The Merchant of Venice, IV, 1, 65.
detest that falsely fair and superficially perfect world we pretend to hope for or claim to believe in.

Just as Zen is love, haiku may be called love-poems, and the Chushingura a love-story. Passionate love between the sexes is not implied here, and it must be admitted as a fundamental weakness of both Buddhism and Christianity that they have never dealt with the love of men and women, but ignored the whole matter, as if we all came into the world by spontaneous generation, instead of as the result of the love of our parents.

Haiku are an expression of the joy of our reunion with things from which we have been parted by self-consciousness, so strong and tender in the sexual act, more diffused, yet equally powerful and delicate in our poetic moments. Though our love of things is so feeble, we all desire to be loved ardently in the wrong, the unbuddhist, the unchristian way. Keats says,

Yourself—your soul—in pity give me all,
Withold no atom’s atom, or I die,

and it is hard here as always to avoid throwing out the baby with the bath water, especially because from the Zen point of view, the baby is the bath-water; no baby, no bath-water; no bath-water, no baby. Difficult indeed it is to love as God loves the just and the unjust, the raper and the raped, the slug and the lettuce.
The last of these manifestations of Zen is in the form of courage. Though not one of the virtues especially emphasized by the moralist, it nevertheless includes all the other twelve characteristics mentioned above, selflessness, loneliness, grateful acceptance, wordlessness, non-intellectuality, contradictoriness, humour, freedom, non-morality, materiality and love. All these elements are in some way present when an act of courage is performed. It may be difficult, however, to see how courage is an essential, even the most essential part of a poet. We may look at the matter in the following way.

When we hear of some calamity that affects ourselves, our parents or families, the mind instantly endeavours to find some cheap compensation, some profit in the loss, something to console us for the inevitable grief. This is not in itself wrong. It is a necessary element in a universe that while it constantly supports us is nevertheless attacking and threatening us without a moment's respite; the world, the flesh and the devil are at us all the time. But this division into profit and loss must be understood, must be known as a superficial one; strongly and deeply superficial it may be, yet it is only the waves on the surface of a profound abyss of waters. In our timidity and selfishness we ask with misgiving, “Suppose I could and did take upon myself all the woes and joys of the entire creation, how am I to know whether that is a blessed state or not?” In other words; is the universe good or not; would it be better that it had never come into existence? Or to put it in another way, would
If you rather be a clod, a pebble on the roadside, or will you take the bitter with the sweet, will you be a man of sorrows and acquainted with grief, as well as rejoicing always in the presence of God?

It is in answer to this question that the courage of the poet is shown, and courage is thus the greatest of the virtues, for without it the true poetic life, the true religious life is impossible:

God will not have his work made manifest by cowards.1

Without courage, you cannot even get to first base, as the Americans say. This courage is of two kinds, corresponding roughly to the popular division into physical and mental courage. Both are important; lack of either is fatal to the life of perfection. By physical courage is meant courage in relation to physical pain and death. By mental courage, willingness to look facts in the face, ability to grasp the uselessness, the meaninglessness of things,2 power to perceive one's own egolessness, lack of any rights whatever, absence of the so-called "love of God" for us,3 that is, the "indifference" of the universe. Without the courage to do all these things, the activity of the poet or saint is restricted to poetical cant and religious humbug. Spengler says,

By understanding the world, I mean being equal to the world.

1 Emerson, Self-reliance.
2 Goethe says: What is important in life is life, not a result of life.
3 Nor does being weary prove that he has where to rest.
   Arnold, Empedocles.
Courage is life, living. Life is change; change is suffering; the will to suffer is courage. The world is on the one hand a world of law, and

Nature is written in mathematical language, but life is incalculable.

When Raleigh sailed into Cadiz, and all the forts and ships opened fire on him at once, he scorned to shoot again, and made answer with a flourish of insulting trumpets. I like this bravado better than the wisest dispositions to ensure victory; it comes from the heart and goes to it.

Goethe once said to Eckermann,

The Godhead is effective in the living and not in the dead, in the becoming and changing, not in the become and set-fast, and therefore, similarly, the reason (Vernunft) is concerned only to strive to the divine through the becoming and the living, and the understanding (Verstand) only to make use of the become and the set-fast.

This “striving to the divine” is life, is courage. Every animal and plant, in so far as it has this striving, has this courage. It is a light that however low it sinks, burns to the end, and is extinguished only in death. Not only men, but all things are

Made weak by time and fate, but strong in will
To strive, to seek, to find, and not to yield.

1 The Buddha nature.
2 Stevenson, The English Admirals.
3 Tennyson, Ulysses.
It is the will to live which in inanimate things is the will to exist. In Buddhism it is called the “thusness of things” which again is the “becoming” of things of Goethe.

It requires courage to wind up one’s watch before being executed. It requires courage to discard all the mind-colouring and emotion-colouring of a thing, to disdain all that passes for poetry but which is superfluous ornament, soul-clogging words. Religious and poetical courage are one and the same thing in their highest manifestations. In the following quotation from Spengler we can apply his words with ease to either:

With the soul’s awakening, direction, too, first reaches living expression,—Classical expression in steady adherence to the near-present and exclusion of the distant and future, Faustian in direction-energy which has an eye only for the most distant horizons; Chinese in free, hither and thither wandering that nevertheless goes to the goal; Egyptian in resolute march down the path once entered.¹

Another quotation from Spengler will bring out still more clearly what is meant when we say that poetry is courage.

Consider his (Hebbel’s) treatment of the Judith story—Shakespeare would have taken it as it was and scented a world-secret in the physiognomic charm of the pure adventure.²

This “world secret” is in Shakespeare’s own mind. He must take the skeletons out of his own cupboard, and when this is

¹ Symbolism and Space, IV.
² Destiny and Causality, XI.
done, all the grimness and horror appears as “physiognomic charm,” what Schiller calls “enjoyment;” the sordid, brutal story becomes “pure” adventure. It is the courage of the poet which effects this transformation. Emerson says,

*Heroism feels and never reasons, and therefore is always right.*

It is the heroism of the poet which makes every poetical word that Christ uttered perfectly true and eternally valid.

From courage comes wordlessness and silence:

*If thou hast a woe, tell it not to the enemy, Tell it to thy saddle-bow, and ride singing forth*.1

Selflessness derives from it:

*I had a singular feeling at being in his company. For I could hardly believe that I was present at the death of a friend, and therefore I did not pity him.*2

It gives freedom:

*Abide by the rules, then throw them overboard, and for the first time you will achieve freedom.*3

Simplicity is its invariable accompaniment:

*道のほとりのあだごとの中に我が一念の猛心を楽しむ。*4

---

1 Proverbs of Alfred, 1246-50.
2 *Phaedo*, 58.
3 Bashô.
4 長明
Trivial things said by the wayside gladden
the faith of my awakened heart.

It enables us to accept contradictions and absurdities without question:

Trivial
things
said
by
the
wayside
gladden
the
faith
of
my
awakened
heart.

Ten thousand mountains are not heavy, but
my lord's benevolence is. A single hair is not
light, but my life is.

Courage gives that "loneliness" that defies definition:

Do not deal with men; deal with Heaven.

It makes us intimate with material things:

Unless a man ladles out ordure, he cannot
be a good farmer.

It is entirely non-intellectual:

Japan is a country where people do not argue
against the will of the gods.

1 Kamō Chomei, 12-13th Cy.
2 Oishi Yoshio, 1659-1703.
3 Saigō Takamori, 1827-1877.
4 Dazai Shuntai, 1680-1747.
Courage it is that endows us with the power to accept gratefully all that happens; Bashô says:

見るとところ花にあらずと云ふことなし、
思ふとところ月にあらずと云ふことなし。

There is nothing you see that is not a flower; there is nothing you can think of which is not the moon.

We must have that boldness which will not quail at the absurd.

The Red Queen said, "That's a poor thin way of doing things. Now here, we mostly have days and nights two or three at a time, and sometimes in the winter we take as many as five nights together—for warmth, you know."

"Are five nights warmer than one night, then?" Alice ventured to ask.

"Five times as warm, of course."

"But they should be five times as cold, by the same rule—."

"Just so!" cried the Red Queen.

"Five times as warm, and five times as cold, just as I'm five times as rich as you are, and five times as clever!"

Without courage we shall never be able truly to grasp the fact that all things, all events are vehicles of something that is far above and beyond the rules of morality:

The setting sun is reflected from the windows of the almshouses as from the rich man's abode.

Last, love and courage are one thing in the following lines from the end of Emerson's Woodnotes I;
When the forest shall mislead me,
When the night and morning lie,
When sea and land refuse to feed me,
'Twill be time enough to die:
Then will yet my mother yield
A pillow in her greenest field,
Nor the June flowers scorn to cover
The clay of their departed lover.
SECTION III

HAIKU AND POETRY
HAIKU AND POETRY

In *Biographia Litteraria* (Chapter XIV) Coleridge gives us a definition of poetry that will hardly fit haiku, though it may apply to other forms of verse:

A poem is that species of composition which is opposed to works of science, by purposing for its *immediate* object pleasure, not truth.

It is strange that a critic who was also a poet, should not have realized that poetry is something which of its nature cannot be defined. When we have the experience of poetry we feel,

Through all this fleshly dress,
Bright shoots of everlastingness.

It is not pleasure; or if it is, it is poetic pleasure, and we are in the same difficulty as before.

Life is a pure flame, and we live by an invisible sun within us.¹

It is invisible, but we know the flame is there. We do not see the light; we see by it.

A haiku is the expression of a temporary enlightenment, in which we see into the life of things.

稲妻にとろるMargaret Buson
音や竹の露
A flash of lightning!
The sound of drops
Falling among the bamboos. Buson

¹ Browne, *Hydriotaphia*. 
Plate 20

Shrike Screeching on a Dead Branch

Miyamoto Musashi
There is no distinction, at the moment of composition or appreciation, between inner and outer. Life runs so freely between them that we perceive things by introspection, and our experiences of the outer world have the same immediacy, validity and certainty as have states of pure self-consciousness. As Hōjō, 法常, lay dying, a flying squirrel, 鳳凰, screeched. He thereupon said,

即物、非他物。汝等諸人善護持之。吾今逝矣。 （傳 七）

It is immediately this thing; it is not that. All you monks, hold fast to this (immediacy). Now I shall depart.

In the same way Confucius says:

二三子以我為隱乎，吾無隱乎。 （論語 七、二十一）

My friends, do you think I conceal anything from you? I conceal nothing from you.

This is the work of a poet, to hide nothing from us. When he does so, the Buddha nature of a thing is clearly seen.

Each thing is preaching the law incessantly, but this law is not something different from the thing itself. Haiku is the revealing of this preaching by presenting us with the thing devoid of all our mental twisting and emotional discoloration; or rather, it shows the thing as it exists at one and the same time outside and inside the mind, perfectly subjective, ourselves undivided from the object, the object in its original unity with ourselves.
Walking in the winter rain,
The umbrella
Pushes me back.  

Shiseijo

Haiku thus make the greatest demand upon our internal poverty. Shakespeare pours out his universal soul, and we are abased before his omniscience and overflowing power. Haiku require of us that our soul should find its own infinity within the limits of some finite thing. It is in this sense that nothing is hidden from us. Haiku is the result of the wish, the effort, not to speak, not to write poetry, not to obscure further the truth and suchness of a thing with words, with thoughts and feelings. Of things be it said with Emerson,

What you are speaks so loudly, I cannot hear what you say.

Things must speak to us so loudly that we cannot hear what the poets have said about them.

A haiku is not a poem, it is not literature; it is a hand beckoning, a door half-opened, a mirror wiped clean. It is a way of returning to nature, to our moon nature, our cherry blossom nature, our falling leaf nature, in short, to our Buddha nature. It is a way in which the cold winter rain, the swallows of evening, even the very day in its hotness, and the length of the night become truly alive, share in our humanity, speak their own silent and expressive language.

How long the day:
The boat is talking
With the shore.  

Shiki

It is a silent language because it only beckons to a cer-
tain region and does not explain why and where and how. In the above verse by Shiki, the simple meaning that the man in the boat is talking to the man in the shore, is not, for all its poetic brevity, the really significant point of the verse. This lies in quite another realm, where boats and shores speak freely to each other and continue their eternal conversations, indifferent to our prosaic and intellectual expostulation.

What is a poet? A poet is a spirit speaking to spirits.

相見呵呵笑
圍林落葉多

Meeting, the two friends laugh aloud:
In the grove, fallen leaves are many.

Confucius is not thinking of a poet, but his words apply:

唯天下至誠，為能盡其性，能盡其性、則能盡人之性，則能盡物之性、能盡物之性、則可以贊天地之化育，可以贊天地之化育、則可以與，天地參矣。

Only he who has attained to (perfect) sincerity under Heaven can exhaust (the infinite potentialities of) his nature. He who does this, can exhaust the nature of man, and thereby, the nature of (all other) things, thus attaining (the power of) taking part in the transforming and life-giving (activity) of Heaven and Earth, and as Man, making a third with them.

In what language do poets speak to one another?

It is expressed neither by words nor by silence. In that condition that is neither words nor silence, its nature is grasped. Sōshi, 25.
What do poets say of those who are not poets?

When you speak, you speak well,
But your speaking is incomplete.

This is why poetry is so exhausting to read, why we instinctively avoid it. To come closely into contact with things or persons is often a painful business. Even with God this is so.

God loves all things equally.

Eckhart's intuition is expressed in a typically Zen way:

But all things do not and cannot receive that love equally. God is in all things equally, but things do not manifest him in the same degree. Eckhart says,

And these differences in things, these limitations are, in a most astounding way, the very qualities that manifest God. It is *in virtue* of its lack of something that a thing has value.

The tree manifests the bodily power of the wind; The wave exhibits the spiritual nature of the moon.

If the tree were strong enough it would manifest nothing. If the wave were rigid, the moon's nature could not be expressed in it. This strength made perfect in weakness is what Eckhart calls becoming a son, one who rejects nothing, who lays aside all that obstructs perfect union with all things, good and bad, profitable and unprofitable, pure and impure.

A poet sees things as they are in proportion as he is selfless.

My judgement is just because I seek not my own will, but the will of Him that sent me.

In relation to every circumstance, we are to be like the servants at the Feast of Cana:

Whatever he saith unto you, do it.

The flowers say "Bloom!" and we bloom in them. The wind blows and we sway in the leaves. Lawrence describes the poet in the following words:

A pure animal man would be as lovely as a deer or a leopard, burning like a flame fed
straight from underneath. And he'd be part of the unseen, like a mouse is, even. And he'd never cease to wonder, he'd breathe silence and unseen wonder, as the partridges do, running in the stubble. He'd be all the animals in turn, instead of one fixed automatic thing which he is now, grinding on the nerves.¹

We may reach the same conclusion from the other end of the scale. In so far as a tomato exists, God exists. When a tomato rots, God rots. God blooms in the summer flowers, falls in the autumn leaves, lies quiet and cold in the snow and ice of winter.

青山元不動
白雲自去來

The blue hills are by their nature immovable;
The white clouds of themselves come and go.

Again we say, God loves all things equally, the mouse that the cat catches, the water that engulfs the mariner, the man who beats his mother to death. Replace the word "God" by the word "poet", and the above statements are equally true. If you think the universe is inimical to you, that is simply a reflection of your enmity to the universe. If you think

I am so glad that Jesus loves me,
that is a reflection of your friendliness towards it. It is in fact neither inimical nor friendly nor indifferent. It is you, your real self. The poetical, the religious life is that of the angels

St. Maur.
Who always behold the face of your Father in Heaven.

Thus, though the poet's life is one of pain, it is also one of peace. The interpenetration of life with life is an agonizing business, yet it is like the lancing of a boil, like the birth of a child, in Dante's language,

\[
\text{com' acqua recepe} \\
\text{Raggio di luce, permanendo unita.}^1
\]

as water receives
A ray of light, remaining whole.

The following account is given of the creation of Bashō's

古池や蛙飛び込む水の音
The old pond;
A frog jumps in,—
The sound of the water.

It is of very doubtful authenticity, and in a way spoils the simplicity and apparent spontaneity of the original. In fact, one feels a tendency towards dragging out of the unconscious and instinctive what should be left there, a certain artificiality and esoteric conventionality that is repugnant to the poetic mind. Nevertheless, it is an illustration of one of the ways in which Zen and haiku are related, and further, shows a certain kind of trend of poetic thinking that has accompanied haiku since the advent of Bashō.

Bucchô, 仏頂, of Komponji Temple, (帝州鹿島根本寺), a monk of wide reading and profound enlightenment, became the teacher of Bashō. Moving to Chôkeiji Temple, 長慶寺, at Fukagawa

\^ Paradiso, 2, 85-56.
near Edo, he one day visited the poet, accompanied by a man called Rokusó Gohei. The latter first entering into the hermitage, cried out, “How is it, the Buddhist Law in this quiet garden with its trees and grasses?”

Bashô replied, “Large leaves are large, little ones are little.”

Bucchô then entering said, “Recently, what is your attainment?”

Bashô replied, “The rain over, the green moss is fresh.”

Bucchô further asked him, “What is this Buddhist Law, before the green moss began to grow?”

At this moment, hearing the sound of a frog that leapt into the water, Bashô exclaimed, “The sound of the frog jumping into the water.”

Bucchô was full of admiration at this answer, considering it as evidence of Bashô’s state of enlightenment...... At this time Sampû, 栃風, respectfully congratulated Bashô on having composed this verse, acknowledged by Bucchô, adding to art the glory of religion; Ransetsu, 嵐雪, said, “This sentence of the sound of the water may be said to represent fully the meaning of haiku, yet the first part of the verse is missing. Please
complete it.” Bashō answered, “I was thinking about it myself, but I would like to hear your opinions first and then I will decide.” Several of his pupils tried their hands at it; Sampū suggested

The evening twilight;
as the first five syllables;

In the loneliness,

The mountain rose;

Bashō, looking at these said, “You have each and all in your first line expressed an aspect of the matter and composed a verse above the ordinary; especially Kikaku’s is brilliant and strong. However, not following the conventional mode, just for this evening I will make it,

The old pond;

All were struck with profound awe. In this verse, the Eye of Haiku is fully opened. It moves Heaven and Earth and all the Gods and Demons therein to admiration. This is indeed the way of Shikishima, equal to the creation of a Buddha. The Dharani of Hitomaru, 訴佛來, Saigyo’s Praising the Coming of the Buddha, are contained in these seventeen syllables.

Somehow or other I do not care for all this. Haiku and the practice of Zen should be kept apart, in my opinion. Zen as an exercise leading towards enlightenment is a matter of life and death for the soul. Haiku is concerned only with life. It is the flower of living. It is true enough that in Zen
we endeavour to see the world as a poet sees a flowering tree, but to get into that state needs violent exertions, extremely unpoetical spiritual convulsions, quite different from that

emotion recollected in tranquility,
which is the inflow and outflow of object and mind in moments of poetic insight. A better example of the relation between Zen and haiku is the following. When Sotóba, 蘇東坡, the great Chinese poet of the Sung dynasty, was studying the problem of Insentient Preaching, 無情說法, at Ryukójí Temple, 龍興寺, in Rozan, 蘇山, under Jóchó, 常聽, leaving the temple at dawn, he suddenly became enlightened and expressed his realization in the following well-known verse;

溪聲便是廣長舌，山色豈非清淨身。夜來八萬四千偈，他日如何示人。
The mountain torrent is the broad, long tongue of Buddha; The colours of the mountains,—are they not His Pure Body?
All night,—eighty four thousand Buddhist verses, But in after days, how shall I show this to others?

This is also the problem of the poet, to convey something of what he has seen, to convey rather, the power of seeing, the creative life that forms the essential part of what appears in words and rhyme and rhythm.

We have this same identity of poet and saint in the verse of Sotóba in which he describes his experience of the mountains of Ro, 蘇：

盧山細雨淅江潮，不到千般恨未消，到得歸來無別事，盧山細雨淅江潮。
Misty rain on Mount Ro, the incoming tide at Sekkō,—
Before you have been there, you have many regrets;
When you have been there and come back,
It is just simply misty rain on Mt. Ro, the incoming tide at Sekkō.

This experience of Sotōba, the man, is deeper than a reader would suppose, but so is that of Sotōba the poet. The difference between the two is that the poetic experience may be, and usually is, confined to that area of the mind concerned with the particular things, whereas that of the illuminated man spreads itself willy-nilly throughout the whole personality, the whole activity. In Sotōba we have the combination of man and poet in one, and from either point of view, his total experience may be surmised. The peaks seen through the smoke-like rain,—these the poet perceives as the manifest deity; the swelling water of the creek is God himself, but only if immediately so perceived; when there is a hair's breadth of separation between them, between the thing and God, between himself and God, we have an intellectual cleavage which no passion can join again. When we think this is that, it is not so, and no intensity of thought will make it so, because it is as a result of our immediacy of perception that it is so. Further, when, this thusness of things is perceived, it is with ecstasy, but as soon as it has become a daily experience, and lost its first shock of surprise, that newly-created world becomes the every-day world that we live in, but this world is not that of the matter-of-fact, un-poetical, unillumined man devoid of religion or poetry. This is what Sotōba means when he says it is nothing special,
nothing out of the ordinary, these misty mountains and surging waves. People who live in Heaven, those who have a desireless peace, who look at things without reference to the profit and loss to themselves, such people find their lives quite ordinary and unexciting.

For the reader, every haiku is a kōan, a question in Zen, an open door that looks shut, leading into—? Into nothing and nowhere, for the door is what it leads into and what it leads out of. It is not even different from him who passes through it, him who has no real existence whatever. Everything that confronts us is a kōan, an examination which we duly fail in or pass, things of the past, present, and future, things near and things far away, real and unreal, abstract and concrete. And all these things are in themselves poems like those dried up artificial water-flowers, 水造花, which open when they are plunged into the water of the mind. We give things their life, they give us our life.

We must be neither the slaves nor the masters of things. Today is New Year's Day, and when we go out to the well in the early morning and see the rays of the sun glistening on the water as we pour it into the bucket, we say:

汲上の水に春たつ光りかな 林外
In the water I draw up,
Glitters the beginning
Of spring. Ringai

But

Every day is a Good Day, 日々是好日,
or as the poet expresses it:

やつと来た元旦も只ひと日哉 焚朗
This New Year’s Day
That has come at last,—
It is just a day.

Hôrô

The light in the water is no different from that of any other morning. We wobble between the feeling that it is specially bright and meaningful, and the knowledge that it is not. Hold fast to both; do not divide what is given from what we give. Everything is as it is, but everything is wonderful. All is law, but we are free. On the one hand, things are what they are:

The sea was wet as wet could be,
The sands were dry as dry.
You could not see a cloud, because
No cloud was in the sky:
No birds were flying overhead—
There were no birds to fly.

On the other hand, nothing is as it seems to be, all is wildly improbable and contradictory. We feel our deep spiritual kinship with the White Knight:

He said, “I hunt for haddocks’ eyes
Among the heather bright,
And work them into waist-coat buttons
In the silent night.

I sometimes dig for buttered rolls,
Or set limed twigs for crabs,
I sometimes search the grassy knolls
For wheels of Hansom-cabs.”

We feel with Puck,

And those things do best please me
That befall preposterously.
That is to say, things are unpredictable, unique, lawless. Yet things are simply what they are, of no ulterior meaning. Things are infinite in significance; but also, they are disappointing, they are finite and limited. But at bottom, at the ground of our existence we ask for nothing, not even that things should be as they are. For all our desiring and loathing, our deepest instinct is:

**New Year's Day;**

The hut just as it is,
Nothing to ask for.

Moments of vision come when least expected, unbidden, and in most men, pass into oblivion, unnoticed and unremembered:

Sometimes, when the soul least thinks of it, and when it least desires it, God touches it divinely, causing certain recollections of Himself. Sometimes, too, the divine touches are sudden, occurring even while the soul is occupied with something else, and that occasionally of trifling moment.

**The scissors hesitate**

Before the white chrysanthemums,
A moment.

**Ah, grief and sadness!**

The fishing-line trembles
In the autumn breeze.

This seeing into the life of things may come from the slightest of physical causes, for example, a mere touch, a faint sensation of warmth and resilience:
She paused, as if thinking, while her hand rested on the horse's sun-arched neck. Dimly, in her weary, young-woman's soul, an ancient understanding seemed to flood in.

The old man
Hoeing the field,
Has his hat on crooked. Kitō

How heavy the rain
On the kasa² stolen
From the scarecrow. Kyoshi

It comes from some primitive realm of sound, that calls us back to something we have lost, some recollections that have intimations of immortality in them:

When he reared his head and neighed from his deep chest, like deep wind-bells resounding, she seemed to hear the echoes of another, darker, more spacious, more dangerous, more splendid world than ours, that was beyond her, and there she wanted to go.

The English poet says this, but all this is taken for granted in the haiku:

Night deepens,
And sleep in the villages;
Sounds of falling water. Buson

To hear these overtones of meaning, not only selflessness but

¹ St. Maur.
² An umbrella-like hat.
extreme sensitivity is required. In truth it means

On the torture of the minde to lye
In restlesse extasie.¹

This is the condition of the extravert; the introvert expresses it with more moderation;

Silent unobtrusive sympathies
And gentle agitations of the mind
From manifold distinctions, differences
Perceived in things, where to the unwatchful eye
No difference is.²

An example of the Japanese poets’ extreme delicacy of perception:

白菊の高う見えけり朝ぼらけ   野 泉

The white chrysanthemums
Seem higher than they are.
In the morning twilight.   Yasen

What most people do not realise is that poetry like religion and morality is a continuous thing; this poetic sensitivity never ceases as long as life lasts. Emerson says,

We pass for what we are. Character teaches above our wills. Men imagine that they communicate their virtue or their vice only by overt actions, and do not see that virtue or vice emits a breath every moment.

The one thing that a haiku poet is instinctively and consciously on his guard against is “explanatory” poetry. What Spengler says of himself as a historian might be taken as a manifesto of the haiku poet, the Way of Haiku:

¹ Macbeth, 3, 2.
² Prelude, I, 400.
We are sceptics in regard to any and every mode of thought which "explains" things causally. We let things speak for themselves, and confine ourselves to sensing the Destiny immanent in them and contemplating the form of manifestations we shall never penetrate. The extreme to which we can attain is the discovery of causeless, purposeless, purely existent forms underlying the changeful picture of nature.¹

The haiku poet not only makes no effort to understand anything he hears and sees, he sternly checks the fatal tendency for the intellect to pass judgement on the wholeness of things.

Matto è chi spera che nostra ragione
Possa trascorrer la infinita via
Che tiene una sustanza in tre persone.
State contenti, umana gente al quia.¹

Mad is he who hopes that our intellect
Can compass the infinite way
Which three persons in one substance hold;
Be content, O human kind, that It is so.

おのづから頭が下るなり神路山 一 萩
Kamiji Yama:
My head bent
Of itself. 　　Issa

Mount Kamiji is the hill consecrated in the Inner Precincts of the Shrine of Ise. Issa no doubt believed that this place

¹ Group of the Higher Cultures, 3.
² *Purgatorio*, 3, 34-37. Goethe versifies this:
Wie? Warum? Und Wo?
Die Götter bleiben stumm!—
Du halte dich an's Weil,
Und frage nicht Warum.
was intrinsically sacred, but for poets, any place that is felt to be, or has been felt to be a holy spot, is also sacred to them, for it is thinking that makes it so, the "thinking" of mankind yet done for them by the poets.

The aim of haiku is to bestow on things the poetic life which already they possess in their own right. As with moral conduct, the material is indifferent; any time, any place, any thing will do.

What matters whether such stuff be of this sort or that, so the form thou give it be heroic, be poetic?¹

This poetry of things is not something superimposed on them, but brought out of them as the sun and rain bring the tender leaf out of the hard buds. There is a poetry independent of rhyme and rhythm, of onomatopoeia and poetic brevity, of cadence and parallelism, of all form whatsoever. It is wordless and thoughtless even when expressed in words and notions, and lives a life separate from that of so-called poetry. It is the seeing we do when a white butterfly flutters by us down the valley, never to return:

Behold, I make all things new.

But not only the beautiful things of life, not even the beauty of beautiful things, but their significance, the part they play in the whole, their flowing activity, whether of lightning or of seemingly eternal rocks, are to be the subjects of haiku. Marcus Aurelius says,

So too the ears of corn bending towards their mother earth, the shaggy eyebrows of the lion,

¹ Sartor Resartus.
the foam dripping from the jaws of the boar, and objects innumerable of the same type, considered by themselves are far enough removed from beauty, but being sequels to the operations of nature, serve to deck her out, and gladden the heart of the onlooker.

The progress of poetry must be in two extreme directions, widening its scope into the remote, and into the near; into the infinite, and into the finite.

江月照松風
The moon in the creek shines upon the breeze in the pine-trees.

This requires a certain Shelleyan vagueness of vision to comprehend it in its really concrete sense.

白馬入蘆花
The white horse enters the white flowers of the reeds.

Whiteness always leads us towards the absolute.

草むらや名も知らぬ花の白き咲
Among the grasses,
A flower blooms white,
Its name unknown.

On the other hand, poetry must give speech to the most inarticulate things:

Hal. Via Goodman Dull; thou hast spoken no word all this while.
Dull. Nor understood none neither, sir.
Hal. Allons, we will employ thee.
Dull. I'll make one in a dance or so, or I will play on
the tabor to the worthies, and let them dance the hay.

Hal. Most dull, honest Dull, to our sport away.

In his short stories, O. Henry, and still further Ring Lardner,¹ have rendered the inarticulate individuals that make up the "many-headed multitude" as expressive of their inner life as Hamlet or Macbeth. However, the endeavour to widen the scope of haiku has often been made, not so much from any consciously-felt need to include all things, even the most recalcitrant, beneath the sway of poetry, or the desire to see everything in time sub specie aeternitatis, but because poets grew weary of saying the same thing about the same limited range of subjects. One way of reviving poetry is to widen the relations of the subject. In a letter to his pupil Kitó, Buson says that in regard to plum-blossoms, poets have exhausted their conventional aspects, and fresh efforts must be made to get new meanings from them. He then quotes his own verses to show what he means by the old and the newer style of poetic thinking.

Poems of the ordinary way of thinking (よのつねにおもひる句):

かはぼりのふためき飛ぶや梅の月
The bat flits and flutters
In the moon
Over the plum-blossoms.

梅散るや螺鈿とぼろと卓の上
The plum-blossoms falling,
Mother of pearl
Is spilt on the table.

¹ Died 1933.
Poems seeking a different approach (別に趣向をもとむる句):

しら梅や北野の茶店にすまひ取
White plum-blossoms;
In a tea-house of Kitano,
A wrestler.

梅咲て帯買ふ室の遊女かな
Courtezans
Buying sashes in their room,
Plum-blossoms blooming.

The latter two verses bring man and nature together.

大門の重き扉や春の暮
How heavy
The doors of the Great Gate,—
An evening of spring. Buson

What is the relation between the end of spring and the heaviness of the door? The cherry-blossoms are falling; leaves are filling the skies that now are darkening. The ponderous, iron-studded doors that must be dragged along the ground to open and shut them, have left ruts in their track. The whole world is brooding and heavy with the coming of summer.

We may take an example of the same kind, secretly harmonious things brought together:

帘屋が入った門は柳かな
By the gate
The fishmonger entered,
A willow tree. Roka Shônin
A pair of verses by Shiki may be put side by side to illustrate two kinds of harmony:

雲の峰白帆に群がれり
   Billowing clouds;
   White sails
   Crowding in the south.

雲の峰睨に蟻の上りけり
   Billowing clouds;
   An ant climbs
   Onto the ink-stone.

We can say that there are three kinds of haiku, just as there are three ways of combining the obi (or sash) with the kimono. First, concord of colour or feeling or form. Second, discord and contrast of colour or sentiment. These two are illustrated by Shiki’s verses above. The third is quite inexplicable yet unmistakable, an inner, secret harmony which intuition creates, and recreates in appreciation. For example, by Buson:

菜の花や鯨もよらず海暮れぬ
   Flowers of rape;
   No whale approaches,
   The sea darkens.

What we call “harmony” as the object of the poetic and the religious life, the man of broad and deep culture calls “uniformities”:

Who amongst the historians realises that between the Differential Calculus and the dynastic principle of politics in the age of Louis XIV, between the Classical city state and the Euclidean
geometry, between the space perspective of western oil-painting and the conquest of space by railroad, telephone and long-range weapons, between contrapuntal music and credit economics, there are deep uniformities?1

The spirit of haiku is one which seeks to perceive clearly and deeply such uniformities wherever they may be found, and they are found everywhere. We may say, then, that the aim of haiku is to

assert Eternal Providence,
And justify the ways of God to man.

Below are given some examples of concord:

牛部屋の牛のうなりや醜月
A cow is lowing
In the cow-shed,
Under the hazy moon. Shiki

蝉近くやつくづく赤い風車
A cicada is chirping:
The toy wind-mill
Is bright red. Issa

遠山の目玉にうつる蜻蛉かな
Reflected
In the eye of the dragon-fly,
The distant hills. Issa

1 The Decline of the West, Introduction.
HAIKU

雉子立って人驚かす枯野かな
A pheasant flew up
And startled us,
Over the withered moor.  
Issa

六道の辻に立ちけり枯野かな
Standing at the cross-roads
Of the Six Ways,
The withered moor!  
Issa

豊年の聲を挙げけり門の蝇
The flies at the gate
Raise the sound
Of a fruitful year.  
Issa

脊の低き馬に乗る日の霞かな
One day
Riding on a short-legged horse,
In the haze.  
Buson

山寺や撚きぞとならびの鐘震む
A mountain temple;
The sound of the bell struck fumblingly,
Vanishing in the haze.  
Buson

低き木に鸚呪くや姿さがり
Noon is past;
The *uguisu*
Sings on a low tree.  
Buson

風鈴の吸りつけ葦をそよぎけり
The wind-bells ringing,
While the leeks
Sway.  
Shosei

1 The Six Ways are the six conditions of sentient life, hell, that of the hungry ghosts, animals, nature spirits, human beings, gods.
Examples of Discord or Contrast are various, but in all, the collision of thoughts or images supplies the stimulus by which the mind is encouraged to make the effort to overcome the difficulty of uniting what God has put asunder.

The quarrel
In the ale-house,
Revived by the hazy moon.  Shiki

The summer moon.
In the market place,
The smell of something or other,—
The summer moon.  Bonchô

1 The Dharma-flower, i.e. the Lotus Sutra.
The loves of the cats;
When it was over, the hazy moon
Over the bed-chamber.

Over my legs,
Stretched out at ease,
The billowing clouds.

The uguisu
In the bamboo-sprout thicket,
Sings of old age.

By the ruined mansion,
Fowls roaming
Among the hibiscus.

Round the small house
Struck by lightning,
Melon-flowers.

The third kind of haiku is that in which the concord and discord are hidden from our eyes, yet the mind perceives them the more; no examples are given here, for they form the greater part of the verses of succeeding volumes.
HAIKU IN ENGLISH POETRY

In *Zen in English Literature* examples were given from the whole range of English literature of the spirit of Zen which infuses it. Wherever there is the spirit of haiku, there is Zen, but the reverse is not true, for Zen may be diffused throughout long passages, or on the other hand, be contained in a single word or phrase that in its brevity of utterance and length of context transcends the power of the seventeen syllables of haiku.

Proverbs, in poetry certain phrases, in prose a stray sentence here and there,—these correspond to haiku in the sense of being the peaks of poetic feeling and insight. Coleridge says in *Biographia Litteraria*,

A Poem of any length neither can be, nor ought to be, all poetry.

Pater, in his essay on *Wordsworth*, after pointing out the necessity of a selection of the poems of Wordsworth, speaks of his many prosaic poems, which yet contain

the few perfect lines, the phrase, the single word, perhaps,

which represents the moment of enthusiasm, of divine possession. Besides the question of length, there is that of aim, and we find something about a great deal of English poetry that is faintly repulsive to a delicate feeling. Especially where there is a striving after effect, the exquisite epithet, the bowel-stirring last line, we feel a desire to give up all literature and return to things themselves, things which never say more
than they are, which are never understatement with an ulterior motive.

Only we'll sit upon the dasied grass,
And hear the larks and see the swallows pass;
Only we'll live awhile, as children play,
Without tomorrow, without yesterday.¹

Haiku are not these peaks of strenuous poetic effort; they are not the cream of a western verse. There is something spontaneous, effortless, something even flat about them; but this flatness also comes unsought for. It is emotion recollected in moments of tranquillity—this is what is overlooked by so many poets. This tranquillity of the poet is an essential element, for it corresponds to the tranquillity, the point of rest, of all living things. The haiku poet also remembers

The beauty of fire from the beauty of embers.²

The following are haiku taken from various forms of literature. There has been no change in the words or their order; only a three-line division has been made to approximate it to a haiku form. For many of them, the ascription of season is quite arbitrary, or in accordance with the mood of the verse. It does not follow that these, if translated into Japanese verse, would all be acceptable by the standards of haiku; much would be omitted as redundant and unnecessary, as too intellectual or abstract. The origins are given in Appendix 3.

¹ A. Mary P. Robinson, *Let us Forget*.
² Masefield, *On Growing Old*. 
SPRING

The sun shines warm,
And the babe leaps up
On his Mother's arm.

The budding twigs
Spread out their leafy fan,
To catch the breezy air.

The Lark

All nature listens to him,
And the awful sun
Stands still upon the mountain.

The lark begins his flight,
And singing, startles the dull night
From his watch-tower in the skies.

Thou dost float and run
Like an unbodied joy,
Whose race is just begun.

The lark now leaves her wat'ry nest,
And climbing,
Shakes her dewy wings.

The lark at break of day arising
From sullen earth,
Sings hymns at heaven's gate.

The lark's shrill fife
May come
From the fallow.

In the broad daylight
Thou art unseen,
But yet I hear thy shrill delight.
The Cock

On his toos he rometh up and
down;
Hym deyned not
To sette his foot to grounde.

Father of lights!
What sunnie seed, what glance of day
Hast thou confined into this bird!

The cock with lively din,
Scatters the rear
Of darkness thin.

Butterflies will make side-leaps,
As though escaped from Nature's hand
Ere perfect quite.

A young beech tree
On the edge of the forest
Stands still in the evening.

A lonely pool,
And let a tree
Sigh with her bosom over me.

Loveliest of trees,
The cherry now is hung with bloom
Along the bough,

My heart leaps up
When I behold
A rainbow in the sky.

I will touch
A hundred flowers,
And not pick one,
The pliant harebell,  
Swinging in the breeze  
On some gray rock.

Tender blue-bells,  
At whose birth  
The sod scarce heaved.

Daffodils,  
With the green world  
They live in.

The cattle are grazing,  
Their heads never raising,  
There are forty feeding like one.

As words of air,  
Life makes of starry earth,  
Sweet soul-delighted faces.

Whither,  
O splendid ship,  
Thy white sails crowding?

In him was life,  
And the life  
Was the life of men.

Three Men of Gotham;  
Whither in your bowl so free?  
To rake the moon from out the sea.

My heart was full;  
I made no vows,  
But vows were made for me.
SUMMER

We passed in silence,
And the lake
Was left without a name.

Soft sunshine,
And the sound of old forests
Echoing around.

The blue noon is over us,
And the multitudinous billows
Murmur at our feet.

The top of the hill,
Where the sky grows wide,
And where the sun grows red.

In the meadows and the lower grounds,
Was all the sweetness
Of a common dawn.

That uncertain heaven
Received into the bosom
Of the steady lake.

You fade—
As if the last of days were fading,
And all wars were done.

The tinkle of the thirsty rill,
Unheard all day,
Ascends again.

These as they change,
Almighty Father!
These are but the varied God.
Give me the splendid silent sun,
With all his beams
Full-dazzling.

The gods are happy;
They turn on all sides
Their shining eyes.

To bend once more
Upon the mountains high
The quiet of a loving eye.

Love me still but know not why,—
So hast thou the same reason still
To dote upon me ever.

Annihilating all that’s made,
To a green thought
In a green shade.

A rainbow and a cuckoo, Lord!
How great and rich
The times are now.

O cuckoo!
Shall I call thee bird,
Or but a wandering voice?

Over his own sweet voice
The stock-dove
Broods.

The weak-eyed bat,
With short shrill shriek,
Flits by on leathern wing.

O happy living things!
No tongue
Their beauty might declare.
The bittern
Sounds his drum, booming
From the sedgy shallow.

Some sang high,
And some sang low,
Yet all their song made one accord.

Let my deep silence speak for me
More than for them
Their sweetest notes.

How can ye chant,
Ye little birds,
And I sae weary, fu' o' care!

Up the tall mast
Runs
The woodpecker.

The busy woodpecker
Made stiller with her sound
The inviolable quietness.

Some bird from out the brakes
Starts into voice a moment,
Then is still.

I like the pheasants
And feeding things
Of the unsuspicious morn.

The meadows
Were drinking at their leisure;
The frogs sat meditating.

Far in the stillness,
A cat
Languishes loudly.
HAiku AND POETRY

The summer's flower
Is to the summer sweet,
Though to itself it only live and die.

I could sit down here
Alone,
And count the oak-trees one by one.

Every leaf and every flower,
Pearled
With the self-same shower.

In shades the orange bright,
Like golden lamps
In a green night.

A violet
By a mossy stone,
Half-hidden from the eye.

AUTUMN

The moon doth with delight
Look round her
When the heavens are bare.

The moonlight steeped
In silentness
The steady weathercock.

The innocent moon,
That nothing does
But shine.

By the sea,
Under the yellow
And sagging moon.
Greatly shining,
The autumn moon
Floats in the thin sky.

The deep burnished foliage overhead
Splintered
The silver arrows of the moon.

The long day wanes;
The slow moon climbs;
The deep moans round with many voices.

With how sad steps,
O moon,
Thou clim’st the skies!

Alone the sun rises,
And alone
Spring the great streams.

Coldly,
Sadly descends
The autumn evening.

Over the old wooden bridge
No traveller
Crossed.

Twilight was dimming the day;
Another night
For the living and the dead.

Look thy last
On all things lovely,
Every hour.

The railroad bridge
Is a sad song
In de air.
Plate 23

A Wren

Hakuin
HAiku AND POETRY

I loved him not,
And yet now he is gone,
I feel that I am alone.

You are too young to fall asleep for ever,
And when you sleep,
You remind me of the dead.

The light extinguished of her lonely hut,
The hut itself abandoned to decay,
And she forgotten in the quiet grave.

The heavy elms wait,
And restless and cold,
The uneasy wind rises.

The Waterfowl

The desert and illimitable air—
Lone wandering,
But not lost.

WINTER

The frozen wind
Crept on above,
The freezing stream below.

The immeasurable height
Of woods decaying,
Never to be decayed.

Ivy serpentine,
With its dark buds and leaves,
Wandering astray.
The one blasted tree,
And the bleak music
From that old stone wall.

The most ancient heavens
Through thee
Are fresh and strong.

Turn to the old;
Things do not change,
We change.

I warmed both hands before the fire of life;
It sinks,
And I am ready to depart.

It was because
You did not weep,
I wept for you.

Strange power!
I trust thy might;
Trust thou my constancy.

I see around me here,
Things
You cannot see.

The old men know
When an old man
Dies.

And then the clock collected in the tower
Its strength,
And struck.

Come, come, the bells do cry;
I am sick, I must die,
Lord, have mercy upon us!
Minute by minute,
The clock
Ticks to the heart.
A distant engine whistles,
Or the floor
Creaks.
The wandering night-wind
Bangs
A door.
The large and gentle furniture has stood
In sympathetic silence
All the day.
So let the boat carry me;
Tomorrow
Is another day.

Haiku, or something like them, may be found scattered throughout English prose, perhaps more frequently than in poetry, where the tension and intention are so much greater. Here follow some examples from Thoreau’s *A Week on the Concord and Merrimack Rivers*. Less known than *Walden*, it reminds one of the short pieces of poetic writing by haiku poets, known as *haibun*.

We see men haying far in the meadow, their heads waving like the grass they cut. In the distance, the wind seemed to bend all alike.

As the night stole over, such a freshness was wafted across the meadow that every blade of cut grass seemed to teem with life.

All day fireflies husbanded their light under the grass and leaves against the night.
The barking of the house-dogs, from the loudest and hoarsest bark, to the faintest aerial palpitation under the eaves of heaven.

Various species of brake, whose downy stems stood closely grouped and ranked as in a vase, while their heads spread several feet on either side.

The stillness was intense and almost conscious, as if it were a natural sabbath.

Our thoughts too begin to rustle.

The storks, bound to some northern meadow, held on their stately, stationary flight.
POETRY

Poetry is one of the four "ways," or values, religious, moral, aesthetic and intellectual. Every man walks to some extent upon all of them, though predominantly upon one, but it often happens that a man supposes himself to be proceeding along one when in his secret heart he walks another. Many a tragedy comes from this mistake. The problem then is not which of the four is the best, but which of them is the way upon which most men walk in fact, whatever they may suppose and assert of themselves.

Of all of them, the way of beauty, the way of poetry seems at first sight to be the least common. It must be remembered, however, that biologically speaking it is by far the oldest. Ages before the idea of a universe entered the mind of man, long before his lips had formed the words to think with, when his relations even to his mates and offspring were almost entirely selfish, already form, colour, and sound set him atremble with their significance to him. In Darwinian language, sexual selection was already at work.

Again, in the way of beauty alone, there is little hypocrisy, and no self-deceiving. As for the moral life, the Stoics and the Christians have told us what to do, but we pretend not to understand what they say; we allegorize it, or say that it is out-dated. Their commands are clear and unequivocal:

Sell all thou hast and give to the poor.

A good man; one who accepts with cheerfulness
his lot in the sum of things, and deems it bliss enough if his own deeds be just and his nature kindly.¹

Love mankind, and follow in God's footsteps.²

Our religion and intellectual problems, though really distinct, are confused in a reciprocal way. In our youth we are given so-called religious, but actually intellectual problems, that of their nature have no religious answers. Who made the universe? Are our souls immortal? What is the nature of evil? How could God become a man? As we grow older, we get the answers to questions that can never be asked. The mystery that rises and falls in waves within and without us, our individual-universal life, our spaceless and timeless existence in time and space, these are realized more or less by every one of us, but since we can never express them in words, (we do indirectly, in music and pottery, for example) our unspoken answers lack questions, our self-imposed questions lack answers, and we struggle in double confusion of mind and spirit.

The way of beauty, the way of poetry, Wordsworth said, is nothing tangible or definable; it is the expression on the face of mankind searching for truth. Poetry is that excess, that over-abundance which makes morality bearable and virtue alive:

Whoso shall compel thee to go one mile, go with him twain.

It is what makes true the untruth of that which was said by a man far away and long ago:

¹ Marcus Aurelius, IV, 25.
² Marcus Aurelius, VII, 31.
Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest.

It makes true the untruth of that which was said of what is above space or being,

Our Father which art in heaven.

Poetry is a saiheirei, a kowtow so deep and complete that there is no one bowing and nothing bowed to, a state that is nearly attained to in the following famous waka of Saigyō:

何事のおはしすかは知らねども
 katajike nasu ni mizu haboru

What it is
I know not;
But with the gratitude,
My tears fall.

It is a feeling of our separateness, of our unity, our identity with the Godhead. We are both God and not God; sometimes we feel the one, sometimes the other. On the one hand,

All creatures are God in God.¹

On the other hand,

No created essence can become one with God's Essence and pass away from its own substance.²

Poetry is thus not only the spirit of wonder but is that self-sufficient state beyond it.

¹ Suso, The Book of Truth.
² Adornment of the Spiritual Marriage.
Poetry is sympathy.

Whoever walks a furlong without sympathy,
walks to his own funeral, drest in his shroud.

What Whitman says here in his vast, world-embracing manner, repeats what Saigyō felt about the cherry-blossoms of Mt. Yoshino:

身を分けて見ぬ枯らなくつくさばや
萬の山の花の盛りを

Could I but divide myself up,
And see every spray
On the countless hills
Of flowers abloom!

There is another of Saigyō’s waka where the sympathy is so deep that the poet becomes himself the old tree:

わきて見む老木は花もあはれなり
今幾度か春に逢ふべき

Looking above all on this old tree,
The flowers also are full of pathos:
How many more times
Are they to greet the spring?

Poetry is a return to nature: to our own nature, to that of each thing, and to that of all things. Of these three, the first is the most difficult to modern man. To go back to the unreasoning, superstitious past, to feel as an animal or plant feels, to become unselfconscious and selfless—this needs a strong will, imagination, a delicate and sensitive organism. In the following verse by Bashō, the poetic life is in a strange realm:
In my old home,

Weeping over the umbilical cord;¹

At the end of the year.

The love and gratitude to his mother goes back to ages when all the parts of a man's body, hair, skin and even faeces were seen to be as he, as the body of impermanence that stands before us. Just as a so-called savage thinks that burning an enemy's finger-nails will cause him pain, so Bashô grieves over the physical cord that once bound him to his mother and feels virtue to flow through it to him as it did long ago.

Poetry is interpenetration. Marcus Aurelius says:

All things are interwoven each with other;

the tie is sacred, and nothing, or next to nothing is alien to aught else.

"The tie is sacred." Poetry is that tie, poetry in the sense of the life of its poet. He it is and he alone that creates the world out of chaos and it is his life in things that binds them together. Marcus Aurelius again:

All things that participate in a common element hasten to rejoin their kin...... In proportion to its superiority over the rest is its readiness to mingle with whatever comes of a common stock and to be fused with it.

The intellectual element in this passage keeps the life cold and dormant. In the following passage, from Willa

¹ Japanese people still preserve their children's umbilical cord. Bashô is speaking of his own, that his mother, now dead, had preserved.
Cather's *My Antonia*, the rational function is absorbed into the physical experience;

The earth was warm under me, and warm as I crumbled it through my fingers. Free little red bugs came out and moved in slow squadrons around me. Their backs were polished vermilion, with black spots. I kept as still as I could. Nothing happened. I did not expect anything to happen. I was something that lay under the sun and felt it, like the pumpkins, and I did not want to be anything more. I was entirely happy. Perhaps we feel like that when we die and become part of something entire, whether it is sun and air or goodness and knowledge. At any rate, that is happiness, to be dissolved into something complete and great. When it comes to one, it comes as naturally as sleep.

Poetry is not the words written in a book, but the mode of activity of the mind of the poet. In the same way, the scriptures are not dead thoughts fossilized in writing, but the working of the Buddha-mind, the Buddha nature. Ikkyu says:

Something merely expressed in words or written in letters, cannot be called *Shingyō*;¹ it is something pointing to one's mind. What is written in words is a *Hannya*² in words. We seek for a sutra of words outside ourselves, and this is the height of folly, a rejection of the wisdom of *Hannya*. Each thought is the Hannya Sutra.

¹ 心經, Heart Sutra, that is Hannya Shingyō.
² 精進, Prajna, Wisdom.
Poetry is beauty. However much we may free ourselves from all things, some of them have a charm over and beyond their purely poetical significance,—in other words, some things are so poetical in the ordinary, rather shallower sense of the word, that they drag us, resist as we may, to heaven. So Saigyó says, thinking of his priestly vows of renunciation:

花に染む心のいかで渇りけむ
捨ててはてゝきと思ふ我が身に

With all renounced as I had thought,
There still remains a heart
Dyed with the flowers.

Poetry is Zen. It is our living. When we are really alive, when we are really seeing, when the thing seen sees itself with our eyes, sees itself in the mirror of our minds, whatever comes before it, vice or virtue, beauty or ugliness, glory or squalour, all has that meaning which is a no-meaning, for it can never be expressed but only experienced. Haiku is poetry, but there is poetry that is not haiku. Haiku is concerned with the ordinary, the everyday. It has nothing to do with exceptional things, evenings of extraordinary magnificence and splendour. It turns inwards, towards the infinitely small and subtle, not to the vast and sublime. It does not seek movement, but the movement that is in rest, the rest that is in movement.
The old pond;
A frog jumps in,—
The sound of the water.

This was seen at once to epitomise the aim of haiku. There is the movement of the frog and the disturbance of the water, but the point is in something that the sound of the water conveys, the silence that is behind it and within it. Moreover, it is not the sound of a million frogs, but of one only, although all the frogs, all the creatures of the world, all the world itself is contained in this one frog. The vastness of the Indian imagination has shrunk to a small particle, a grain of sand, but has gained, and not lost, in profundity and breadth of spiritual significance.

Poetry, or rather, haiku is a perception and expression of unity, even though it is at its highest, particular, concrete; an enumeration of differences, the slightest of infinite importance. The differences must be expressed directly, with no vagueness or ambiguity. The unity, on the other hand, must never be expressed: it must be overheard, seen in a glass darkly, felt like a breath of wandering air. So it is well said,

此の一一致を観じて後に多くの不一致を観ず、是詩人なり
The unity is to be seen: afterwards, all the differences. This is the function of a poet.¹

The plum blossoms and the peach blossoms are equally and indifferently the spring in its most intense manifestation. But the plum blossoms and the peach blossoms are entirely different from each other in every respect, and these differences

¹ 北村透谷。
cannot be too strongly emphasized. The identity of the two, however, can be revealed only by silence,

with a look sidelong and half-reverted.¹

Let us conclude this section with a statement of the nature and function of poetry from the haiku point of view, and a short account of “sabi”.

When a No actor goes about the stage or a Tea-man comes in and out of the room, there are two qualities of his walking that belong to the deepest thought of Mahayana Buddhism. First, he is unconscious of his walking, he walks like a child or an animal. Second, he walks as if he were not walking. There is movement, but its activity is that of perfect repose. The nature of poetry also includes in itself a double function. It expresses what is, and what is not, the particular and the universal, the minute and the vague. Almost always we have in poetry one of these pairs (for the absolute also exists because of the relative), and the poet implies the other; the reader supplies the implicit. Time and space are respectively and mutually telescoped, but nevertheless, the specific time, the particular object retain all their meaning and value. The reader is to do again what the poet has done once for all, unite and fill out by his energy of mind these contradictory fragments of life. So in the haiku of Onitsura:

大耳音吹きにし松の風
The Great Morning:
Winds of long ago
Blow through the pine tree.

¹ The Old Cumberland Beggar.
On New Year’s Day, when we hear the wind in the pine trees, we hear the winds of a thousand years ago, all the winds that have ever blown or that will ever blow. And yet it is only this wind of this moment that sighs above us.

In religion we say, “unconsciously,”

God is love.

God is a person, but when he is equated to love, an abstraction, he is to some extent depersonalized. Love is a principle, but when we are told that God is love, it becomes personified. So Wordsworth says:

The moon doth with delight
Look round her when the heavens are bare.

If we take this as meaning that the poet is delighted at the moon in a cloudless sky, we are denying the existence of poetry. The moon is a dead world, and feels nothing; but it also feels grief and joy just as we do, and because we do.

Thoreau says in *A Week on the Concord and Merrimac Rivers,*

Over the old wooden bridge,
No traveller
Crossed.

This “no traveller” is very different from nothing at all. It is not that there is a bridge and nothing else. The bridge is crossed by this ghostly no-traveller. Shelley, in the first verse of the *Skylark,* says of it,

Bird thou never wert.

The skylark is a bird, but it is also not a bird. Hardy says of a man who was superlatively good at tree-planting,
He had the green hand.  
His hand was brown or red. In *Bliss*, Katherine Mansfield says of the tulips,

They seemed to lean upon the dusk.

"Seemed" is inserted because it is a short story,—but how can a flower lean on the darkness?

Very often in poetry or great literature of any kind, we are presented by the writer with one half, one aspect of the truth, and we are required to add, unconsciously, with our fluid and dynamic minds, the other half, the other aspect. For example, Macbeth says of life,

it is a tale
Told by an idiot, full of sound and fury,
Signifying nothing.

This is true; life is quite meaningless. It has no object, no purpose, no guiding principle. But it is equally true to say that it is deeply significant, brimming over with purpose, with meaning in the smallest incident. This latter truth is what we add, *unconsciously*, as we read it, and then our walking through life is as if we did not walk; from this comes the elation we feel instead of the dejection we are supposed to. It is common to explain this odd feeling of increase of power and life, by saying that we are inspired by the skill with which the pessimism is expressed. This is only a more superficial way of saying the same thing, namely, that when life is seen in the particular, it manifests the universal; the universal has meaning, has existence only when it blossoms forth in the particular, and it is the "energy" (that is, the "skill") which enables the poet and us to complete
the whole which alone can satisfy us. To recapitulate, our composition and appreciation of poetry (and indeed, all art and religion) is like the walking of the Tea man and the No actor,—it is unconscious, and it is a supplying of all that is unsaid, unpainted, uncarved, unlived, to what is given us in the outward and partial expression of poem or act. Haiku are the recording of experiences of what seem to be particular things and sensations, but which demand from us a universalization that nevertheless does not relinquish an atom of the uniqueness and differential of the thing. In order to achieve the complete fusion of example and law, creation and the appreciation must be instantaneous, in the sense that no rational elements, no logical thinking as such, is to interfere or come between us and the thing that is at one and the same time itself alone, and yet includes all other things. In the *Lankavatara Sutra* it says, in praise of the Buddha:

As thou reviewest the world with thy transcendental knowledge and compassion, it is to thee like an ethereal flower, of which one cannot say whether it is born or destroyed, as the category of being and non-being is inapplicable to it.

To the poet, the world is like this ethereal flower. The category of being and non-being does not apply,—and yet he asserts that this is, and that is not. The artist paints the bamboos black, because he knows they are not green.

*Even to the saucepan Where potatoes are boiling,— A moonlit night.*

Kyoroku
It is only when we realize that the moon is in the saucepan with the potatoes that we know the grandeur of the moon in highest heaven. It is only when we see a part that we know the whole.

Sabi is little written about among Bashô and his school, not because of its unimportance, but for precisely the opposite reason; we do not readily speak of what is all in all to us. In the Saga Nikki, 謳峨日記, Bashô says:

寂しさなくば憂からずしと西上人の詠み
侍るは寂しさをあるじなるべし。

“Where there is no sabishisa there will be sadness.” This is what Saigyô Hôshi says in a waka. Sabishisa, “loneliness,” must be Lord of All.

This sabishisa has some deep and (historically) distant connection with Nirvana, which is the state of freedom in which all things are seen as “empty,” as they really are. An example of Bashô’s sabi is the following:

秋深き際は何をする人ぞ
It is deep autumn:
My neighbour,—
How does he live?

In this verse, self is entirely gone, the self that still lingers in

この道やゆく人なしに秋のくれ
Along this road
Goes no one;
Autumn eve is falling.

From the Middle Ages there came to Bashô a certain conception of wabi or 華び expressed in waka, renga, and the Art of Tea, deriving ultimately from Zen and allied
forms of Buddhism. That is to say, the aim of waka may be said to be the same as that of haiku; the form alone, however, apart from the indistinctness and vagueness of the aim of the waka poets, made it impossible for them to attain to the sabi of Bashō and his followers. We look in vain through the Shinkokinshū for what we find in every verse of Oku no Hosomichi. Indeed there is more freshness and energy and freedom in the Manyōshū than in succeeding anthologies of waka. It lacks, however, depth; for instance, in an anonymous poem we have the sabishisa that was later to become sabi:

さとれ波たぎちて流る泊瀬川  
よるべなき礎のさきがさぶしさ
Rippling waves  
Flow seething down the River Tomase;  
Lonely is the shore  
Which they approach.

Then we come, for example, to the waka of Saigyō quoted before:

こいろなき身にもあはれはしられけり  
鳴立つ澤の秋の夕ぐれ
Even in the mind of the mind-less one  
Arises grief,  
When the snipe wings up  
From the marsh  
In the autumn evening.

We have here what is called 物の哀れ, mono no aware, lachrimae rerum. This for Bashō’s sabi is too explicit. What can be said, is not sabi. The renga poets added nothing to this mono no aware of the later waka poets, and have rather
less than they. This is true also of the predecessors of Bashō, Sōkan, Moritake, Teitoku, Sōin. They seem to be marking time or going backwards. No one could have told what an extraordinary thing was going to happen at the hands of Bashō and Onitsura, how that from this *mono no aware* would come sabi. It was the flowering in poetry of what had already appeared in renga (Sōgi), painting (Sesshū) the Art of Tea (Rikyū), Nó (Seami), and this occurred first in Bashō’s own life, his daily life from morning to night. Into it he put the meaning of things, their “loneliness”, their sabi.
According to the text, the author discusses the importance of proper preparation and execution in a particular context. The text is too fragmented to provide a coherent summary.
SECTION IV

THE FOUR GREAT HAIKU POETS
There are three great names in the history of haiku, Bashô, Buson and Issa; we may include a fourth, Shiki. Bashô is the religious man, Buson the artist, Issa the humanist. Bashô is concerned with God as he sees himself in the mind of the poet before flowers and fields. Buson deals with things as they exist by and for themselves, in their own right. Issa is concerned with man, man the weak angel; with birds and beasts as they struggle like us to make a living and keep their heads above water. If we do not begin with Bashô, our interpretation of haiku is bound to lack depth. The objectivity of Buson and the subjectivity of Issa both spring from the homely little man with long eyebrows and a bad digestion.

It is truer in Japanese poetry than in any other, that for the understanding of it we need to understand the poet. Itô Jinsai¹ said,

師は道のあるところ、師を崇むるは
すなはち道を崇むる所以なり

Where the teacher is, there is truth; respect for the teacher is respect for truth.

When therefore we come to Bashô, we do so because he is the Way, the Truth and the Life. Apart from human beings, there is no Buddha. Nevertheless, there is to be no imitation of Christ or any other person, no imitation of any teacher. In Bashô’s own words,

古人の跡を求めず。
古人の求めたところを求めてよ

¹ Itô Jinsai, 1627-1705, Confucianist scholar.
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Do not follow in the footsteps of the Ancients; seek what they sought.

As with Wordsworth, piety was the foundation of both Bashō’s character and of his literary work. To him more than to any other oriental poet do Gensei’s words apply:

忠孝を以て根抵となし、文学を以て
枝葉となさば詩も亦深遂なり

By making faithfulness and filial piety the fundamental, and giving literary work a secondary place, poetry is profound.

We may compare what Wordsworth says:

To be incapable of a feeling of poetry, in my sense of the word, is to be without love of human nature and reverence for God.

Bashō felt that life was not deep enough, not continuous enough, and he wanted to give every action, every moment the value that it potentially had. He wanted the little life we lead to be at the same time the greater life. Every flower was to be the spring, every pain a birth pang, every man a haiku poet, walking in the Way of Haiku.

It was the life of the little day, the life of little people. And the man who had died said to himself, “Unless we encompass it in the greater day, and set the little life in the circle of the greater life, all is disaster.”

What is this greater life, and how is the little life to be related to it? Or, to put the question in a more prosaic but

1 Gensei, 1623-96; priest and waka poet.
2 Lawrence, The Man Who Died.
more pertinent form, what is the social value of haiku? When we compare the life of Bashō especially, or of any other great haiku poet, with those of Wordsworth, Milton, Shelley, Keats, and so on, we are struck by one fact of seemingly little importance, that the Japanese haiku poets all had disciples; the English poets none. This is a matter of the greatest significance, for it is just here, in this religious attitude, that the little, prosaic life of little people may be set in the greater, the poetic life.

冬籬又寄係はんとの柱

Winter seclusion:
Once again I will lean against
This post.

Bashō

Here, and here only, is the little life set in the circle of the greater, the ordinary in the extraordinary, the commonplace in the miraculous, the material in the spiritual, the human in the divine. To sit on the floor and lean one’s back against a post may not seen the acme of comfort, but this is the pleasure Bashō is promising himself. During the winter, while the snow is silently falling, he will lean against the post as he did last year, reading and writing poetry, thinking

Thoughts that wander through eternity,
through our eternity, through the greater life. This post, rubbed smooth with countless vigils, black where his head rested against it, is all he asks for.

The Way of Haiku requires not only a Franciscan poverty, but this concentration of all the energies of mind and body, a perpetual sinking of oneself into things. Bashō tells us, and it is to be noted, we believe him:
All night gazing at the moon, and only this poor verse to show for it? But it must be remembered that Bashō was a teacher. And thus we too, when we look at the moon, look at it with the eyes of Bashō, those eyes that gazed at that moon and its reflection in the placid water of the lake. Buson says,


This sitting and looking at a flowering tree is not quite so simple and easy as it appears. Buson, besides being a poet, was an artist, and was expressing in silence and motionlessness the poetic and artistic meaning of this plum tree (for this is the meaning of "gazing").

One of Bashō's haiku which illustrates both this plain severity of life and his tender affection for his pupils is the following:


At Fukagawa, Bashō's disciples, especially Sampu, brought him all the necessities of life. He had in the house a large gourd which would hold five shō (1 shō = 3.18 pints = 1.8 litres).
The happiness of the New Year is the remembrance of the
fidelity and affection of his pupils, symbolized in the rice
remaining over from the year before. A similar verse is:

嵐雪が送り正月小袖を着て
誰やかも姿に似たり今朝の春

Putting on a silk garment that Ransetsu gave
me for the New Year

The first morning of spring.

I feel like

Someone else.

Literally, “Whom do I look like?” Bashō’s lack of affectation
is shown also in the following:

和角亀巣句
朝顔に我是食食ふ男か

Answering Kikaku’s poem about tude (smart-
weed) and the firefly.

I am one

Who eats his breakfast,

Gazing at the morning-glories.

This was Bashō’s reply to:

草の戸に我是夢くふ螺哉

A firefly;

I partake of the smart-weed,

In my hermitage. Kikaku

Kikaku means that, like the firefly, he prefers the night, and
has eccentric tastes, enjoying the bitter flavour of the smart-
weed that other people dislike. Bashō says that the true
poetic life is not here, but in eating one’s rice and pickles
for breakfast and gazing at whatever nature and the seasons bring us.

It would be just as hard to thing of Bashô living in affluence or as even moderately well-off, as it would to imagine St. Francis a rich man. Bashô lived a life very similar to that of Meg Merrilies:

No breakfast had she many a morn,
No dinner had she many a noon,
And 'stead of supper she would stare
Full hard against the moon.

Chora gives us a picture of Bashô,—how different from that of the average European poet:

旅姿時雨の鶴よ芭蕉翁
In travelling attire,
A stork in late autumn rain:
The old master Bashô.

The first poem in the Nozarashi Diary shows us Bashô's idea of the normal state of the poet, little different from that of the ascetic. The end proposed is not different from that ideal which Keats held up before himself, but the means are poles apart:

野ざらしを心に風のしむ身かな
Resigned to death by exposure,
How the wind
Cuts through me!

Prepared to die by the roadside, he sets out on his journey. Why did he not stop at home, if not in comfort, at least out of the wind and rain? For several reasons. Without contact with things, with cold and hunger, real poetry is impossible.
Further, Basho was a missionary spirit and knew that all over Japan were people capable of treading the Way of Haiku. But beyond this, just as with Christ, Basho’s heart was turned towards poverty and simplicity: it was his fate, his lot, his destiny as a poet.

The year-end fair:
I would like to go out and buy
Some incense-sticks.

The modesty of Basho’s desires is evident in this verse. Nothing could be cheaper, or more cheerless, by ordinary standards.

Basho’s sympathy with animate things did not arise from any theory of the unity of life, nor from an innate love of living things. It was strictly poetic, and for this reason we find it partial and limited, but sincere. It springs, as is seen in the individual cases where it is expressed, from a deep experience of a particular case. Basho was once returning from Ise, the home of the gods, to his native place of sad memories. Passing through the lonely forest, the cold rain pattering on the fallen leaves, he saw a small monkey sitting huddled on a bough, with that submissive pathos which human beings can hardly attain to. Animals alone possess it. He said:

First winter rain:
The monkey also seems
To want a small straw cloak.

He was preserved from any sentimentality about animals by
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the fact that his own life was full of discomfort, which he saw as inevitable, and, in a sense, desirable.

The gentleness of Bashó, (who was a samurai by birth) is a very special quality. We may perhaps compare him to Chaucer, of whom Thoreau says:

We are tempted to say that his genius was feminine, not masculine. It was such a femininity, however, as is rarest to find in woman, though not the appreciation of it; perhaps it is not to be found at all in woman, but is only the feminine in man.

Bashó was not a great poetical genius by birth. During the first forty years of his life he wrote no verse that could be called remarkable, or even good. Unlike his contemporary Onitsura, who was mature at twenty five, Bashó made his way into the deepest realm of poetry by sheer effort and study, study here meaning not mere learning, but a concentration on the spiritual meaning of the culture he had inherited in haikai. Indeed, we may say that few men have been so really cultured as Bashó was, with his understanding of Confucianism, Taoism, Chinese Poetry, Waka, Buddhism, Zen, Painting, the Art of Tea. In Oi no Kobumi, 瓢の小文, he writes:

Saigyo’s waka, Sogi’s renga, Sesshu’s painting, Rikyu’s Tea,—the spirit animating them is one.

Under Kigin, 季吟, 1623–1705, Bashó probably studied the Manyōshu, the Kokinshu, the Shin Kokinshu, the Genjimono-
gatari, the Tosa Diary, the Tsurezuregusa and Saigyō's waka in his Sankashu, 山家集. Other haiku poets also studied Saigyō, e.g. the verse of Sōin, 宗因, written on a picture of Saigyō:

秋はこの仏師すがたの夕かな
This Hōshi's appearance,
In the evening,
Is that of autumn.

There are a great number of haiku concerning Saigyō, and not a few of Bashō's referring to or based on Saigyō's waka. Bashō's interest in these was due to their apparent objectivity but real subjectivity, their yugen, 幽玄, their painful feeling, artistry, purity. More than the Chinese poets, he admired Saigyō for his life of poverty and wandering, his deep fusion of poetry and religion.

With truly Japanese genius, he did not merely read and repeat the words and phrases of these men, but put their spirit into practice in his daily life. There is a far-off but deep resemblance here between Bashō and Johnson, two utterly different types of men, who yet both hold a position in the history of literature higher than their actual writings warrant, by virtue of their personal character.

When all is written that can be written, and all is done that can be done, it may be found that Bashō was not only the greatest of all the Japanese, but that he is to be numbered among those few human beings who lived, and taught us how to live by living.
BUSON

Buson was born in 1716, twenty two years after the death of Basho, and died at the end of 1783. Comparatively little is known of the details of his life; he seems to have been a loving husband and devoted father.

When we compare Basho and Buson, we are struck with the difference of the depth of their poetical life. Both use their eyes and ears, but in Buson, however sensitive, even supersensitive he may be, his sensations do not sink down into the very recesses of his soul, as with Basho. Speaking first of sounds, we may say that in Basho the thing, its nature, is expressed in a kind of onomatopoeia, which may be deeply spiritual. Voiceless things speak in the cadence of his verse:

秋深き時は何をする人ぞ
   It is deep autumn
   My neighbour,—
   How does he live?

Let us compare the following verses:

五月雨を集めて早し最上川
   Collecting all
   The rains of May,
   The swift Mogami River.  Basho

五月雨や名もなき川の恐ろしき
   The May rains:
   Even a nameless stream
   Is a thing of dread.  Buson
In Bashō's verse, though it does not speak of sound, we hear within ourselves the turbid water rushing down to the sea. Buson's verse tells us something poetic which he felt about the river, but the river does not echo through our whole body. Bashō has some few verses which are simple records of audible sounds, but not many:

鳥鰓うりの聲まざれはしほとときす
The cuttle-fish seller's voice
Mingles with the voice
Of the _hōtōgisu_.

As examples of Buson's exquisiteness of ear, we may quote:

涼しさや鐘を離るいかねの聲
The coolness:
The voice of the bell
As it leaves the bell!

蚊の聲す忍冬の花散る毎に
The voice of mosquitoes,
Whenever the flower of the honeysuckle Falls.

But with few exceptions, Buson's perceptions of sounds, however delicate and subtle, stop there. This is no criticism of Buson, for it is good to stop, and good to go on.

Buson is primarily a poet of the eye, and Buson was an artist, a far greater artist in words than he was in Indian ink or colour. Sometimes the description is so pictorial as to be unsuitable for poetry:

1 A kind of cuckoo.
Buson has almost a greediness for colour;

A great many examples could be given; here are a few:

The young leaves,
Water white,
Barley yellowing.

Evening-glories;
There should be also
One blooming yellow.
牡丹散て打ち垂なりぬ三片
   The peony scattering,
Two or three petals
   Lie one on another.

きりぎりす自在をのぼる夜寒かな
   The cricket
Climbs up the pot-hanger;
   The night is cold.

金屏の羅は誰かあきの風
   Over the gold screen,
Whose silk gauze dress?
   The autumn wind.

To put it in a word, Buson lived in the world of phenomena, and his inner life was thin compared to that of Bashô. Look at the two following verses:

古池や蛙飛びこむ水の音
   The old pond:
A frog jumps in,—
   The sound of the water.  Bashô

古池に草履沈みてみれかかな
   The old pond,
A straw sandal sunk to the bottom;
   Sleet falling.  Buson

Bashô’s verse has a life within it, it has Life, whereas Buson’s verse is dead, in this sense. The dreariness of the scene with the straw sandal is not superficial, but it does not involve within itself all the dreariness of the world; it is the thing-as-it-is, but not the Thing-as-It-is. We choose one or the other, according to our character and mood.
One other fundamental contrast between Bashō and Buson is this: in Bashō, his understanding of Zen, the influence of Chinese poetry, the work of the waka poets,—all these and many other things are melted in his mind, in his experience of life; the same can be said, with even greater truth perhaps, of Issa. With Buson, and Shiki also, these things are used and imitated, but never form part of the man himself. Buson follows Bashō, Shiki follows Buson, but Bashō and Issa imitate no one; their life is their own.
ISSA

As Bashō is the poet of life, and Buson the poet of the studio, Issa is the poet of destiny. Bashō, though his mind is tender and compassionate, has something resigned, something divine in him. Buson sees the world as a spectator. Issa is moved with the movement of fate. Life goes along joyfully and painfully, with ecstasy and anguish, and Issa goes with it. He does not praise or condemn, but he is not withdrawn from anything which exists. More than this, Issa has that Shakespearean quality of not telling things what they ought to be, of not knowing better than God himself how the universe should be run, of not opposing the predestined accidents of life, or its strange course to an unknown goal. We see this in the following passage from Issa’s *Shishiban Nikki*, 七番日記, under February 12:

I made a pilgrimage to the temple of Tokaiji in Fuse. Feeling sorry for the chickens that followed after me longingly, I bought some rice from a house in front of the temple gate and scattered it among the violets and dandelions. Soon they began to fight among themselves here and there. Meanwhile, pigeons and sparrows came flying down from the boughs and were quietly eating up the rice. The chickens coming back, they flew off to the branches again, sooner than they wanted, no doubt wishing that the kicking match had lasted longer. Samurai, farmers, artizans, merchants and all the rest are just like this in the way they live.
Scattering rice,—
This also is a sin,
The fowls kicking one another!

Issa’s whole life was a tragedy. He was one of those men who attract failure and misfortune, just as some men succeed in all they attempt. Christ, by his own nature, was destined for violent death, and Issa was marked for poverty and suffering, but in both cases the distant result was indeed different from what might have been expected. And there is another parallel between the two men. Christ is our ideal of what a human being should be, yet how Jewish he is in his loves and hates, his rising above the particular into the general. Issa also is the most Japanese of the haiku poets, or it may be of all Japanese poets, yet in spite of this or because of it, his work has universal appeal. This paradox is true perhaps of all the greatest men.

Issa is often spoken of as having a somewhat warped view of life. This mistaken idea comes from not realizing that Issa, unlike most of us, said what he thought. He told not only the truth, but the whole truth. Ikkyū, the famous
Zen eccentric of the fifteenth century, suffers from the same cause. Even in sexual matters he was perfectly frank to anyone and everyone, and his reputation has suffered accordingly. Issa, like Basho, was an exceedingly moral man, but not quite so "stuffy" perhaps. Basho was born and educated as a samurai, but Issa has a broader view of life, one that can hardly be put into any rules or maxims. The following passage will show his world-view, and the "standards" by which he judged.

Instead of the artistic pleasure of flowery gardens, bend yourself to the cultivation of the rice field at the back of the house. Take a hoe in your hand and use it; be exceedingly careful of the lives of your parents and what you have received from your ancestors. Be happy in your work rather than in the cherry blossoms of Yoshino or the moon of Sarashina.

More than the mountain roses of Ide, love the flowers of rape, and look after them sedulously. The green ears of the barley are more moving than the peonies.

In other words, life is more important than art; our art and poetry are to be put into our living. Beauty is to be found in our daily life; it is then created naturally and spontaneously.
Morning Glories

Issa
Issa is like Heine, he has the power of saying lightly and humorously what others have only been able to say in the grand manner. St. Paul's words are,

The whole creation groaneth and travaileth together until now, waiting for the manifestation of the sons of God.

How different Issa sounds:

For you fleas too,
The night must be long,
It must be lonely.

For you fleas too,
The night must be long,
It must be lonely.
SHIKI

Shiki, 1869-1902, in opposition to the prevailing trend of the times, rather depreciated Bashô and affirmed the superiority of Buson. What appealed to him in Buson was his objectivity, his attitude as painter-poet looking with clear, fresh eyes upon the various world. This is no place to discourse upon objectivity and subjectivity, but we may say this, that at their best Bashô and Issa have an objective-subjectivity in which the thing is suffused with the poetic life of the poet, and suffers no distortion or discoloration but rather reveals vividly its own intrinsic nature.

My thatched hut;
In the world outside
It is harvest time?

In Shiki and Buson, their objectivity has something cool and delightful in it; we feel restful before it, for it makes no demands upon us. When Bashô or Issa fail, we fall into sentimentality, or something worse. When Buson and Shiki fail, the landscape is made of cardboard, and things belong to a two-dimensional world, without life or depth.

The personality of Shiki is not perhaps a very attractive one, but when we read his haiku we are struck with the large number of excellent, perfect verses which he wrote. He was a great believer in nature, “still life,” the avoidance of all intellectual elements in poetry. His strength and his weakness lay in his lack of religion. This is brought out in a letter sent to his uncle while lying ill in bed:

よのは稈かる頃か草の廻

My thatched hut;
In the world outside
It is harvest time?
When I die, it is needless to advertise the funeral etc. The house is small and the street narrow, and if twenty or thirty people crowd in, the coffin won’t be able to move. Whatever sect the funeral service may be held by, funeral speeches and reading accounts of my life are unnecessary. A posthumous Buddhist name I don’t want—nor a tombstone made of a natural stone. It is not necessary to hold a wake before the coffin. If a wake is held, do it in turns. No crocodile tears, please; talk and laugh in the ordinary way.

From his lack of religion comes his clearness of eye and mind, lack of sentimentality, love of truth, and devotion to literature. But we feel some want of depth; the baby has been thrown out with the bath-water. Shiki is not in touch with much that is human, though its form be superstitious and irrational. As Whitman says,

Only what proves itself to every man and woman is so,
Only what nobody denies is so.
Shiki is a humanist, but we feel something a little hard, superficial, unloving in him.

Bashō laid the foundations of haiku, Buson broadened its scope; Issa raised it above art, above poetry, above all aesthetic value, into the realm of life. It is in this sense also that Issa is the Japanese poet, in that he is nearest to Heaven when when closest to earth. Shiki, though strongly realistic, takes haiku back to Buson; he sees things under the aspect of beauty, as an artist.

We may compare the four poets in the following verses on the same subject, with one added from Kikaku, whom we may regard as a counterfoil to Bashō:

一家に遊女も寂たり荻と月
Lodging in one inn,
Together with courtesans:
Lespedeza flowers and the moon.  

Bashō

傾　廊
A Courtezan Enclosure
A hototogisu sings;
In the dawn,
I am made to buy an umbrella.  

Kikaku

わかたけや橋本の遊女ありやなし
Young bamboos;
Courtezans of Hashimoto,
Not there still?  

Buson

風や二十四文の遊女小屋  

Shiki
FOUR GREAT HAIKU POETS

The autumn storm;
A prostitute shack,
At 24 cents a time.  

船着きの小さき廬や綿の花
Near the boat-landing,
A small licensed enclosure;
Cotton-plant flowers.

Basho’s verse does not express directly the compassion he felt for the unfortunate creatures with whom he chanced to lodge in the same inn at the barrier-town of Ichifuri. They were on a pilgrimage to the Great Shrines of Ise. By comparing them to the lespedeza flowers, and himself or his way of poetry to the moon, Bashó has glorified both, and lifted them out of this world into the world of poetry.

Kikaku treats the subject with nonchalance. In the morning, when about to leave the Yoshiwara, a shower of rain forces him to buy an umbrella outside, or (more probably) inside the enclosure. At this moment, a hototogisu sings. Kikaku is the poet devoid of religion, of true Zen.

Buson is so engrossed in the picture, the associations, so carried back into the distance, the historical past, that the courtesans are symbols of the flourishing hey-day of Hashimoto. Are not those women still there, plying their trade, by the young bamboos that grow in profusion as of old? The things of long ago seem as if they had never passed away.

In contrast to these, Issa gives us a picture of life, in which the only artistic element is the selection of his material. The useless, meaningless, wild, wanton wind of autumn is blowing. The shabby, flimsy building, the hard-eyed, soft-
faced women, even the very price for which they earn their
daily bread, all are put before us, with no comments added.
Issa does this and yet brings out more meaning than the most
careful art.

Shiki goes back to Buson. In reaction to the feeble, point­
less poets of his time who supposed themselves to be followers
of Bashō, Shiki affirms in theory and practice that we must
follow nature, in its outward manifestations. So Shiki's verse
above shows the small wharf, the enclosure with its indefin­
able but unmistakable atmosphere, the white flowers of a
field of cotton plants. This is a picture of life, but has it
any life in it, any depth?
SECTION V

THE TECHNIQUE OF HAIKU
THE TECHNIQUE OF HAIKU

In this last section, a brief account is given of the following subjects:—
1. Humour, and the meaning of the original punning nature of haiku.
2. Their brevity.
3. The nature of the Japanese language as related to haiku.
4. Onomatopoeia.
5. The 5, 7 form.
6. Kireji.
8. The seasons, and seasonal classification.
I

HUMOUR AND PUNS

The humour of haiku is hardly part of their technique, for it is not something detachable, but belongs to the spirit rather than to the form. It is some indispensable element without which haiku can hardly exist, some poise of the mind, some balance of conflicting elements from which arises that pleasure whose peculiar quality causes us to give it the name of humour. The historical origin has been explained above. It should be remarked, perhaps, that Bashō's serious and rather humourless character could not, fortunately, remove the comic element from haiku. Instead, receiving its inestimable benefit, Bashō gave haiku a depth and moral strength that, because of its inheritance of inalienable humour, never fell into philosophizing or didacticism.

The spiritual origin is in the paradoxical nature of things which it is the aim of haiku to express. In many haiku it is obvious; it can be faintly felt in most. It can be unearthed even in those that seem devoid of any humorous element, but it is a painful and thankless task to drag forth into the light what can only exist in the darkness of the elemental nature of things. In any case, humour can only be written about, if at all, humorously, and it is better to leave the subject here.

Puns are supposed to be a form of humour, but in one sense they have not the surface-shattering effect of a good

1 Page 214.
joke. On the other hand, they loosen the fixed connotations of words and soften their hard lines, they telescope ideas that are in thought irreconcilable, and induce a willing suspension of disbelief, by what is often a mechanical and verbal trick, but which is sometimes a kind of linguistic gaiety of mind.

In renga and earlier haiku, as in the literature of Nô, puns and word-play were used, not so much as jokes, and not only for mere delight in word-conjuring or as verbal ornament and language-music, but as carrying on meanings where grammar and syntax separate, uniting the most distant ideas that language divides, thus breaking down the barriers that the intellect incessantly raises;

And custom lie upon thee with a weight
Heavy as frost, and deep almost as life.

The following haiku was composed on Bashô’s parting from his friends when setting out for Ise; it concludes Oku no Hosomichi:

Hamaguri no futami ni wakare yuku aki zo

Autumn
Parting we go, clams opening,
To Futami.

This verse has no poetical value beyond the puns in it. “Futami” means “two looks.” It is the name of a place near Ise. The clams with their two shells like futa, lids, are symbols of two friends divided. Goes, applies both to Bashô’s own departure and to that of autumn. Such a haiku is nowadays considered as having but little value; nevertheless, the inten-
tion is admirable. This Euphuism with its etymological and verbal pleasure in unity, in uniting, is significant of a deep-seated desire for harmony. In English poetry, a rather different method was popular at almost the same period. Richard Crashaw, who died when Bashō was five years old, has the following well-known verse in _In the Holy Nativity of Our Lord_:

She sings Thy tears asleep and dips
Her kisses in Thy weeping eye:
She spreads the red leaves of Thy lips
That in their buds yet blushing lie;
She 'gainst those mother-diamonds tries
The points of her young eagle's eyes.

This means: Mary sings the infant Jesus asleep, and he stops weeping. She kisses his tears away, and then kisses his parted lips. She tests the eyes of the young child, their rays, "points," against her own diamond-bright eyes, just as the eagle tests its eyes against the sun. Another and simpler example from Donne's _Daybreak_:

Stay O sweet, and do not rise!
The light that shines comes from thine eyes:
The day breaks not: it is my heart,
Because that you and I must part.

Puns are part of the poetical life of No. Since they are by nature untranslatable, we may quote just one, from _Sotoba Komachi_. Komachi herself is speaking:

我は此の時力を得。猶は戯れの歌を詠む。
極楽の内ならばこそ忍しからぬ。そとは
何かは苦しかるべき。
Now emboldened, I will intone a jesting song:
Were I in Paradise,
It would indeed be bad;
Outside,
What is grievous about it?

The pun is on a *sotoba*, *stupa*¹, and *soito wa*, outside. It is feeble enough, but expresses Komachi's joy in triumphing over the two priests in theological argument. They say that the stupa is the symbol of the incarnation of Buddha; she says, so is man, and that she is therefore not wrong to sit on the stupa.

The first lines of this *Sotoba Komachi*, the greatest of the Nô plays, have a more poetical pun:

山は浅きに隠れがの、山は浅きに穏れがの、
深きや心なるらん

Our hidden home is on shallow hills, on shallow hills, but deep in the heart.

But as said before, the point of these puns is not the separate play on words, but the way in which continuity is achieved through them, linking one passage with the next. This is of course helped by the text itself, which in its cursive form often joins words together.

¹ A repository of a relic of the Buddha.
Brevity is called the soul of wit. To what extent is it also the soul of poetry? Beyond the merely mechanical brevity of haiku it is almost impossible to go, since form and rhythm must also be taken into account. Nevertheless, true brevity is not a matter of quantity but of quality. Many a haiku is a failure because, in spite of its simplicity and brevity, it is reality still clobbered up with unessential material, reality minus art. More must be taken away, less must be said. If we take the well-known Zen aphorism

The willow is green; flowers are red,
we see what the haiku poet wants to convey, but the angle of approach is different. "The willow is green, the flowers are red" takes the universe in its suchness, dynamically, absolutely-relative-ly. But the poet should see the universe under the aspect of harmony, as rhythm and symphony; in the deepest sense of the word, musically. The relation of the elements of the haiku is that of the phrases of a melody, and this melody, is in counterpoint to, is a variation of, the music of the spheres.

An example of almost telegraphic brevity, if translated literally, is the following:

Myself, and loach fled, root-parsley, ah!

We may paraphrase it:

While gathering parsley,
Thinking I am after him,
The loach slips off.
Even here, of course, meditation on the poem is necessary to get down to the poetic root of the matter. It lies in the fear of the loach, the unity and yet disunity of the poet with it, the fact that though there is sameness, there is difference; though there is difference there is sameness, and there cannot be one without the other. This last sentence does not demonstrate what is meant by "meditation on the poem," which means looking steadily at the object, keeping one's eye on the ball, dissolving one's personality into the poet and parsley waving in the stream, and the loach slipping off through the clear water into hiding. The brevity of the haiku is such that it velo è ora ben tanto sottile
Certo, che il trapassar dentro è leggiero.

the veil is now so thin
That entering within is easy.

Another aspect of brevity, the omission of the personal pronoun, is a point of great importance. In itself, by itself, it unconsciously teaches the ego-lessness of things. The subjective and the objective are fused without a word being uttered. The matter is further dealt with in the section on Methods of Translation.
III

The Japanese Language

Chinese, that is, ancient Chinese was the ideal language for Zen, clear and brief, really monosyllabic (modern Chinese is disyllabic), and, to express it in a rather Irish way, it is entirely unambiguous when you know what it means. For example:

入林不動草
入水不立波
Entering the forest, he does not disturb a blade of grass;
Entering the water, he does not cause a ripple.

This describes the self-less activity of the poet or sage in his relation to nature. There are ten syllables, words, in all, three times less than in the translation. Literally it is:

Enter forest not move grass;
Enter water not raise ripple.

Another, also from the Zenrinkushū, is the following:

梅瘦占春少。
庭寬得月多。
The plum-tree dwindling (with age) contains less of the spring;
But the garden is wider, and holds more of the moon.

The genius of the Japanese language (that is, until recently,) was quite different from that of the Chinese. Not only were subject, predicate and object to some extent in-
distinguishable, and punctuation non-existent, but the edges of the words themselves are blurred. We may compare English, Chinese, and Japanese, in the translations of the Bible. The English and the Chinese correspond in their definiteness and majesty; the Japanese seems weak and pettifogging by comparison. But in actual fact this vagueness of the Japanese corresponds to something in life that Hebrew thought, that is, the Hebrew language, and the English and Chinese languages, miss. There is in life no fixed subject and predicate, cause and effect; no important and unimportant, such as we deceive ourselves into supposing, and such as is implicit in these languages. Things do not begin with a capital letter and end with a full stop; there is simply ceaseless becoming. The English language does not recognise this; hence the chief difficulty of the translator.

As an example of Japanese poetry in prose, we may take the following from the Collected Works of Kashizono, or Nakajima Hirotari, who died in 1864:

木々紅葉むらむら染めわたして毬花が袖も人待ち顔に打ちまねく山路のいとおもしろきに女郎花闇などのやうやうらがれ行く中より今咲きはじめたる菊の露もとをたなびき出でたる物よりことに目に立ちていとなつかしもおぼゆ。

Here and there the leaves of the trees are deeply dyed with yellow and crimson, the pampas-grass waving as though beckoning someone, with long sleeves,—in such a mountain-path of beauty, from the gradually withering midst of maidenflower and orchid, the chrysanthemums now beginning to bloom, their branches bowed with dew,
sway out, and, more than all else, touch us with their grace and loveliness.

This is far vaguer, more shadowy and flowing away from the reader in the original than in the translation; it is also more difficult. The beauty of the style of the original has something of the poetry of the best of Ruskin, when he is describing nature; for example, when in *Modern Painters*, he speaks of sunrise in the Alps, how the mists

float in level bays and winding gulfs about the islanded summits of the lower hills, untouched yet by that dawn, colder and more quiet than a windless sea under the moon of midnight; watch when the first sunbeam is sent upon the silver channels, how the foam of their undulating surface parts and passes away, and down under their depths the glittering city and green pasture lie like Atlantis, between the white paths of winding rivers; the flakes of light falling every moment faster and broader among the starry spires, as the wreathed surges break and vanish above them, and the confused crests and ridges of the dark hills shorten their gray shadows upon the plain.

Haiku has been remarkably free in the matter of language, colloquial, dialectical, literary or Chinese expressions being to some extent used from the earliest times, and gradually increasing. Here are some examples:

べたべたと物につきたる春の雪  — 萩
It sticks like butter
To everything,—
This spring snow.  — Issa
The clammy nature of spring snow is brought out by this colloquial expression, beta-beta.

桜の木やてきばき散ってつんと立つ — 青

The paulownia tree,
Quickly stripped clean of its leaves,
Stands prim. Issa

てきばき, *tekipaki* is a colloquial phrase that expresses the quickness of the fall of the paulownia leaves; つんと, *tsun to*, often translated “prime”, implies the peculiarly formal aspect of the tree.

春の風柳が無くば吹くまいぞ 如 倶

If the willows are leafless,
Do not blow,
Winds of spring! Johaku

*Nakuba* is a literary form of *nakattara*, “If there were not”.

藤緑と石に日の入る枯野かな 燕村

Desolately,
The sun sets in the rocks
On the withered moor. Buson

*Shōjō*, solitary, lonesome, is a Chinese compound word, often used in *shi*, Chinese poems.
IV

ONOMATOPOEIA

Of all languages, Japanese is by far the richest in onomatopoeic elements, especially of the simpler variety, in which the sound of the word is directly an imitation of the thing. As one of the “figures of speech”, onomatopoeia is allowed a very small place in our books of grammar and rhetoric, but in its broadest sense, onomatopoeia represents not only the most important part of poetry, but of prose and of speech itself. How we say a thing is of more importance, of more significance, than what we say, the conscious meaning; for through the tones of the voice, the words chosen, their combination, the sounds echoing and reëchoing one another, their concords suspended and reëstablished, their discords sustained and resolved, through all this there is a music as free and yet as law-abiding as is that of the flute, the oboe, and the violin. Too obvious examples have something approaching the ludicrous in them, for example, Tennyson’s:

The moan of doves in immemorial elms,
And murmuring of innumerable bees.

This fact may be taken advantage of, as it is in the following:

Rend with tremendous sound your ears asunder,
With gun, drum, trumpet, blunderbuss and thunder.

And in Buson’s

日は日くれよ 夜は夜明けよと 鳴蛙
Hi wa hi kure yo yo wa yo ake yo to naku kaeru.
HAiku

"Day, ah, darken day!  
Night, ah, dawn away!"

Chant the frogs.

In the last example also there is a representation of the humorous aspect of the thing.

Haiku, by its nature, cannot show us such examples as the following, meaning given or intensified by regular, repeated rhythm:

Most friendship is feigning,
Most loving mere folly.
Then heigho the holly,
This life is most jolly.

Here the amphibrachs dance as featly as

the slythy toves
Did gyre and gimble in the wabe.

Japanese rhythmical effects are more in the style of the lines of Pope in which he represents length by the emphasizing and lengthening of unaccented syllables:

When Ajax strives some rock's vast weight to move,
The line too labours and the words move slow.

In the following well-known verse of Buson the sounds of the sea strike on the ear more truly in the sound of his seventeen syllables than through the sound of the actual waves on the physical ear:

春の海 ひねもすのたり のたりかな 蕪村
Haru no umi hinemosu notari notari kana

The spring sea,
Gently rising and falling,
The whole day long. Buson
The sounds of *hinemosu* almost reverse the sounds of *haru no umi*. The repetition of *notari, notari*, the *kana* which echoes the *a* sounds of *haru* and *notari*,—all this represents, for some unknown reason, not so much the sound of waves, but rather the meaning of the long spring day by the shore. What is the meaning of this? It is

Haru no umi  
*hinemosu notari*  
*notari kana.*

Compare this to the meaning of the rhythm in the following poem of Freeman. The tears fairly gush out, again, beyond all reason:

**CHILDHOOD CALLS**

Come over, come over the deepening river,  
Come over again the dark torrent of years,  
Come over, come back where the green leaves quiver,  
And lilac still blooms and the grey sky clears.

Come, come back to the enchanting garden,  
To that green heaven, and the blue heaven above,  
Come back to the time when time brought no burden,  
And love was unconscious, not knowing love.

But however spontaneous such poems may seem, we know that a great many of them were the result of arduous toil. Some never attain perfection and betray all through the working of the selective process. Of this class, Dante Gabriel Rossetti's *The Blessed Damozel* is an example. Issa is well known, in spite of his fluency and the large number of verses.
he produced, to have revised his poems over months and years, for instance, the following:

O botaru yurari yurari to tōri keri.

Waveringly,
A huge firefly
Passes by.

Issa

This verse is the result of many revisions, but the final version appears artless and the work of a moment. This revision of verse is a revision of experience. The experience had matured in the words of the haiku so that he came to know what he should have wanted to say.

We may summarize the function of onomatopoeia in the following way:

(a) The direct representation of the sounds of the outside world by the sounds of the voice.

Ochikochi
ochikochi to utsu
kinuta kana.

Here and there,
There and here,
Beating fulling-blocks.

Ichiboku to
poku poku aruku
hanami kana.

He ambles along
With his man-servant:
Cherry-blossom viewing.
Butsudan ni honzon kaketa ka hototogisu.

"Is the main image set on the altar?"

Cries the hototogisu.

Compare Tennyson's *The Throstle*:

"Summer is coming, summer is coming,
I know it, I know it, I know it.
Light again, leaf again, life again, love again!"

Yes, my wild little Poet.

(b) The representation of movement, or physical sensations other than that of sound.

Ishikawa wa kuwarari inazuma sarari kana.

The Stony River rippling,
The lightning
Flickering—

Issa

Yusa-yusa to haru ga yuku zo yo nobe no kusa.

Spring departs,
Trembling, in the grasses
Of the fields. Issa

Yea, slimy things did crawl with legs
Upon the slimy sea.¹

A cuff neglectful, and thereby
Ribbons to flow confusedly.²

¹ Coleridge.
² Herrick.
HAiku

(c) The representation of soul states. This is always indirect, unconscious, spontaneous. Great poetry depends chiefly for its effect upon this factor. It cannot be imitated or artificially produced.

Fear no more the heat o' the sun,
Nor the winter's furious rages.

My heart's in the Highlands, my heart is not here;
My heart's in the Highlands, a-chasing the deer.

people are few,
Leaves also fall
Now and then.

Do not doubt it,
The bay has its spring too,—
The flowers of the tide.

We saw Thee in Thy balmy nest,
Bright dawn of our eternal Day;
We saw Thine eyes break from their east,
And chase the trembling shades away.²

Slow days passing, accumulating,—
How distant they are,
The things of the past!

¹ Notice the u's, and a's.
² Crashaw, *In the Holy Nativity of Our Lord God*. 

Hito chirari konoha mo chirari horari kana.

Utagauna ushio no hana mo ura no haru¹.

Osoki hi no tsumorite toki mukashi kana.

¹ Notice the u's, and a's.
² Crashaw, *In the Holy Nativity of Our Lord God*. 

We saw Thee in Thy balmy nest,
Bright dawn of our eternal Day;
We saw Thine eyes break from their east,
And chase the trembling shades away.²

Slow days passing, accumulating,—
How distant they are,
The things of the past!
The k sound is used again by Buson to portray the bitterness of the passing of time:

Osoki hi ya kodama kikoyuru kyō no sumi.

The slow day;
Echoes heard
In a corner of Kyōto.

An example of onomatopoeia from waka is the following by Saigyō:

Izuko ni mo sumarezuba tada sumade aran

If I feel I cannot live anywhere,
I just will not,—
In this thatched cottage
Of a fleeting world.

We should remind ourselves once more of Bashō’s advice to his disciples:

Repeat (your verses) a thousand times on your lips.

Haiku, no less than waka, are songs; they are meant to be read aloud, and repeated aloud. Onomatopoeia is not a matter of the eye, though it may help; the full and perfect meaning of a haiku is not realized until it is heard by the physical ear.

Five examples of onomatopoeia taken from Seisensui:
HAIKU

らつはふいて鹿のみちがこつろよく
のびる馬車屋さん

Rappa fuite
Fumoto no michi ga kokoro yoku
Nobiru bashaya san.

Blowing his horn,—
The road at the foot of the mountain
stretches out peacefully,—
The driver! Akitoshi

This is 3,3,4; 3,3,2; which gives both the sound of the horn
and the rhythm of the horse's hoofs.

とんぼとぶ とんぼのうへも とんぼとぶ空 保説
Tombo tobu  tombo no ue mo  tombo tobu sora.

Dragonflies fly,—
Above them too fly dragonflies,—
In the dragonfly sky. Horyu

This is not a imitation of sounds like Tennyson's, it is an
endeavour to express the height of the sky through the repeti-
tion of the same sound. It corresponds to Bach's use of the
step motif in suggesting destiny; here it represents infinity.

わらうつ つきよのよい音 したした 盤雄
Wara utsu  tsukiyō no yoi oto  shidashita.

The beating of the straw,—
What a beautiful sound it has become,
This moonlit night! Masuo

The four-unit foot represents the striking of the straw.

おちこち おちこちとうつ 砂かんな 燕村
Ochikochi ochikochi to utsu kinuta kana.

Here and there,
There and here,
Beating fulling-blocks. Buson
The thistle is bright,
In the morning,
After the rain.  Santōka

3, 5, 5, 3, The open sound of a here represents the cheerful mood of the poet. The assonance of the beginning and the end of the verse, *azami, agari*, gives it a completeness of form.
V

THE FORM OF HAIKU

From earliest times in Japan, there seems to have been a delight in the alternation of five and seven syllables, the foundation of all Japanese verse. The long poems continued 5, 7; 5, 7; 5, 7; ——ending with a 7. As an expression of the inherent Japanese love of the plain and short rather than the florid and long, the form of the waka or tanka, (which means “Short Poem”) was obtained by reducing the series to 5, 7; 5, 7; 7. Later, the origin of the form being forgotten, it was taken as 5, 7; 5, 7; 7. and the two parts named Kami no ku, 上の句, Upper Strophe; and Shimo no ku, 下の句, Lower Strophe. One poet composed the first, another the second, the two combined making one verse. This double form was suited to the poetic conversations of lovers, the sending and receiving of gifts, the setting and solving of riddles, in fact, all the duets and dialogues of ready wit. In the Heian Era, 794–858, it was common not only among the court ladies of the palace, and noblemen and noblewomen, but in the middle ranks of society. The continuous waka were called renga, chain poems, those in which wit was predominant being known as haikai renga, witty chain poems. The first of the chain, that is, the Upper Strophe of 5, 7, 5 which began the series, and which was the only strophe which could stand by itself, was called the hokku, 发句, or First Strophe. In the history of haiku it is therefore noteworthy that it was the

1 Haikai is written with two characters, 俳諧, both of which mean "sporting," "pleasantry."
beginning of a chain of poetical thoughts and images, and that its origins are inextricably mingled with those of humour and pleasant thought.

The 5,7,5 sequence was a method of obtaining unity of form, corresponding to rhyme and accent in Modern European poetry; rhyme, tone and and number of syllables in Chinese; long and short syllables in Latin and Greek; alliteration in Old English. Formally, haiku and waka have the feeblest method of making a verse into a whole. Nevertheless, this form is of a peculiar potency. This 5, 7, 5 has a wave-like character of flow, suspense and ebb, it is symmetrical, yet in odd numbers. Further, there is a kind of syllogistic nature about the form which gives it the utmost clarity while actually containing no logical elements, often no intellectual connections between the parts:

The old pond;
A frog jumps in,—
The sound of the water.

Compare this to:

All men are mortal;
A negro is a man,
Therefore a negro is mortal.

The haiku has no logical connection of premise and conclusion, but there is some subtle similarity between it and the syllogism. The "sound of the water" is contained, by implication, in "the old pond," just as "a negro is mortal" is contained in "all men are mortal." There is a rise, suspense, and fall of poetical meaning in the one as there is of intellectual purport in the other. There is nothing new in either, but ratiocinative satisfaction in the one, and poetical significance in the other,
The 5, 7, 5 form was kept fairly strictly up to modern times. Some haiku, however, were extremely irregular. The following, by Kikaku, one of Bashô's pupils, is 8, 8, 5:

Neko ni kuwareshi wo kôrogi no tsuma wa sudakuran.

Eaten by a cat,  
The wife of the cricket  
Will chirp his dirge.

The next verses, by Bashô himself, are very irregular:

8, 7, 5: Bashô nowake shite tarai ni ame wo kiku yo kana.

The banana-plant in the autumn storm,  
Rain dripping in the tub,—  
Listening that night.

10, 7, 5: Ro no koe nami wo utte harawata kôru yo ya namida.

A bowel-freezing night;  
The sound of the oar striking the wave,—  
Tears.

5, 10, 5: Kare-eda ni karasu no tomaritaruya aki no kure.¹

On a withered branch  
A crow is perched;  
An autumn evening.

6, 8, 5: Tsutsuji ikete sono kage ni hidara saku onna.

¹ The original form of this famous verse.
Behind a pot of azaleas,  
A woman tearing up  
Dried codfish.

Verses of more than seventeen syllables have been very common from earliest times, but verses of less than seventeen are rare. One example from Buson, given before, sixteen syllables:

をちこち   をちこちと打つ       砕かな
Ochi kochi  ochi kochi to utsu   kinuta kana.

Here and there,  
Here and there,  
Beating fulling-blocks.

The strange thing about this verse is that it gives a feeling of length, of an infinity of sounds.

It may be mentioned that waka also show a similar irregularity of form, though not so great.

On the regular 5,7,5 is often superimposed a quite different rhythm-scheme or syllable division. For example, in the following, by Shiki, the three part form is two part rhetorically, being divided between kite and haregi:

初芝居見て来て      晴著未だ脱がず
Hatsu-shibai mite kite   haregi mada nugazu.

In her best clothes,—
Coming back from the first theatre this year,—
Not yet taken off.

In the following, by Bashō, the division is four-fold:

猿を聞く人    捨子に    秋の風    いかに
Saru o kiku hito  sutego ni    aki no kaze   ikani.

1 Still talking excitedly of what she had seen.
I:

Sad at the cry of the monkey,
Seeing the abandoned child in the autumn wind,
How would he feel?

The 5,7 rhythm which ruled Japanese poetry for so many centuries, has lately been challenged. We now find such "haiku" as:

影も目高
Kage mo meraka.

The shadows too,
Killifish.

小母さんのうぜんかつら咲きましたのうぜんかつら
Oba san nōzenkatsura sakimashita nōzenkatsura.

Auntie!
A great trumpet-flower has bloomed,
A great trumpet-flower! 伊吹薬

The conservatives ascribe this breaking with poetic conventions to foreign influences, and no doubt this is partly so. Hashi Maseki, in his book, Lecture on the History of Haiku, also says that this change is caused by the loss of respect for and practice of renga, this being again due to the influence of Shiki, and ultimately to the same foreign influences.
VI
KIREJI

Kireji are a kind of poetical punctuation, or the marks piano, forte, cresc., con sordino, in music, by which the composer of the haiku expresses, or hints at, or emphasizes his mood and soul-state.

From at least the time of Sōgi, there were eighteen kireji fixed as marks of renga: these increased with the passing of time. The most important of them are the three ya, や, kana, かな, keri, けり. Ya corresponds to Ah! or Oh! in English, a sigh of admiration, as in:

荒海や 佐渡によこたふ 天の川 芭蕉
Ara umi ya sado ni yokotau ama no gawa
A wild sea!
And stretching across to the Island of Sado
The Galaxy.

Bowel-freezing night!—
The sound of the oar striking the wave,—
Tears.

It may express doubt or uncertainty, or a question:

梅白し 昨日や鶴を 盗まれし 芭蕉
Ume shiroshi kinō ya tsuru wo nusumareshi.
Plum blossoms are white;
It was yesterday
The crane was stolen?
君や蝶 我や薫子の 夢よる 芝厳
Kimi ya chô ware ya Sôshi no yuine-gokoro

You are the butterfly,
And I the dreaming heart
Of Sôshi?

Bashô

In this last example, the two ya’s express the interpenetration of Sôshi and the butterfly, I and you.

It may show a kind of rhetorical question, or attitude of, “You think so, but you are wrong,” as in:

箱を出る 顔忘れぬや 雛二対 風村
Hako o deru kao wasureme ya hina ni-tsui.

Coming out of the box,
This pair of dolls,—
How could I forget their faces?

Buson

Keri, けり, shows that time has passed, something is finished, and that some kind of admiration or emotion is felt at it:

湖の 水まきりけり 五月雨 去来
Mizuumi no mizu masari keri satsuki-ame

The water of the lake
Has increased,
In the rains of June.

Kyorai

A good example of the use of kireji is the following verse by Buson:

大雪と なりけり閉の 戸さし時
Ôyuki to nari keri seki no tozashidoki.

A great fall of snow,—
Just as they are shutting
The gates of the Barrier.
Here the keri divides the verse into two; the 5,7,5 form has a 9,8 form superimposed upon it. The 3 beat together with the 2 beat reminds us of Brahms. The keri also has the effect of making the verse heavy, thus expressing the weight of snow and the ponderousness of the Barrier.

Kana is so common as to be sometimes almost meaningless, but usually it has a very similar effect to the kana and kamo of waka, that of an exclamation of an emotion that the verse implies. It also makes the word mentioned before it the centre of poetic interest and energy.
VII

HAIKU SEQUENCES

Shiki and others of his time, for instance, Natsume Sōsaku, composed haiku sequences. Shiki wrote many groups of ten, for example:

とところところ鹿の顔出す茂かな
Here and there
A deer shows its face
Through the undergrowth.

釣床に入日漬りくる茂かな
The evening sun
Filters through the undergrowth
Onto the hanging bed.

目じろしの喬木茂る小村かな
The tall trees,
Growing up together by the small village,
A landmark.

山伏の法螺吹きたつる茂りかな
The Yamabushi
Blows his conch shell,
Among the undergrowth.

植木屋は来らず庭の茂かな
The gardener does not come;
The garden
Is all wild and untrimmed.

1 Or Shugenja, itinerant priests of a rather militant kind.
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五百年

Five hundred years
They have flourished,—
But have not become posts.

一門はみな四位五位の茂りかな

On an island on the lake
Where no one dwells,
The foliage is dense.

The family tree—
All of the Fourth Rank, the Fifth Rank—
Is flourishing.

Trees round the graves are rank;
The spirits of the dead and their shrine
May well rot here.

No one may use the axe
Where the trees are dense,—
A long-nosed goblin dwells there.

These verses are very uneven, and united only by the
shigeri. They are not so much a sequence, as ten variations
on the theme of "Growing in rank profusion," Or better
still, it is a kind of passacaglia, in which the theme is constantly
repeated in some part of the ten variations that luxuriate
around it.
The Seasons in Haiku

There is almost always a season word in haiku. This word may give the atmospheric background, it may be a kind of seed, a trigger which releases a whole world of emotion, of sounds and scents and colours. It is thus a form of brevity, so that when we say “the moon,” we mean the full moon of autumn. If we wish to speak of any other time, we say oborozuki, the hazy moon (of spring), kangetsu, 或月, the cold moon (of winter) etc. If we say “flowers,” we mean cherry blossoms only. When we see “The Festival of the Dolls,” we think of spring; “sleeping in the daytime,” of summer; “the fulling block,” of autumn; “sowing barley,” of winter. The season word has the additional function of unifying into a whole the scattered elements of the intuition. The season may be the actual subject of the poem, that which is to be apprehended through the thing which is the ostensible subject. For poets, it is a kind of poetic algebra or shorthand, enabling poets to speak to one another open secrets to which the unpoetical reader is not initiate. There are a few which have no season word, and these are called “Seasonless Haiku,” 季無俳句. Occasionally a verse has two different season words, for example:

月花や四十九年のむだあるき 一 茶

The moon and flowers:
Forty nine years
Walking about wasting time.

Issa
The following has no season word at all:

武蔵野やさわるもののなき君の笠  芭蕉

The Great Musashi Plain;
There is nothing
To touch your kasa.¹  Bashō

Up to recent years, haiku was simply the poetry of the seasons. As stated above, in a sense we can say the season of each verse is the subject, the verse leading the mind to a vast aspect of the world in space and time. Thus every haiku is an aspect of one fourth of nature as we understand it in the Temperate Zones. The procession of the seasons finds its counterpart and mouthpiece in the poet as he changes in conformity with the moods of spring, summer, autumn and winter. The seasons, so well-marked in Japan, were already a distinct element in poetry by Manyō times. It is even more important however to notice once more the effect of renku on haiku. In renku, the first or starting verse, the hokku, always had a season word in it, and this had the effect of fixing the season ineffaceably upon haiku. In recent times, with ideas of freedom and spontaneity, the conventionality and artificiality of the seasonal classification has become apparent, and poems are written nowadays without any season word: the season is not expressed or implied. This is especially of value when a subject is of any season, e.g. the moon, pine trees, the various activities of human beings; or where the subject itself is above and beyond all seasonal significance. The following is an example:

¹ An umbrella-like hat made of strips of wood.
Quietening the mind,  
Deep in the forest  
Water drips down.  

Deep in the forest, but clear and distinct, is the sound of water dripping down after the shower. We seldom look or listen or feel, almost always it is seeing, hearing, touching. But now we listen, and the water drip-drips into the depths of the mind. The season of the poem, however, is quite indefinite. It may be a hot, summer shower, a cold rain of winter, the heavy, deafening rain of spring that has just ceased. It is not the water of spring, but just water which is beating away inflexible moments of time. For any place in the world, at any moment, this verse is true and valid.

Haiku have been for long classified according to the seasons and the subjects of the verse. There are, as it were, five seasons: the New Year, Spring, Summer, Autumn and Winter; there are also a few verses that will not go into any particular season. The subjects of haiku were limited, up to modern times, to a great number of set themes. Nowadays no limit is admitted. A rough classification, however, serves as an index, and in this book such a plan has been followed. It enables a reader to find a verse if he remembers the subject of it, and has the additional advantage of grouping together all the verses on the same subject. However, by putting the verses in seasonal order, we obscure completely both the development of haiku historically and that of each poet. In addition to this, there is the danger of reading into the verse meanings which the age had not attained to, or which the poet did not intend. But
there are several points to be noted here. The history of haiku is a comparatively short one, and further, it is only two hundred and fifty years since the death of Bashō. Thus the background against which we are to read haiku of any period, the general attitude, was more or less fixed long before this. It belongs to Mahayana Buddhism, the Kegon philosophy, the practicality and materiality of Zen, the innate simplicity of the Japanese mind. When therefore we distinguish Bashō the spiritual, Buson the artist, Issa the humanist, Shiki the universal, we are not wrong if we read Buson's haiku from Bashō's point of view. Indeed we may and should slightly de-moralize Bashō's haiku in our reading of them; we can deepen the meaning of Buson's still-lifes. The only danger is one which the present writer has consciously but unwillingly fallen into, that of over-subtilizing, over-refining, bringing out into the conscious what would be left in the unconscious, philosophizing instead of expanding the poetical life,—in a word, talking where he should have been silent. In any case, whatever the differences of temperament and experience of life of the haiku poets may have been, what we are to give them is the poetical life we live in them and they in us.

Each season, (except the New Year, which is too short for such a purpose) is subdivided in the customary Japanese way:

1. **THE SEASON**
   The heat or cold, the shortness or length of the day.

2. **THE SKY AND ELEMENTS**
   This is called in Japanese 天文, astronomy. It includes snow, rain, wind, clouds, lightning, the stars, tempests.
3. FIELDS AND MOUNTAINS
This is called in Japanese 地理, geography. It comprises rivers, the sea, mountains, moors, paddy-fields.

4. TEMPLES AND SHRINES
This is called in Japanese 神佛, Gods and Buddhas. To this belong the Buddhist and Shinto festivals, pilgrimages, visiting graves.

5. HUMAN AFFAIRS
In Japanese 人事, “the things of men.” It deals with the change of clothes, fishing, secular festivals, rice-planting, fireworks, scarecrows, etc.

6. BIRDS AND BEASTS

7. TREES AND FLOWERS
In Japanese 植物, botany. It includes also turnips, leeks, mushrooms and so on.
IX
TRANSLATION

The general principle of translation has been, on the one hand to put nothing in the English version which is not in the original; on the other, to endeavour to imply in the translation what is meant to be inferred from the Japanese. The first is not difficult, but leads to dryness or incomprehensibility. The verbal implications are of course to a large extent untranslatable, but still more those that are purely grammatical. Take for example Kikaku's verse:

日の春をさすがに鶴の歩み哉

A word for word translation is:

The spring of day;
Indeed, the crane's
Walking, ah!

"The Spring of day" is New Year's Day, the first day of spring according to the lunar calendar. Kikaku was a rich man, and following an ancient Chinese practice, he kept tame cranes in his extensive garden. The cranes walk about every day in their stately yet graceful way, but on New Year's Day their manner of pacing here and there is peculiarly appropriate to the season. This is the point of さすがに, sasuga ni, which as Bashô says in a criticism of this verse, is its very life and soul. We may therefore translate as follows:

The cranes are pacing
On the first day of spring,
True to their nature.
But we miss here the connection between the nature of the crane, which is expressed more vaguely in the original, and the nature of New Year's Day, implied by putting "The Day of Spring" in the accusative with を, though it is not the object of anything else in the verse. Then again, the extremely common, not to say overdone use of kana is hardly to be reproduced in English. It expresses a sigh of admiration or grief or pure poetical feeling, much quieter and vaguer than Oh! or Ah! in English.

Another use of this same accusative without verb is the following:

蛸瓶やはかなき夢を夏の月

The octopuses in the jars:
Transient dreams
Under the summer moon.

The jar is attached to a float, uki, and then submerged. The octopus thinks the mouth of the jar is a hole, and entering it, is thus caught. Bashō saw these jars being submerged in the evening at Akashi, 明石, where he spent a night. They are still used there in this way. In the original, the sentence is incomplete, the verb being omitted after yume wo. This vagueness makes the life of the octopuses more shadowy; we feel all the more deeply, because indirectly, the transience of the short summer night, the life of the octopus, the life of all things.

In haiku, the form is often so elliptical that we are able, without effort of will, to experience the underlying, subterranean unity of ourselves and other things. The following is by Chora:
This is literally,

Peeping through with stars lonely willow ah!

“Lonely” applies to the stars and the willow, and to the peeping as well. Each word has the potency of being superimposed on every other word, the seventeen syllables thus being telescoped into one word. We may translate:

Peeping through
The willow, lonely
With stars.

The poet also is present, impalpable as the loneliness itself.

Another example, from Bashô:

吹きとばす石は浅間の野分岐
Stones blowing,
The autumn storm
From Mt. Asama.

This really says “Stones which blow.” Here the confusion of subject and object assists the mind to unify the various discrete phenomena. We would expect,

吹きとばさる氷石は
Blown stones,
or at least:

石を吹きとばすは
Blowing of stones.

Take the well-known verse of Sodô:
目に青葉山郭公初松魚

For the eye, the green leaves,

The mountain *hototogisu*,

The first bonito.

Here the ellipsis, the omission of "To the ear," "To the sense of taste," is not mere brevity; the *hototogisu* and the bonito also are faintly *seen*.

In translation, the question of singular and plural is important. A Japanese reading the original, instinctively makes his mental picture according to his poetical capacity, not always as distinct as the English version must be. In the following examples the reasons for the singular and plural should be clear:

雨蛙芭蕉にのりて戯ぎけり

The tree-frog,

Riding the banana-leaf,

Sways and quivers.

曉や鵜鶘に眠る鵜の病れ

Morning twilight;

In their basket, the cormorants

Asleep, exhausted.

As a general rule, it is the singular that is intended in haiku. Single things are what catch the eye and move the poetic mind of the haiku poet, but there are certain exceptions, for example the cherry blossoms and other flowering trees, the young leaves of spring, wild geese, melons, mosquitoes, fireflies.

The question of the personal pronoun is also an important one. The avoidance, or rather, the lack of their use in Greek and Latin, in Chinese and Japanese, has a deep significance,
and when we use the personal pronouns in translation, the whole life-feeling is changed. The opposition of ego and cosmos is there, and once there, ineradicable. In oriental feeling, in its poetry and art and music, the cosmos is suffused with "I," though not coloured by it. The "I" is interpenetrated with the cosmos, but not overwhelmed by it. In fact, it is difficult to see how Zen, as an independent body of ordered experience, could ever have come into existence or thriven, save in a country where the ego was systematically suppressed by language and custom. Wordsworth says, "We see into the life of things," but the fact is that it is our seeing which is the life of things.

The Romantic movement in English literature, as exemplified by Byron and Shelley, was a glorification of the ego in poetry such as had never been seen in the world before. In this sense, the Continental valuation of Byron is correct. In comparison with such a fire-brand, the candle light, the firefly glow of haiku must seem but a glimmer. Nevertheless, it is precisely by this Nirvana of self, this apparent self-annihilation, that everything else is given its meaning:

When the half-gods go,
The gods arrive.

When man by ceasing to be man becomes Man, then and then alone

Not a worm is cloven in vain.

The author's interpretation of many of these poems may seem to be somewhat arbitrary, drawing out meanings never intended by the writers themselves. Within limits, this is not
only excusable but necessary, and is justified not merely on general principles and analogy with other instances, for example Confucius' treatment of *The Odes*, but by the practice of the poets themselves, who often quarrelled about the meaning of their own poems or those of other poets. A very pertinent illustration is the contention that took place between Basho and Kyorai concerning one of the latter's own poems, the following:

岩鼻やこいてもひとり月の客  
On the edge of this rock  
Here is one more  
Moon-viewer.

In *Records of Kyorai*, the following conversation is given:

Kyorai said, "Shadô asserted that this must be a monkey, but what I intend is another person." Bashô retorted, "A monkey! What does he mean? What were you thinking when you composed the poem?" Kyorai answered, "As I was walking over the fields and mountains, singing under the light of the full moon, I found, on the edge of a rock, another man filled with poetical excitement." Bashô said, "In the phrase, 'There is one more person,' you announce yourself; in this there is poetry. I prize this verse and intend to include it in *Little Compositions of the Travelling Altar*." My poetical taste is below the highest, but in Bashô's interpretation there is something fantastic, I think.

1 Doctor of Osaka; Bashô's pupil.

2 An anthology of his pupils' poetry that Bashô intended to publish, *Oi no Kobani*. 
Quite apart from the question which of the two was right, we have here the entertaining picture of Basho telling Kyorai, not what he ought to have said, but what he ought to have meant by what he said.
Chapter 4: Socrates: Beethoven's pupil

The ambition of his people's poetry that Beethoven intended to subdue, he saw.

Young said, "Socrates asserted that this must be a matter, not what I heard in another person."

"A matter? What does he mean? What were you thinking when you expressed the poem?" Kant asked.

"As I was walking over the fields and mountains, evoking under the sight of the full moon, I found, on the edge of a rock, another man filled with prescient excitement." Beethoven said, "In the presence of the unknown, you cannot proceed; so that there is poetry. I write this verse and intend to include it in *White Compositions of the Tragedy After*. My present task is before the highest, but in Beethoven's interpretation there is something fireproof, I think.

"But in Socrates' pupil, Beethoven's pupil."
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK</th>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A) HAIKU COMMENTARIES AND COLLECTIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>俳句辞典</td>
<td>大江圭虫</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>俳句大全</td>
<td>今井栄浦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>古今名句評釋</td>
<td>矢田篠雲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>俳諧名作集</td>
<td>須原進蔵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>俳句讀本</td>
<td>高井博信</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>和歌選釋</td>
<td>松本進藏</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>蕭蕉講座（上）</td>
<td>江部藤次郎</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>同（中）</td>
<td>上上</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>日本古典讀本芭蕉</td>
<td>矢原進蔵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>五元集大全解</td>
<td>岩本梓石</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>蕭村句集講義</td>
<td>萬雪、子規</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(夏之部)</td>
<td>虚子、紅緑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>蕭村夢物語(夏之部)</td>
<td>木村架室</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>蕭村俳句評釋</td>
<td>佐藤紅緑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>蕭村名句評釋</td>
<td>河東碧梧桐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORK</td>
<td>AUTHOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一茶名句評釋</td>
<td>勝処晋風</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一茶俳句新釋</td>
<td>川島露石</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一茶読本</td>
<td>萩原井泉水</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) HAIKU: GENERAL

| 新選俳諧辞典 | 岩本 澤水 石明    |
| 俳諧歳時記   | 小島伊豆海       |
| 俳句教程     | 萩原井泉水       |
| 芭蕉雑纂     | 菊山當年男       |
| 俳聖芭蕉     | 野田別天楼       |

(C) RELATED SUBJECTS

| 和歌俳句の解説と鑑賞 | 加藤一郎        |
| 和歌選釋            | 松井博信        |
| 和漢明詠集新釋      | 金子見元清道明 |
| 白詩新釋            | 笠松彬雄        |
| 唐詩選詳解         | 笠松彬雄        |
| 英語譯講話         | 釋宗演          |
| 老子の新研究       | 井上秀夫        |
| 荘子新釋            | 坂井曉三        |
| 日本の生花          | 西川一草亭      |
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THE HAIKU OF THIS VOLUME IN
ROMAN LETTERS

Preface

Page
viii Inu wo utsu  ishi no sate nashi  fuyu no tsuki.
Shizukasa ya  iwa ni shimiuru  semi no koe.
Sunahama ni  ashiato nagaki  haruki kana.
x Ochizama ni  mizu koboshiki  hanatsubaki.
Akebono ya  mugi no hazue no  haru no shimo.
Ushi mó mó  mó to kiri kara  detari keri.
Ume ga ka no  tachinoborite ya  tsuki no kasa.
x Ezōshi ni  shizu oku mise no  haru no kaze.
xi Ni-mon nagete tera no en karu  suzumi kana.
Ni-mon nagete tera no en karu  suzumi kana.

Section I The Spiritual Origins of Haiku

2 Futamotono no  ume ni chisoku wo  aisu kana.
6 Samazuke ni  sodate-raretaru  kaiko kana.
    Rusu no ma ni  aretaru kami no  ochiba kana.
7 Shira-uo ya  kuroki mewo aku  hō no ami.
8 Takotsubo ya  hakana i yume wo  natsu no tsuki.
   Moro moro no  kokoro yanagi ni  makasu beshi.
   Hasu-ike ya  orade sonomama  tamamatsuri.
   Aki no iro  nukamiso-tsubo mo  nakari keri.
   Ochiba eda ni  kaeru to mireba  kochō kana.
Page
11 Hana chitte mata shizuka nari enjyōji.
Hana chiru ya garan no hitsugi otoshi yuku.
Hana chiri te ko no ma no tera to nari ni keri.
12 Hana no kumo kane wa ueno ka asakusa ka.
23 Tei-zen ni shiroku sakitaru tsubaki kana.
24 Kitsutsuki mo io wa yaburazu natsu kodachi.
26 Saki midasu mono no naka yori hatsu-zakura.
Kane kiete hana no ka wa tsuku yûbe kana.
Hara naka ya mono nimo tsukazu naku hibari.
Niwa haite yuki wo wasururu hōki kana.
27 Yama mo niwa mo ugoki iruru ya natsuzashiki.
Hitoha chiru totsu hitoha chiru kaze no ue.
28 Minasoko no iwa ni ochitsuku ko-no-ha kana.
No mo yama mo yuki ni torarete nani mo nashi.
Minasoko wo mite kita kao no kogamo kana.
29 Tobikonda chikarade ukabu kawazu kana.
32 Umi kurete kamo no koe honoka ni shiroshi.
37 Yono naka ya chōchō tomare kaku mo are.
40 Kabe no mugi yomogi sen-nen wo warōto kaya.
Kogarashi to narinu katatsumuri no utsusegai.
41 Sō asagao iku shi ni kaeru hō no matsu.
42 Morokoshi no haikai towan tobu kochō.
Kimiya chō ware ya sóshi no yume-gokoro.
43 Okiyo okiyo waga tomo ni sen nuru kochō.
44 Yo mo sugara arashi ni nami wo hakobasete
tsuki wo taretaru shiogoshi no matsu.
Horo horo to yamabuki chiru ka taki no oto.
Mizu-giwa mo nakute furue no shigure kana.
Yuku haru ya tori naki uo no me wa namida.
Saru wo kiku hito sute ni aki no kaze ika ni.
Shōshō no kari no namida ya oboro-zuki.
Senzoku no tarai mo morite yuku haru ya.
Araumi ya sado ni yokotō ama no gawa.
Kufu shite hana ni ranpu wo tsurushi keri.
Sabishisa wo toute kurenu ka kiri hito-ha.
Tsuka mo ugoke waga naku koe wa aki no kaze.
Teni toraba kien namida zo atsuki aki no shimo.
Nadeshiko ni kakaru namida ya kusunoki no tsuyu.
Yagate shinu keshiki mo miezu semi no koe.
Ōkaze no ashita mo akashi tōgarashi.
Hatsu-yuki ya suisen no ha no tawamu made.
Kare-eda ni karasu no tomarikeri aki no kure.
Hatsushigure saru mo komino wo hoshige nari.
Uguisu ni nari ga nita tote miscsazai.
Yoshi-ashi no ha wo hisshiite yusuzumi.
Asagao ni ware wa meshi kū otoko kana.
Ōsaka no katamaru koro ya hatsuzakura.
Fuji hitotsu uzumi nokoshite wakaba kana.
Hi no hikari kesa ya iwashi no kashirayori.
Tomoshibi wo mireba kaze ari yoru no yuki.
Hane-oto sae kikoete samushi tsuki no yoru.
Asagao no hana de fuitaru iori kana.
Mata muda ni kuchi aku tori no manako kana.
Katsuragi no kami ya sakura no asagaeri.
Tō no yubi soroete matsu no midori kana.
Matsuyani wo hanare kanete ya semi no koe.

Kuretake no yoyoni auhi no matsuri kana.

Ta ya mura ya hitogoe mo naki nochi no tsuki.

Omoi-kane imogari yukeba fuyu no yo no kawa-kaze samumi chidori naku nari.

Hana yorimo dango ya arite kaeru kari.

Haru-gasumi tatsu wo misutete yuku kari wa hana naki sato ni sumi ya naraeru.
Manmaru ni izuredo nagaki haruhi kana.

Atai araba nanika oshiman aki no kei.

Higekaze wo fuite boshū tanzuru wa tare ga ko zo.

Hito sumanu fuwa no sekiya no itabisashi arenishi nochi wa tada aki no kaze.
Aki-kaze ya yabu mo hatake mo fuwa no seki.

Imo arau onna saigyō naraba uta yoman.

Musasabi no kotori hamī-iru kare-no kana.
Yo ni ireba naoshitaku naru tsugi-ho kana.
Ômi no umi yûnami-chidori na ga nakeba kokoro mo shime ni inishie omōyu.

Negawaku wa hana no shita nite haru shinar sono kisaragi no mochizuki no koro.
Hotoke niwa sakura no hana wo tatematsure waga nochi no yo wo hito toburawaba.
Ura ura to nodokeki haru no kokoro yori nihoi idetaru yamazakura kana.
Kagiri naku kanashiki mono wa tomoshibi no
kiete no nochi no nezame nari keri.
Shoku no hi wo shoku ni utsusu ya haru no yû.

Yamazato no haru no yûgure kitemireba
irai no kane ni hana zo chiri keri.
Honobono to akashi no ura no asagiri ni
shima kakure yuku fune wo shizo omou.

Hisakata no ame no kaguyama kono yûbe
kasumi tanabiku haru tatsuashi mo.
Haru nare ya namonald yama no usu-gasumi.
Hingashi no no ni kagerou no tatsu miete
kaeri misureba tsuki katabuki nu.

Na-no-hana ya tsuki wa higashi ni hi wa nishi ni.
Muragimo no kokoro tanoshi mo haru no hi ni
tori no muragari asobu o mireba.

Nabatake ni hanami-gao naru suzume kana.
Rokugatsu ya mine ni kumo o'ru arashi-yama.

Ashibiki no yamakawa nose no naru nabe ni
yuzuki gatake ni kumo tachi wataru.

Sabishisa ni yado o tachi idete nagamureba
izuko mo onaji aki no yûgure.
Yamazato no inaha no kaze ni nezameshite
yofukaku shika no koe o kiku kana.
Omoohozu kimaseru kimi a sahogawa no
kawazu kikasezu kaeshitsuru kamo.
Tazune kite hana ni kuraseru ko-no-ma yori
matsutote mo naki yamo no ha no tsuki.

Yamabe yori kaeru wagami o okuri-kite
akureba mon o tsuki mo iri keri.
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Hototogisu nakitsuru kata o nagamureba
tada ariake no tsuki zo nokoreru.
Hototogisu kieyuku kata ya shima hitotsu.
Kaze kaoru kure ya mariba no cha no kyūji.

124 Aoyagi ya waga ōkimi no kusa ka ki ka.
148 Nusubito wa nagabakama o ya kitaru ran
soba o torite zo hashiri sarinuru.
146 Hana no kage utai ni nitaru tabine kana.
147 Aoyagi ni kōmori tsutau yūbae ya.

Michi no be ni shimizu nagaruru yanagi kage
shibashi tote koso tachidomari tsure.

149 U no mane wo u yori kósha na kodomo kana.
152 Kome no naki toki wa hisago ni ominaeshi.
155 Shiragiku no me ni tatete miru chiri mo nashi.
Sōji shite hyōtan tatakya sumi hokori.
156 Aki chikaki kokoro yosuru ya yojō han.
157 Matsumushi no rin tomo iwanu kuro chawan
159 Tomoshibi ni kōreru fude wo kogashi keri.
160 Ame ni orete homugi ni semaki komichi kana.
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163 Kiri-shigure fuji wo minu hi zo omoshiroku.
164 Chō kiete tamashii ware ni kaeri keri.
165 Koe bakari ochite ato naki hibari kana.
Page 166 Utaureba ware mo hotoke mo nakari keri namu amidabutsu namu amidabutsu.
Tatazumeba tōku mo kikoyu kawazu kana.

167 Aki fukaki tonari wa nani wo suru hito zo.

168 Honrai mo naki inishie no ware nareba shini-yuku kata mo nani mo ka mo nashi.
Go-roppon yorite shidaruru yanagi kana.

167 Aki fukaki tonari wa nani wo suru hito zo.

168 Honrai mo naki inishie no ware nareba shini-yuku kata mo nani mo ka mo nashi.
Go-roppon yorite shidaruru yanagi kana.

172 Uki ware wo sabishi garaseyo kankodori.

176 Ushi tsunde wataru kobune ya yū-shigure.

177 Nashi sake ya ikusa no ato no kuzure-ie.
Na-no-hana ya kujira mo yorazu umi kuren.

178 Toshi kurenu taorureba taoruru mama no niwa no kusa.

179 Ko no michi ya iku hito nashi ni aki no kure.

180 Nishi fukeba higashi ni tamaru ochiba kana.

182 Toshi kurenu kasa kite waraji hakinagara.
Taorureba taoruru mama no niwa no kusa.

185 Jiguruma no todoro to hibiku botan kana.

186 Kite mireba koko mo kataku no yado naru wo nani sumiyoshi to hito no yūran.

187 Yoshi ashi to omou kokoro o furi-sutete tada nani mo naku sumeba sumiyoshi,
Kochi fukaba nioi okoseyo umenohana
aruji nashi tote haru wo wasuru na.
Yama mizu ni kome wo tsukasete hirune kana.
Ôgi nite shaku wo torasuru botan kana.

Mono iwazu kya ku to teishu to shiragiku to.
Yûbe no ureshisa ashi arau toki no futakoto mikoto.

Asamashi ya mushi naku naka ni ama hitori.
Tai wa hana wa minu sato mo ari kyô no tsuki.

Yama no iro tani no hibiki mo mina-nagara
waga shakamuni no koe no ato kana.

Meigetsu ya kusaki ni otoru hito no kage.
Sate wa ano tsuki ga naita ka hototogisu.

Ta wo urite itodo nerarenu kawazu kana
Ganjitsu no kokoro kotoba ni amari keri.

Inazuma ni satoranu hito no tôtosa yo.
Medetasamo chûgurai nari ora ga haru.
Waga haru mo jójôkichi zo ume no hana.

Manmaru ni izuredonagaki haruhi kana.
Tsuki ni e wo sashitaraba yoki uchiwa kana.
Uta ikusa bunbu nidô no kawazu kana.

Hanaiki no arashi mo shiroshi kesa no fuyu.
Saotome ya yogorenu mono wa uta bakari.
Nete okite ôakubi shite neko no koi.
Hige ni tsuku meshi sae miezu neko no koi.
Osoroshi ya ishigaki kuzusu neko no koi.

Michi toeba ichido ni ugoku tauegasa,
Uchiwa uri sukoshi aoide dashite mise.
Kaminari wo manete haragake yatto sase.
Naki monono yō ni toraeru tokoroten.

Tsuka mo ugoke waga naku koe wa aki no kaze.
Hiru mireba kubisuji akaki hotaru kana.
Hebi nigete ware wo mishi me no kusa ni nokoru.
Shiba no to ya jō no kawari ni katatsumuri.
Kojiki kana tenchi no kitaru natsu-goromo.

Uguisu ga ume no koeda ni fun wo shite.
Katatsumuri soro soro nobore fuji no yama.
Miyuki nimo amigasa nuganu kakashi kana.
Yūgao no hana de hana kamu musume kana.

Chichi-haha no kotonomi omō aki no kure.
Yaribane ni makeshi bijin no ikari kana.
Akino yo ya yume to ibiki to kirigirisu.
Sono h[to no ibiki sae nashi aki no semi.

Kasa mo naki ware wo shigururu nanto nanto
Tada tanome hana mo hara hara ano tōri.
Sabishisa no ureshiku mo ari aki no kure.
Mutto shite modoreba niwa ni yanagi kana.

Inu wo utsu ishi no sate nashi fuyu no tsuki.
Kokoro naki ni nimo aware wa shirare keri
Shigi tatsu sawa no aki no yūgure.

Michi no be no mokuge wa uma ni kuware keri.
Kimi hi take yoki mono miseru yukimaroje.
Umasona yuki ga fūwari fūwari to.
Shigure keri hashiriiri keri hare ni keri.
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243  Sakura chiru  hisae yûbe to nari ni keri.
      Meigetsu ya  tada utsukushiku sumiwataru.
      Mireba kumori mineba hareyuku tsukimi kana.
      Matsushima ya aa matsushima ya matsushima ya.

244  Hatsu-aki ya umi mo aota no hito midori.
      Ko no atari me ni miyuru mono wa mina suzushi.
      Kisha sugite kemuri uzumaku wakaba kana.

247  Yo wa ureshiku hiru wa shizuka ya haru no ame.
      Hiyamizu ni senbei ni-mai chora ga natsu.

249  Degawari ya osana-gokoro ni monoaware.
      Degawari ya karakasa sagete yû nagame.

250  Degawari ya tatami e otosu namida kana.
      Kamikuzu ya degawari no ato no mono sabishi.

251  Oroshi oku oi ni naefuru natsuno kana.
      Yûkaze ya mizu aosagi no hagi wo utsu.
      Hae uchi ni hana saku kusa mo utare keri.

254  Rai harete ichiju no yûhi semi no koe.

259  Shibui toko haha ga kui keri yama no kaki.
      Sanmon wo gii to tozasu ya aki no kure.
      Ware yukeba tomo ni ayuminu tôkakashi.

260  Musasabi no kotori hamiiru kareno kana.
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270  Inazuma ni koboruru oto ya take no tsuyu.

271  Karakasa ni oshimaodosaruru shigure kana.

272  Fune to kishi to hanashi shiteiru hinaga kana.
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Page 277  Furuike ya kawazu tobikomu mizu no oto.
        Kumiageru mizu ni haru tatsu hikari kana.
        Ganjitsu ya nanimo motomenu yado no sama
        Degawari no hana to kotaete bikko nari.
        Shiragiku ni shibashi tayutau hasami kana.
        Kanashisa ya tsuri no ito fuku aki no kaze.
        Hatake wo utsu okina ga zukin yugami keri.
        Nusundaru kakashi no kasa ni ume kyū nari.
        Muramura no nedokoro Fukenu otoshi mizu.

Page 285  Shingiku no takō miekeri asaborake.
        Onozukara atama ga sagaru nari kamijyama
        Kusa mura ya na mo shiranu hana no shiroku sal
        Kawahori no futameki tobu ya ume no tsuki.
        Ume chiru ya raden koboruru taku no ue.
        Shira-ume ya kitano no chamise ni sumai dori
        Čmon no omoki tobiya haru no kure.
        Sakana-ya ga haitta mon wa yanagi kana.
        Kumo no mine shiraho minami ni muragareri.
        Kumo no mine suzuri ni ari no nobori keri.
        Na-no-hana ya kujira mo yorazu umi kurenu.
        Ushibeya no ushi no unari ya oborozuki.
        Semi naku ya tsuku zuku akai kazaguruma.
        Tōyama ga tsuki ni utsuru tonbo kana.
        Kiji tatte hito odorokasu kareno kana.
        Rokudō no tsuji ni tachi keri kareno kana.
        Hōnen no koe wo agekeri kado no hae.
        Se no hikuki uma ni noru hi no kasumi kana.
Yamadera ya  hiki-zokonai no  kane kasumu.
Hikuki ki ni  uguisu naku ya  hiru sagari.
Fūrin no  naritsutsu negi  soyogi keri.

Wara-buki no  hokke no tera ya  keitō-bana.
Ningyō kizamu  komise ya  kiku no hana.
Tera miete  komichi no magaru  nogiku kana.
Iwashi-gumo  ten ni hirogori  hagi sakeri.
Izakaya no  kenka mushidasu  oborozuki.
Machinaka wa  mono no nioi ya  natsu no tsuki.

Neko no koi  yamu toki neya no  oborozuki.
Nagedashita  ashi no saki yori  kumo no mine.
Uguisu ya  take no koyabu ni  oi wo naku.
Haikan ni  niwatori asobu  fuyō kana.
Kaminari ni  koya wa yakarete  uri no hana.

Nanigoto no  owashi masu ka wa  shirane domo
katajike nasa ni  namida koboruru.

Mi wo wakete  minu kozue naku  tsukusaba ya
yoro zu no yama no  haru ni au beki.
Furusato ya  heso no o ni naku  toshi no kure.

Hana ni somu  kokoro no ikade  nokori ken
sutehateteki to  omou wagami ni.
Ōashita  mukashi fukitashi  matsu no kaze.

Imo wo niru  nabe no naka made  tsukiyo kana.
Aki fuki ki  tonari wa nani wo  suru hito zo.
Kono michi ya  yuku hito nashi ni  aki no kure.

Sazare-nami  tagichite nagaru  tomase-gawa
yorube naki iso no  saki ga sabushiki.
Kokoro naki  mi nimo aware wa  shirare keri
shigi tatsu sawa no  aki no yūgure.
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Page
330 Fuyu gomori mata yori-sowan kono hashira.
331 Meigetsu ya ike o megurite yo mo sugara.
Samushiro wo hatake ni shiite ume-mi kana.
Haru tatsu ya aratoshi furuki kome goshō.
332 Tare yara ka sugata ni nitari kesa no haru.
Asagao ni ware wa meshi kū otoko kana.
333 Kusa no to ni ware wa tade kū hotaru kana.
Tabi-sugata shigure no tsuru yo bashōō.
Nozarashi wo kokoro ni kaze no shimu mi kana.
334 Toshi no ichi senkō kai ni ideba yana.
Hatsu-sigure saru mo komino wo hoshige nari.
335 Aki wa kono hōshi sugata no yūbe kana.
336 Aki fukaki tonari wa nani wo suru hito zo.
Samidare wo atsume te hayashi mogami-gawa.
Samidare ya na mo naki kawa no osoroshiki.
337 Ika uri no koe magirewashi hototogisu.
Suzushisa ya kane wo hanaruru kane no koe.
Ka no koe su suikazura no hana chiru goto ni.
339 Wakaba shite mizu shiroku mugi kibami tari.
Yūgao ya ki ni saitaru mo aru bekari.
Tsuki ni tōku oboyuru fuji no iroka kana.
Tsutsuji saite kataya nasato no meshi shiroshi.
Kindachi ni kitsune baketari yoi no haru.
Kao shiroki ko no ureshisa ya makura-gaya.
Kōro no hokage ni shizumu wakaba kana.
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Botan chitte uchikasanarimu futamihira.
Kirigirisu jizai wo noboru yosamu kana.
Kinbyō no usumono wa tare ka aki no kaze.
Furuike ya kawazu tobikomu mizu no oto.
Furuike ni zōri shizumite mizore kana.

Nomi-domo mo yonaga darō zo sabishī karo.
Yo no naka wa ine karu koro ka kusa no io.
Hitotsu-ya ni yūjo mo netari hagi to tsuki.
Hototogisu akatsuki kasa wo kawase keri.
Wakatake ya hashimoto no yūjo ariya nashi.
Kogarashi ya nijū-yon-mon no yūjo goya.
Funatsuki no chisaki kuruwa ya wata no hana.

Section V The Technique of Haiku

Waga koto to dojō no nigeshi nezeri kana.
Beta beta to mono ni tsukitaru haru no yuki.
Kiri-no-ki ya tekibaki chitte tsun to tatsu.
Haru no kaze yanagi ga nakuba fuku maizo.
Shōjō to ishi ni hi no iru kareno kana.
Tokoro dokoro shika no kao dasu shigemi kana.
Tsuridoko ni irihi mori kuru shigemi kana.
Mejirushi no kyōboku shigeru komura kana.
Yamabushi no hora fuki tatsuru shigeri kana.
Uekiya wa kitarazu niwa no shigemi kana.
Hashira nimo narade shigerinu gohyaku-nen.
Hito sumanu kochu no shima no shigeri kana.
Ichimon wa mina shii goi no shigeri kana.
Haka no ki wa shigerinu tamaya kusaru ran.
Tengu sunde ono irashimezu ki no shigeri.
Tsuki hana ya shijuku-nen no muda aruki.
Musashino ya sawaru mono naki kimi no kasa.
Kokoro sumaseba hayashi no oku no shizuku nari.
Hi no haru wo sasuga ni tsuru no ayumi kana.
Tako-tsubo ya hakanaki yume wo natsu no tsuki.
Sukashi mite hoshi ni sabishiki yanagi kana.
Fuki tobasu ishi wa asama no nowaki kana.
Me ni aoba yama hototogisu hatsu-gatsuo.
Ama-gaeru basho ni norite soyogi keri.
Akatsuki ya u-kago ni nemuru u no yatsure.
Iwa hana ya koko nimo hitori tsuki no kyaku.
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III
ORIGINS OF ENGLISH HAIKU

SPRING

2. Wordsworth, *Written in Early Spring*.
7. Shakespeare.
11. Herbert, *Cockcrow*.
15. Davies, *The Kingfisher*.
17. Wordsworth.
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25. John, 1. 4.

SUMMER

1. E. G. Scott. The Unnamed Lake.
2. Shelley, Written among the Euganean Hills.
5. The Prelude.
6. Wordsworth, There was a boy.
8. Arnold, Bacchanalia.
10. Henley, In a Hospital, Vigil.
15. Davies, A Great Time.
17. Wordsworth, Resolution and Independence.
18. Collins, Ode to Evening.
22. Davies, The Moon.
24. Emerson.
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27. Blunt, The Old Squire.
28. Thoreau, A Week.
29. Whitman.
30. Shakespeare, They that have power to hurt.
31. Davies.
32. Keats, The Realm of Fancy.
33. Marvell, Song of the Emigrants.
34. Wordsworth, Lucy.

AUTUMN

1. Wordsworth, Intimations.
2. The Ancient Mariner.
3. Francis Thompson, Sister Songs.
5. A. Lowell, Wind and Silver.
6. Arnold, Mycerinus.
7. Tennyson, Ulysses.
8. Shelley.
10. Arnold, Rugby Chapel.
11. Thoreau, A Week.
12. Susan Gaspell, The Morning is near us.
13. De La Mare, Farewell.
14. Langston Hughes, Homesick Blues.
17. The Excursion.
WINTER

1. Shelley.
2. The Prelude.
3. Wordsworth, Written in Early Spring.
4. The Prelude.
5. Wordsworth, Ode to Duty.
6. Thoreau, Walden.
7. Landor.
8. C. French, Hidden Sorrow.
10. The Excursion, 409.
15. 16. 17. H. Monroe, Solitude.
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"But there's a great deal to be said for doing nothing.
Spring comes, goes, goes, grows, all by itself."
- p. 20

Definition of hazuki - p. 272 "Temporary enlightenment."
- p. 330

Hazuki = practice of Zen and be kept apart!
- p. 297

Nothing special - 231 (Satoshi?)

"open door. That books. shut" - pg 242

"window" - pg 288

p. 373 = old poem (also p. 340)

Rinza (Rinza?) - pg 282 "beginning of spring"

Rai hante (Hi hante?) - 254

moon in the week etc - 289

Difference between renga and haiku:
(wake + haiku) - willow tree + season, etc
- p. 112

Kito's picture books in spring - xi